Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire Capital Improvements Plan FY2023- FY2028



Prepared by the Londonderry Capital Improvement Planning Committee

Chair: Steve Breault, Budget Committee Vice Chair: John Farrell, Town Council Jenn Ganem, School Board Jake Butler, Planning Board Bruce Hallowell, Planning Board

Staff:

Colleen Mailloux, AICP, Town Planner Amy Kizak, GIS Manager/Comprehensive Planner Justin Campo, Finance Director Peter Curro, School Department Business Administrator

Adopted by the Londonderry Planning Board - October 6, 2021:

Art Rugg, Chair Chris Davies, Vice Chair Al Sypek, Secretary Jake Butler, Assistant Secretary Ann Chiampa Jeff Penta Bruce Hallowell, Ex-Officio Giovanni Verani, Ex-Officio Roger Fillio, Alternate Jason Knight, Alternate Lynn Wiles, Alternate Deb Paul, Town Council Ex-Officio

Table of Contents

| Introduction 2 |
|---|
| Population & Build Out 3 |
| Financing Methods5 |
| Identification of Departmental Capital Needs |
| Priority System 6 |
| Listing and Discussion of Projects By Priority7 |
| Project Scoring & Priority Summary 10 |
| Summary of All Municipal Capital Projects 11 |
| Financing Plan for CIP Municipal Projects12 |
| Summary of School Capital Projects13 |
| Net Tax Impact Analysis (Municipal Government) - Current Debt Schedule |
| Conclusions & Recommendations17 |
| Appendix A: Relevant State Statutes 18 |
| Appendix B: Capital Project Request Form |
| Appendix C: Capital Project Scoring Sheet |
| Appendix D: Project Submission Materials and Backup Information |

The preparation and adoption of a Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) is an important part of Londonderry's planning process. A CIP aims to recognize and resolve deficiencies in existing public facilities and anticipate and plan for future demand for capital facilities. A CIP is a multi-year schedule that lays out a series of municipal projects and their associated costs. Over the six-year period considered by the CIP, it shows how the Town should plan to expand or renovate facilities and services to meet the demands of existing or new population and businesses.

A CIP is an **advisory document** that can serve a number of purposes, among them to:

- Guide the Town Council, School Board, and the Budget Committee in the annual budgeting process;
- Contribute to stabilizing the Town's real property tax rate;
- Aid the prioritization, coordination, and sequencing of various municipal improvements;
- Inform residents, business owners, and developers of planned improvements;
- Provide the necessary legal basis for ongoing administration and periodic updates of a Growth Management Ordinance;
- Provide the necessary legal basis continued administration and periodic updates of an Impact Fee Ordinance.

A CIP is purely advisory in nature. Ultimate funding decisions are subject to the budgeting process and the annual Town meeting. Inclusion of any given project in the CIP does not constitute an endorsement by the CIP Committee. Rather, the CIP Committee is bringing Department project requests to the attention of the Town, along with recommended priorities, in the hope of facilitating decision making by the Town.

Information contained in this report was submitted to the Committee from the various town Departments and Boards and Committees that supplied information on their projects. Although this Capital Improvements Plan spans a six-year planning horizon the Plan is updated annually to reflect changing demands, new needs, and regular assessment of priorities.

This document contains those elements required by law to be included in a Capital Improvements Plan. The Londonderry Capital Improvement Planning Committee has prepared this report under the authority of the Planning Board and RSA 674:5-8 (Appendix A).

For purposes of the CIP, a "capital project" is defined as a tangible project or asset having a cost of at least \$100,000 and a useful life of at least five years. Eligible items include new buildings or additions, land purchases, studies, substantial road improvements and purchases of major vehicles and equipment. Operating expenditures for personnel and other general costs are not included. Expenditures for maintenance or repair are generally not included unless the cost or scope of the project is substantial enough to increase the level of a facility improvement.

Introduction

Population and Build Out

The 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data indicates the population of Londonderry is approximately 25,826 people, up from 24,129 in 2010 (US Census). As of the 2020 US Census, Londonderry was the 9th largest community in the state. The 2020 populations for abutting communities is presented in the table below for perspective. Recent development activity for both residential and non-residential projects clearly illustrate that Londonderry will continue to be in a growth period for the duration of this CIP planning horizon.

Population projections may be obtained from either trend-line analysis or by conducting a "Build Out Analysis" that uses Geographic Information System (GIS) tools to derive development potential based on land potential and use assumptions. The latter tool allows capability to identify growth areas where provide guidance for locating community services.

