1 2 3 4 5	LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF APRIL 10, 2024 AT THE MOOSE HILL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
5 6 7	Meeting Link: http://173.166.17.35/CablecastPublicSite/show/12480?channel=4
8 9 10 11 12 13	Members Present: Jake Butler, Chair; Arthur Rugg, Vice Chair; Lynn Wiles, Secretary; Jeff Penta, Assistant Secretary; Ann Chiampa, member; Jason Knights, member; Shawn Faber, Town Council ex-officio; Jonathan Cruz, ex-officio; Tony DeFrancesco, alternate member; Roger Fillio, alternate member
14 15	Also Present: Kellie Caron, Assistant Town Manager/Director of Economic Development; J. Trottier, Director of Public Works & Engineering
16 17 18	I. CALL TO ORDER
18 19 20	Chair Butler called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance.
21 22	S. Farber was called away from the meeting.
23 24 25	II. ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD WORK
25 26 27	A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
28 29	 Member L. Wiles made a motion to approve the minutes of the March 6, 2024, Planning Board meeting as presented.
30 31 22	Member J. Knights seconded the motion.
32 33 34	The motion was granted, 7-0-1, with Member Faber abstaining.
35 36	 Member L. Wiles made a motion to approve the minutes of the March 13, 2024, Planning Board meeting as presented.
37 38 39	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.
39 40 41	The motion was granted, 7-0-1, with Member Faber abstaining.
42 43	B. EXTENSION REQUESTS
44 45 46	 Member L. Wiles made a motion to approve the extension request for the Pettore site plan, Map 13, Lot 99, to June 12, 2024.
47 48	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.

49	
49 50	The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
50 51	The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
52	 Member L. Wiles made a motion to approve the extension
53	request for the Woodmont Commons Medical Office Building to
54	July 1, 2024.
55	July 1, 2024.
55 56	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.
50 57	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.
58	The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
59	The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
60	C. REGIONAL IMPACT DETERMINATIONS
61	
62	K. Caron reported that Staff determined the PUD before the Board is of
63	regional impact and they have begun that process.
64	regional impact and they have begun that process.
65	III. OLD BUSINESS
66	
67	A. Public hearing on an application for formal review of a site plan to
68	construct a 58,432 square foot warehouse and storage facility and
69	associated site improvements, 88A Harvey Road (Planeview Drive), Map
70	14, Lot 17, Zoned IND-II, Patriot Holdings, LLC (Applicant) and VAB
71	Properties, LLC (Owner). Continued from January 10 and February 14,
72	2024.
73	
74	This item has requested continuance to May 8, 2024.
75	······································
76	Member L. Wiles made a motion to approve the request for
77	continuance to May 8, 2024.
78	
79	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.
80	
81	The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
82	
83	This is the only notice of this meeting.
84	
85	B. Public hearing on an application for formal review of a site plan for a 96-
86	unit multi-family residential development and associated site
87	improvements in the Multi-family Residential (R-III) District. 35 Gilcreast
88	Road, Tax Map 7, Lot 118, Gilcreast Realty Holdings LLC.
89	(Owner/Applicant). Continued from March 6, 2024.
90	
91	This item has requested continuance to May 1, 2024.
92	
93	Member L. Wiles made a motion to approve the request for
94	continuance to May 1, 2024.
95	
96	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.