In September of 2016 the NH Office of Strategic Initiatives (OSI) in partnership with the State's Regional Planning Commissions developed county level population projections by municipality for the period 2020 through 2040. The Londonderry 2040 population was projected to be roughly 27,036 in that study.

| Community | 2020 Population | 2010 Population | Population Rank 2020 | Population Change ('10-'20) | Percent Change ('10-'20) |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Londonderry | 25,826 | 24,129 | 9 | 1,697 | 7% |
| | | | | | |
| Auburn | 5,946 | 4,953 | 60 | 993 | 20% |
| Hudson | 25,394 | 24,467 | 10 | 927 | 4% |
| Litchfield | 8,478 | 8,271 | 36 | 207 | 3% |
| Manchester | 115,644 | 109,565 | 1 | 6,079 | 6% |
| Windham | 15,817 | 13,592 | 19 | 2,225 | 16% |
| | | | | | |
| Atkinson | 7,087 | 6,751 | 47 | 336 | 5% |
| Bedford | 23,322 | 21,203 | 11 | 2,119 | 10% |
| Candia | 4,013 | 3,909 | 95 | 104 | 3% |
| Chester | 5,232 | 4,768 | 68 | 464 | 10% |
| Derry | 34,317 | 33,109 | 4 | 1,208 | 4% |
| Goffstown | 18,577 | 17,651 | 14 | 926 | 5% |
| Hampstead | 8,998 | 8,523 | 34 | 475 | 6% |
| Hooksett | 14,871 | 13,451 | 21 | 1,420 | 11% |
| Merrimack | 26,632 | 25,494 | 8 | 1,138 | 4% |
| Nashua | 91,322 | 86,494 | 2 | 4,828 | 6% |
| Pelham | 14,222 | 12,897 | 23 | 1,325 | 10% |
| Salem | 30,089 | 28,776 | 7 | 1,313 | 5% |
| Sandown | 6,548 | 5,986 | 51 | 562 | 9% |

Population & Growth Rates: Londonderry, NH as Compared with First and Second Tier Abutting Communities (Source: NH OSI-2020 US Census Data)

As part of the 2013 Comprehensive Master Plan, the Town created a Build-Out Analysis to consider population growth according to two scenarios: 1)Trend Development, which assumed existing zoning conditions would remain into the future, and 2) Villages and Corridors, that assumed increased density and development within identified growth centers. These effectively provide baseline and accelerated growth estimates for build-out, or the point at which all available land is developed to maximum zoning capacity. Employment estimates are generated based on estimates of new square feet of building space under each scenario.

The Trend Development alternative supports a population of 30,786 and a labor force of 27,510 at build-out. This is an increase of 28% and 104% compared to current conditions, respectively. The Villages & Corridors alternative supports a population of 37,850 and a labor force of 55,380 at build-out. This is an increase of 57% and 311% compared to current conditions, respectively.

No firm date is associated with build out; rather, it should be considered a ceiling estimate, barring major redevelopment of existing residential areas.

Master Plan Build-Out Analysis: Scenario Comparison

| | Trend Development Scenario | Villages and Corridors Scenario |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Current Population | 24,129 | 24,129 |
| Build-Out Population | 30,786 | 37,580 |
| Current Employment | 13,474 | 13,474 |
| Build-Out Employment | 27,510 | 55,380 |

Trend Development Scenario This scenario continues to use low -density, single-use development patterns to meet future demand, which means rural areas will become new residential neighborhoods or strip center development Villages and Corridors Scenario This scenario introduces the concept of mixed-use, walkable neighborhoods and activity centers to Londonderry, which should capture a significant amount of growth through build-out within small nodes. Development concentrated in these centers (impacting only 15% of the total land area in town) protects existing residential neighborhoods and provides the opportunity to permanently preserve more open space.

Source: 2013 Comprehensive Master Plan. Build out Analysis conducted by Town Planning and Urban Design Collaborative for the Town of Londonderry.

Financing Methods

In the project summaries below, there are several different financing methods used. Four methods require appropriations, either as part of the Town's annual operating budget or as independent warrant articles at Town Meeting.