97		
98		The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
99		
100		This is the only notice of this meeting.
101		
102	IV.	NEW PLANS/CONCEPTUAL PLANS
103		
104		A. Public hearing on an application for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for
105		132,612 square feet of temporary wetland impacts, 10,472 square feet of
106		permanent wetland impacts, 18,327 square feet of temporary wetland
107		buffer impacts, and 11,422 square feet of permanent wetland buffer
108		impacts for the replacement and maintenance of existing overhead
109 110		transmission line structures located within the Conservation Overlay
110		District in the existing T158 Transmission Line Right of Way (ROW) in the area of Page Road. Zoned AR-1 Public Service Company of New
112		Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy (applicant).
112		hampshire u/b/a Eversource Energy (applicant).
113		Member A. Rugg made a motion to accept the application as
115		complete per Staff Recommendation Memorandum dated
116		April 10, 2024.
117		
118		Member L. Wiles seconded the motion.
119		
120		The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
121		
122		This starts the public hearing and the 65-day time clock.
123		
124		Kurt Nelson of Eversource and John Rollino of AECOM appeared before
		standard dredge and fill application.
		They propose installation of permanent gravel access (rock fords) across
136		
137		K. Nelson noted the totals should be 132,612 temporary wetlands
138		impact, 10,472 permanent wetlands impact, 18,327 temporary buffer
139		impact, and 114,222 permanent buffer impact. K. Caron said the
140		application was incorrect and confirmed these are the correct figures.
141		
142		K. Nelson reported the Conservation Commission requested a plan
143		showing where gates might be installed, which they will provide.
144		
137 138 139 140 141 142		impact, 10,472 permanent wetlands impact, 18,327 temporary buffer impact, and 114,222 permanent buffer impact. K. Caron said the application was incorrect and confirmed these are the correct figures.K. Nelson reported the Conservation Commission requested a plan

145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152	A member of the Commission also suggested paying into the Town's Conservation fund as mitigation for the disturbance in the right-of-way corridor. K. Nelson asked for guidance from the Town regarding a mechanism by which this could be done. K. Caron said her understanding was that this was the beginning of a conversation; the Board did not have to act on it at this point. She said the Commission recommended approval of the CUP.
153	Chair Butler asked for Board comment.
154 155 156 157	The Board asked about the life expectancy of the new poles and were told approximately 70 years. The old poles will be removed.
158 159	The Board commended Eversource on the quality of their work.
160	Chair Butler asked for public comment.
161 162 163 164 165	Ray Breslin, 3 Gary Drive, said this project will have a lot of impact on the Town, affecting vistas and wetlands. He said the suggestion to contribute to the Conservation fund should be considered. He said it would be reasonable to provide mitigation to the Town.
166 167 168 169 170	A. Rugg asked what he recommended for mitigation. R. Breslin said money or perhaps setting aside land. He said it is up to the Town to make this determination.
171	Chair Butler closed public comment.
172 173 174 175 176	Chair Butler asked what mitigation will be done to areas of temporary impact to restore them. J. Rollino said timber matting and seeding would be applied; the native vegetation usually reclaims the area relatively quickly.
177 178 179 180 181 182	Chair Butler supported establishing the condition of putting in gates per the recommendation of the Conservation Commission. He said there is merit in the discussion of the financial contribution; however, this is not the appropriate time to do this. He suggested Staff should look into creating a metric, if this is a direction the Town wants to move in.
183 184 185	T. DeFrancesco suggested seeding the gravel pads to speed the revegetation process.
186 187 188 189 190 191 192	Member L. Wiles made a motion to grant approval of the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) with the added conditions as discussed for 132,612 square feet of temporary wetland impacts, 10,472 square feet of permanent wetland impacts, 18,327 square feet of temporary wetland buffer impacts, and 11,422 square feet of permanent wetland buffer impacts for

193	the replacement and maintenance of existing overhead
194	transmission line structures located within the Conservation
195	Overlay District in the existing T158 Transmission Line Right
196	of Way (ROW) in the area of Page Road. Zoned AR-1 Public
197	Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource
198	Energy (applicant).
199	
200	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.
201	
202	The motion was granted, 7-0-0. Chair votes in the
203	affirmative.
204	
205	B. Public hearing on an application for formal review of the Village on
206	Technology Hill Planned Unit Development (PUD) Master Plan.
207	Londonderry Holdings, LLC (owner & applicant) Map 28 Lots 31-6, 29-2
208	and Map 17 Lots 2, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5.
209	
210	Member L. Wiles made a motion to accept the application as
211	complete per Staff Recommendation Memorandum dated
212	April 10, 2024.
213	
214	Member A. Rugg seconded the motion.
215	
216	The motion was granted, 7-0-0.
217	
218	This starts the public hearing and the 65-day time clock.
219	
220	S. Farber returned to the meeting.
221	S S
222	Dick Anagnost, co-developer, and Nick Golon, TFMoran, appeared before
223	the Board. D. Anagnost provided background on the project, which is a
224	mixed-use development. He noted all infrastructure will be installed at
225	one time up front. The tenants will primarily be clean manufacturing
226	businesses owned by Ken and Grace Solinsky, including Envision
227	Technology and OnPoint Systems. There will also be a residential
228	component of 439 housing units, which will primarily service their
229	employees. They plan to provide a childcare facility and a commercial
230	building that would house neighborhood retail.
231	5 5
232	Chair Butler asked for Staff input.
233	· ·
234	K. Caron explained the PUD process and how this project has followed it
235	to this point. She noted the steps still needed to be completed for this
236	project to move forward.
237	
238	N. Golon thanked K. Caron and J. Trottier for their guidance through this
239	process. He reviewed the project objectives, noting that they are striving
240	to minimize their environmental impact on the property and create a
-	