- The *1-Year Appropriation (GF)* is the most common method, and refers to those projects proposed to be funded by real property tax revenues within a single fiscal year.
- The *Capital Reserve (CRF)* method requires appropriations over more than one year, with the actual project being accomplished only when the total appropriations meet the project cost.
- *Lease/Purchase* method has been used by the Fire Department and other departments for the purchase of major vehicles.
- **Bonds (BD)** are generally limited to the most expensive capital projects, such as major renovations, additions, or new construction of school or municipal buildings or facilities, and allow capital facilities needs to be met immediately while spreading out the cost over many years in the future.
- *Impact fees (IF)* are collected from new development to pay for new facility capacity and placed in a fund until they are either expended within six years as part of the project finance or they are returned to the party they were collected from.
- *Grants (GR)* are also utilized to fund capital projects in Londonderry. Typically, grants will cover a portion of the overall project cost, and the Town is responsible for the remaining percentage of the project cost.
- **Tax Increment Financing (TIF)** TIF Districts allow the Town to use increases in valuation of property to directly pay off bonds for infrastructure improvements and capital projects within a defined district. TIF Districts are set up and administered according to NH RSA's, Chapter 162-K.
- *Access Fee (AF)* refers to money collected from users of a systems, dedicated to ongoing maintenance of town wide infrastructure.
- Lastly, the Town can take advantage of *Public/Private Partnerships*, where a private organization shares the costs of funding a capital project.

The Londonderry CIP Committee collects forms from Department Heads and Committee Chairs to identify potential capital needs and provide descriptions for the project requests. Forms are tailored by the CIP Committee and the Planning and Economic Development Department to generate information that defines the relative need and urgency for projects, and enables long-term monitoring of a project's useful life and returns. The CIP submittal form is included in Appendix B.

After written descriptions of potential capital projects are submitted, department heads or committee chairs are asked to come before the CIP Committee, as needed, to explain their capital needs and priorities and to explore with the CIP Committee the alternative approaches available to achieve the optimum level of capital needs and improvements.

The CIP Committee evaluates requests submitted from Department Heads, Boards & Committees, and assigns them to the 6-year schedule according to the priority of all capital requests. The following pages describe each of the requests that have been placed in the 6-year CIP program, and include: spreadsheets of the schedule, funding sources, tax impacts, and other required information.

The School District is currently conducting a School Facilities 10 year Master Plan that, when complete, will give a better understanding of what capital projects will be needed.

The Town Council approved Resolution 2019-11 (Taxpayer Relief Act of 2020) in December of 2019. This resolution states that there shall be no Town-side CIP requests prior to FY 2024.

With the COVID-19 global pandemic impacting the completion of the School Facilities Master Plan and the Town Council Resolution 2019-11, the Capital Improvement Program Committee recommends adoption of the current CIP with projects and priority rankings carried over from the 2021-2026 CIP plan.

Identification of Departmental Capital Needs

Priority System The Committee has established a system to assess the relative priority of projects requested by the various departments, boards, and committees. Each proposed project is individually considered by the Committee and assessed a priority rank based on the descriptions below:

- Priority 1 Urgent Cannot Be Delayed: Needed immediately for health & safety
- **Priority 2 Necessary** Needed within 3 years to maintain basic level & quality of community services.
- Priority 3 Desirable Needed within 4-6 years to improve quality or level of services.
- Priority 4 Deferrable
 Can be placed on hold until after 6 year scope of current CIP, but supports community development goals.
- **Priority 5 Premature** Needs more research, planning & coordination
- Priority 6 Inconsistent
 Contrary to land-use planning or community development goals.

Listing & Discussion of Projects by Priority

For an explanation of current CIP projects please see the Identification of Departmental Capital Needs section on page 6 of this report.

Priority 2 School District

□ Kindergarten & Elementary School Space - \$38,000,000

Project Description:

The School District is working its way through a collection of options, some include moving the kindergarten program to a full time status. This option would provide the more costly option moving the kindergarten to full time program while at the same time adding classroom space to the elementary grades to handle the increase in enrollment. This option settles both issues with one project and funding plan. **This project is a place holder until the School District finishes their School Facilities 10 Year Master Plan.**

Funding Source: Bond Proposed Funding Year: FY 2023 \$38,000,000

School District Priority 4 Auditorium - \$10,000,000 Project Description: Construction of new auditorium/function hall. This project is a place holder until the School District finishes their School Facilities 10 Year Master Plan. Funding Source: Bond **School District Priority 4** □ High School Gym Renovation and Turf Field - \$3,000,000 *Project Description:* Finish construction of the high school gym and renovate the existing lacrosse/ soccer game field to turf. This project is a place holder until the School District finishes their School Facilities 10 Year Master Plan. Funding Source: Bond Proposed Funding Year: FY 2027, \$3,000,000 **Priority 4 School District Gamma SAU Building - \$4,000,000** *Project Description:* Construction of new SAU building or School District needs to renew lease at Kitty Hawk. This project is a place holder until the School District finishes their School Facilities 10 Year Master Plan. Funding Source: Bond Proposed Funding Year: FY 2027 \$4,000,000

School District

Funding Source: Bond

District Wide School Renovations - \$15,000,000

finishes their School Facilities 10 Year Master Plan.

Proposed Funding Year: FY 2025 \$15,000,000

Project Description: Provides funding to upgrade the aging school buildings, using up-to-date materials and décor, and architectural improvements for better educational facilities. **This project is a place holder until the School District**

Priority 2

Note Regarding Previously Appropriated Exit 4A

The bond for Exit 4A was approved by a prior Town Meeting, so to that extent, it is an existing project and is not included in the CIP. In 1989, the first drawdown from this bond took place, with roughly \$500,000 committed to the project's Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The project's debt service is again impacting the community, as bonds have been issued in 2016, 2018 & 2019 for the remaining amount the project was approved for.

Capital Reserve Accounts

Capital Reserve Accounts

The Town has established a number of Capital Reserve accounts for which annual contributions are made to support long term investments, including fleet maintenance, regular repairs/replacements or recurring costs. The intent is to provide for regular contributions so that full funding is spread over multiple payments. Capital Reserve Fund accounts are created with a Town Meeting vote to authorize the fund. A vote of the Town Council or School Board is required to withdraw from the accounts.

On the Town side, the following accounts have been created: Cable Division Cemetery Land Fire Apparatus Fire Equipment GIS Maintenance Program Highway Master Plan Update Pillsbury Cemetery Expansion Recreation

Non-CIPPublic Works & Engineering - Sewer DivisionProjects□Sewer Improvements

There are a number of sewer construction projects that have been identified in the current Wastewater Facilities Plan, and the updated Facilities Plan currently under development.

It is anticipated the following three projects could begin within the next 6 years:

- Phase 2 of South Londonderry Interceptor to service the area at the intersection of Rte. 128 and Rte. 102 (Cross Roads Mall, Elliot Hospital, Coach Stop) and to address failing septic systems on Sandstone Circle, Boulder Drive, and Granite Street) Estimated Cost \$8.0M to \$10.0M
- Pump Station and Force Main to serve the future Woodmont project area located west of I-93 (heading north toward Manchester Treatment Plant) Estimated Cost \$5.0M
- Pump Station and Force Main to serve the future Woodmont project area located east of I-93 (heading north toward Manchester Treatment Plant) Estimated Cost \$5.0M

It is anticipated that these projects will be financed by developers and/or sewer access fees and so they are not reflected in the CIP tax impact analysis. They are presented here for informational purposes only.

PROJECT SCORING AND PRIORITY SUMMARY

| Project | Department | Cost | Placement in 2021-2026 CIP | 2019 CIP Committee Score | 2020 Dept Score | 2020 CIP Committee Score | CIP Committee Priority Assignment | CIP Committee Placement in 22-27 CIP FY |
|---|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Kindergarten & Elementary School Space | School District1 | \$38,000,000 | Priority 2 AE 2022 Const 2023 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 2 | FY 2023 |
| District Wide Building Renovations & Additions | School District2 | \$15,000,000 | Priority 2 AE 2024 Const 2025 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 2 | FY 2025 |
| Auditorium Construction | School District3 | \$10,000,000 | Priority 3 AE 2026 Const 2027 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 4 | FY 2027 |
| HS Gym Rennovation & Turf Field | School District4 | \$3,000,000 | Priority 3 AE 2026 Const 2027 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 4 | FY 2027 |
| New SAU Office | School District5 | \$4,000,000 | Priority 4 AE 2026 Const 2027 | 18 | 11 | 18 | 4 | FY 2027 |

1 - Urgent

2 - Necessary 3 - Desirable Cannot be Delayed; Needed immediately for health & safety

sary Needed within 3 years to maintain basic level & quality of community services ble Needed within 4-6 years to improve quality or level of services.

Can be placed on hold until after 6 year scope of current CIP, but supports community development goals.

4 - Deferrable 5 - Premature 6 - Inconsistent

Needs more research, planning & coordination Contrary to land use planning or community development goals.