244 245

246 247

248

249

254

261

262 263

264

265 266

267 268

269

270

271 272

273

274

275

276 277

278 279

280

281 282

283 284

285

286

287

288

- sustainable community. He described the proposed layout of the project
 and reviewed the stormwater management system. A traffic study has
 been done.
 - D. Anagnost said they appeared before the Heritage Commission and have incorporated elements that were recommended. They plan to utilize solar power on the site and have cleared this with the airport, to avoid potential issues with flight paths.
- 250The Board expressed approval of the architectural design of the251structures. A. Chiampa asked why they mixed Colonial and Contemporary252designs. D. Anagnost said they were trying to pick up the Colonial history253of the town, while providing a contemporary look.
- The commercial building will be the first one built, to be utilized as a
 construction office and warehouse.
- N. Golon reviewed the requested waivers from the Londonderry Zoning
 Ordinance:
 - Section 5.1.3.F: Provide up to 200 housing units per calendar year instead of 20. D. Anagnost explained the buildings would be built in sequence, coming online 8-10 weeks apart. They plan to provide housing for employees as the businesses are established. T. DeFrancesco noted phasing was originally instituted to protect the School District and the taxpayers from a sudden influx of children, but the year of site work will allow for planning. J. Knights said he expects more children than projected, due to the availability of childcare. J. Penta further discussed the impact of this PUD and schools and inquired about the results of the comparison study conducted by applicants of similar and recent Londonderry properties. D. Anagnost noted the project is tax positive. K. Caron said she and the Town Manager have been in contact with the School District regarding this project.
 - 2. Section 6: Remove the formal CUP process with the Conservation Commission relating to the wetland impact buffers and Conservation Overlay District. They have developed the project to avoid wetland impacts and allow large blocks of land to remain in a vegetative state. In the approximately 110 acres, there is 950 square feet of wetland impact. The Conservation Commission has provided a letter of support.
 - Section 4.6.1: Modification of the Conservation Overlay District to construct a retaining wall as close as 5 feet to the edge of a jurisdictional wetland to preserve as much of the wetlands as possible.
 - 4. Section 5.2.6: Waiver from identifying applicable zoning district use tables due to being a mixed-use development.
 - 5. Section 5.1.7: Vehicle access and parking. Propose use of the ITE