These **projects are place holders until the School District finishes their School Facilities 10 Year Master Plan. For more information see the** Identification of Departmental Capital Needs section on page 6 of this report. MUNICIPAL PROJECTS FY 2022- 2027

| Department/Project | COST | Funding Source | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 | TOTAL |
|---|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| POLICE DEPARTMENT Police Cruisers | 000'006\$ | GF/Lease | \$450,000 | 8 | 80 | 0\$ | \$450,000 | \$0 | S | 000,008\$ |
| Police Sub-Total | 000'006\$ | | \$450,000 | 8 | \$0 | \$0 | \$450,000 | \$0 | so | \$900,000 |
| HIGHWAY Roadway Maintenance Trust Hww Fruinment/Trks | \$4,550,000 \$7 435 000 | TF GF/L ease | \$650,000 \$450,000 | \$650,000 \$640,000 | \$650,000 \$385,000 | \$650,000 \$310.000 | \$650,000 \$185 000 | \$650,000 \$185 000 | \$650,000 \$780,000 | \$4,550,000 \$2,155,000 |
| Highway Sub-Total | \$6,985,000 | | \$1,100,000 | \$1,290,000 | \$1,035,000 | \$960,000 | \$835,000 | \$835,000 | \$930,000 | \$6,705,000 |
| FIRE DEPARTMENT Fire Apparatus/vehicles CRF-FF Equipment | \$2,570,000 \$700,000 | GF/Lease CRF/Lease | \$270,000 \$100,000 | \$270,000 \$100,000 | \$0 \$100,000 | \$0 \$100,000 | \$580,000 \$100,000 | \$950,000 \$100,000 | \$500,000 \$100,000 | \$2,070,000 \$700,000 |
| Fire Sub-Total | \$3,270,000 | | \$370,000 | \$370,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$680,000 | \$1,050,000 | \$600,000 | \$2,770,000 |
| C COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CR5 Maintenance Program GIS Maintenance Program Community Development Sub-Total GENERAL GOVERNMENT CRF Recreation | \$140.000 \$195.000 \$196.000 \$135.000 | 24 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | \$35,000 \$00 \$00 \$000 \$000 | \$70,000 \$56,000 \$56,000 | \$35,000 \$28,000 \$28,000 \$28,000 5,000 | \$0 \$28,000 \$28,000 \$28,000 \$,000 | \$28,000 \$28,000 \$28,000 \$28,000 | \$0 \$28,000 \$28,000 5,000 5,000 | \$0 \$28,000 \$28,000 \$28,000 | \$140,000 \$168,000 \$168,000 \$168,000 \$45,000 |
| Sub-Total - General Govt | \$35,000 | | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$45,000 |
| Grand Total - Town Projects | \$11,386,000 | | \$1,925,000 | \$1,721,000 | \$1,168,000 | \$1,093,000 | \$1,998,000 | \$1,918,000 | \$1,563,000 | \$9,688,000 |
| Summary - ALL CAPITAL PROJECTS Town Projects School Projects | \$11,386,000 \$70,000,000 | | \$1,925,000 \$0 | \$1,721,000 \$38,000,000 | \$1,168,000 \$0 | \$1,093,000 \$15,000,000 | \$1,998,000 \$15,000,000 | \$1,918,000 \$17,000,000 | \$1,563,000 \$0 | \$10,588,000 \$85,000,000 |
| TOTAL - ALL CAPITAL PROJECTS | \$81,386,000 | | \$1,925,000 | \$39,721,000 | \$1,168,000 | \$16,093,000 | \$16,998,000 | \$18,918,000 | \$1,563,000 | \$95,588,000 |
| Legend for Funding Source: | ding Source: | | | | | | | | | |

CRF. Capital Reserve Fund CRF. Capital Reserve Fund BD- Bond GF. General Fund AF. Access Fee