289 290 291 292 293	 Parking Generation Manual to calculate parking. J. Trottier noted these numbers are typically less than the Town requirements. D. Anagnost said there is parking overlap, as there are not workers and employees parking simultaneously. 6. Section 7.5: Request to utilize Section 2.9.B.19 of the PUD Master
294	Plan to establish signage, which clarifies and expands the Town
295	zoning ordinance.
296 207	7. Section 2: Request to utilize the PUD Master Plan definition
297 298	regarding building height to permit a 50-foot tall building to
298 299	include the parapet, solar panels, and stairwell roof access.
300	N. Golon reviewed the requested waivers from the Londonderry Site Plan
301	Regulations:
302	Regulations.
303	1. Eliminate request to waive Design Standards and Requirements for
304	Improvements, Sections 3.01a and 3.01c.
305	2. Modify Storm Drain System, Sections 3.07a.1, 3.07b.10, 3.07g.1,
306	and 3.07h. They propose to meet state standards instead of Town
307	standards. J. Trottier said further discussion is needed with N.
308	Golon regarding these requests, as he believes the Town standards
309	are appropriate.
310	3. Section 3.09, Vehicle Circulation, Parking, and Loading Standards:
311	Use the ITE Parking Generation Manual to calculate parking. T.
312	DeFrancesco noted the largest fire vehicle will be accessing this
313	site. N. Golon said turning radius studies have been conducted and
314	the roads are of an adequate size.
315	4. Section 3.10g, Mitigating the Impacts of Parking Lots, waive
316	standards where they are in conflict with what has been proposed.
317	5. Section 3.12, Building and General Appearance Design Standards,
318	remove future ambiguity from Town regulations.
319	6. Section 6.01c, Assurances for Completion and Maintenance of
320	Improvements, Onsite Improvements, change wearing course to
321	binder course.
322	7. Section 7.06d.3(ii), change wearing course to binder course.
323	8. Land Use Fee/Site Plan Fee: Staff recommended this.
324	N. Only a second the second standard with the local second second
325	N. Golon reviewed the requested waivers from the Londonderry
326	Subdivision Regulations:
327	1 Strike items 1 and 2
328 329	 Strike items 1 and 2. Regurgitation of the stormwater drain requirements.
330	 Waive high intensity soil study.
331	5. Waive high intensity son study.
332	N. Golon said they request using the total 110 acres to calculate the
333	allowable number of residential units. J. Trottier noted seeing the
334	calculation would be beneficial and the Board agreed.
335	
336	J. Trottier asked that they ensure the proposed building height is in

227	
337	conformance with the IBC.
338	
339	N. Golon said, regarding the project meeting the performance standards,
340	they feel the PUD was submitted in strict compliance with Section 5.2 of
341	the zoning ordinance. He addressed concerns regarding vibration, noise,
342	air pollution, and waste handling.
343	
344	Chair Butler asked for Staff comment. J. Trottier asked for the
345	opportunity to review N. Golon's revisions. K. Caron said they are in a
346	better position to provide formal recommendations at the next meeting.
347	
348	Chair Butler asked for Board comment. J. Knights asked if a sidewalk will
349	run along Kitty Hawk Road. N. Golon said they will provide ease of access
350	to the rail trail, but there are no offsite improvements.
351	
352	A. Rugg clarified there was a conceptual discussion with the full Board,
353	not the Chair as indicated. He asked for clarification regarding the traffic
354	impact and access study performed and noted the intersections that will
355	be impacted. The Board discussed how this study will be utilized. A. Rugg
356	asked what role public transit will play and N. Golon said this is under
357	consideration. A. Rugg noted the Southern New Hampshire Planning
358	Commission will want to have input on this project.
359	
360	Chair Butler asked for public comment.
361	
362	Dave Robbins, 532 Mammoth Road, said this project will create a lot of
363	traffic. He said infrastructure issues need to be addressed. He asked if
364	the North Fire Station is adequately staffed to fight a residence fire in this
365	industrial area. He asked about the impact on North School. He said
366	these issues cannot be corrected in short order. He is concerned about
367	the location of this development. He does not believe this property is
368	conductive to supporting this development.
369	
370	Ray Breslin, 3 Gary Drive, said the location of this development is of
371	concern. He noted stormwater runoff, traffic problems, emergency
372	service access, and delivery trucks accessing the development. He said
373	the runoff will eventually go into the Merrimack River. He suggested
374	more thought be put into important issues before projects like this are
375	approved. The Board asked if there will be Town water and sewer in the
376	development and N. Golon confirmed this. R. Breslin asked if the housing
377	is only for employees. D. Anagnost said it is primarily to service
378	employees, but it is market-rate housing. S. Faber asked if employees
379	would have preference and D. Anagnost confirmed this.
380	· -
381	Bob Merrill, 569 Mammoth Road, referred to the definition of an industrial
382	park in the Town Master Plan. He said traffic issues need to be
383	considered. He said the building height should be restricted to 35 feet for
384	fire safety. He asked who owns Lot 29-29-7, which has been listed as

385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392	Town owned. He asked for clarification regarding Leonard Lane (sp). He said on 17-2, there is a hand-built stone culvert that the Heritage Commission should review. He asked if the Town wants a large residential area in the middle of an industrial park. He said blasting done on this hill will carry a long way and might create issues with the natural springs on the site. He recommended the Town repeal the 100-acre PUD in the future.
392 393 394 395 396	A. Chiampa asked where additional complementary uses would be located. N. Golon said in the northerly-most structure, which will hold 20,000 square feet of manufacturing.
397 398 399 400 401	Kevin Smith, 6 King Phillip Drive, has worked as a consultant on this project. Regarding airport noise, the Airport Director has endorsed the project. He noted the Solinskys intend to provide MTA service to the area. He credited Town staff for working with DOT regarding widening Pettengill Road to mitigate traffic issues.
402 403 404 405	Letters of support were read into the record from Envision Technology, John Nettleton, and the Thibeault Corporation of N.E.
406	Chair Butler closed public comment.
407 408	Chair Butler asked for Board comment.
409	
410	L. Wiles asked when the Fire Department will become involved. K. Caron
411 412	said all departments are involved at this stage; however, they will continue to work with the Fire Department to obtain their specific
412	comments regarding concerns raised by the Board.
414	comments regarding concerns raised by the board.
415	T. DeFrancesco asked about widening Pettengill Road. J. Trottier
416	explained Staff is working with the DOT to explore this topic in light of
417	the number of projects proposed in this area. T. DeFrancesco said the
418	market will decide if this type of development is appropriate.
419	
420	A. Chiampa said there is agricultural business and conservation
421	easements near this development, Merrill Farms, which is why she is
422	concerned about the type of business being conducted in this
423	development.
424 425	A Dugg recommended the developer work with the abuttors
425	A. Rugg recommended the developer work with the abutters.
427	Chair Butler noted that while this is a decent location for this project,
428	there are concerns. He said the Board has work to do before a decision is
429	made and suggested an entire meeting be held for this discussion. He
430	said there are concerns regarding Town services, especially from the Fire
431	Department.
432	

433 434		Member L. Wiles made a motion to recommend continuing the application until TBD in or about the middle of May 2024
435		to allow the applicant and staff additional time to clarify the
436		waiver and modification requests.
437		
438		Member J. Knights seconded the motion.
439		Member 5. Knights seconded the motion.
440		Ken Solinsky addressed the Board and asked them to select a date, as
441		the project needs to move forward as quickly as possible.
442		the project needs to move forward as quickly as possible.
443		Member L. Wiles amended his motion to hold the meeting on
444		Thursday, May 9, 2024, at 7:00 p.m. in the Moose Hill
445		conference room.
446		
447		Member J. Knights seconded the motion.
448		
449		The motion was granted, 8-0-0. Chair votes in the
450		affirmative.
451		
452	V.	OTHER BUSINESS
453		
454	Α	. Rules of Procedure
455		
456		Chair Butler reported they met with counsel regarding the Rules of
457		Procedure. The attorney will prepare a statement that will be announced at
458		the beginning of each Board meeting regarding public comment and the best
459		way to handle it. The first reading of the official Rules of Procedure should
460		occur at the next meeting.
461		
462	VI.	ADJOURNMENT
463		
464		Member A. Rugg made a motion to adjourn the meeting.
465		
466		J. Knights seconded the motion.
467		
468		The motion was granted, 8-0-0.
469		
470		The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:11 p.m.
471		
472	These	e minutes were prepared by Beth Hanggeli.
473	P	
474	ĸesp	ectfully submitted,
475 476		
476 477		
477 478	Nom	a Ivan Wiles
478 479		e: <u>Lynn Wiles</u>
479 480	inte:	<u>Secretary</u>
400		

Londonderry Planning Board Meeting Wednesday 4/10/2024

481 These minutes were accepted and approved on _____ by a motion made by 482 _____ and seconded by _____.