FY 2023-2028 CIP

IF- Impact Fee GR- Grant TF- Trust Fund CR- Current Revenue FINANCING PLAN FOR CIP MUNICIPAL PROJECTS FY 2022-2027

| DEPARTMENT | CAPITAL PROJECT | COST SOURCES OF | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| НІСНИЛАҮ | Roadway Maintenance Trust | \$3,250,000 Project Cost GF Net Payout | \$650,000 \$650,000 \$650,000 | \$650,000 -\$650,000 \$650,000 | \$650,000 -\$650,000 \$650,000 | \$650,000 -\$650,000 \$650,000 | \$650,000 -\$650,000 \$650,000 | \$650,000 -\$650,000 \$650,000 | \$650,000 -\$650,000 \$650,000 |
| | Highway Equipment/Trucks | \$2,155,000 Project Cost Lease Annual Net Payout | \$450,000 -\$450,000 \$55,645 | \$640,000 -\$640,000 \$136,645 | \$385,000 -\$385,000 \$181,127 | \$310,000 -\$310,000 \$219,002 | \$185,000 -\$185,000 \$238,627 | \$185,000 -\$185,000 \$245,107 | \$210,000 -\$210,000 \$272,482 |
| POLICE DEPARTMENT | Police Cruisers | \$450,000 Project Cost Lease Net Payout | \$450,000 -\$450,000 \$150,000 | \$0 \$150,000 | \$0 \$0 \$150,000 | \$0 \$0 \$150,000 | \$450,000 -\$450,000 \$150,000 | \$0 \$150,000 | \$0 \$0 \$150,000 |
| FIRE DEPARTMENT | Fire Apparatus/Vehicles | \$1,543,602 Project Cost Lease Net Payout | \$270,000 -\$270,000 \$50,750 | \$270,000 -\$270,000 \$101,500 | \$0 \$0 \$101,500 | \$0 \$0 \$101,500 | \$580,000 -\$580,000 \$169,750 | \$950,000 -\$950,000 \$278,500 | \$500,000 -\$500,000 \$285,250 |
| | CRF - FF/EMS Equipment | \$650,000 Project Cost Capital Reserve Funds Net Payout | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 |
| COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT | MENT DEPARTMENT | | | | | | | | |
| | CRF - Master Plan | \$140,000 Project Cost Capital Reserve Funds Net Payout | \$35,000 \$35,000 \$35,000 | \$70,000 -\$70,000 \$70,000 | \$35,000 -\$35,000 \$35,000 | 80 80 80 80 | 80 80 80 | 8 8 8 | 0 \$ \$ \$ \$ |
| | CRF - GIS Maintenance Program | \$140,000 Project Cost Capital Reserve Funds Net Payout | \$28,000 -\$28,000 \$28,000 | \$28,000 -\$28,000 \$28,000 | \$28,000 -\$28,000 \$28,000 | \$28,000 -\$28,000 \$28,000 | \$28,000 -\$28,000 \$28,000 | \$28,000 -\$28,000 \$28,000 | \$28,000 -\$28,000 \$28,000 |
| GENERAL GOVERNMENT | NT | | | | | | | | |
| | Pillsbury Cemetery Expansion CRF | \$600,000 Project Cost GF Net Payout | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 | \$100,000 -\$100,000 \$100,000 |
| | Cemeteries CRF | \$70,000 Project Cost GF Net Payout | \$10,000 -\$10,000 \$10,000 | \$10,000 -\$10,000 \$10,000 | \$10,000 -\$10,000 \$10,000 | \$10,000 -\$10,000 \$10,000 | \$10,000 -\$10,000 \$10,000 | \$10,000 -\$10,000 \$10,000 | \$10,000 -\$10,000 \$10,000 |
| | Recreation CRF | \$45,000 Project Cost GF Net Payout | \$5,000 -\$5,000 \$5,000 | \$5,000 -\$5,000 \$5,000 | \$5,000 -\$5,000 \$5,000 | \$5,000 -\$5,000 \$5,000 | \$5,000 -\$5,000 \$5,000 | \$5,000 -\$5,000 \$5,000 | \$5,000 -\$5,000 \$5,000 |
| MUNICIPAL GOV'T | | \$18,835,602 | | | | | | | |
| | | Project Cost Applied Revenues Net Payout Tax Rate Impact | \$2,063,000 -\$2,063,000 \$1,149,395 \$0.25 | \$1,803,000 \$1,278,000 \$1,803,000 \$1,278,000 \$1,281,145 \$1,325,627 \$0.28 \$0.28 | | \$1,203,000 \$2,108,000 \$1,203,000 \$2,108,000 \$1,363,502 \$1,451,377 \$0.29 \$0.31 | | \$2,028,000 -\$2,028,000 \$1,566,607 \$0.33 | \$1,603,000 -\$1,603,000 \$1,600,732 \$0.33 |
| | Proposed New Debt Payments | | \$256,395 | \$388,145 | \$432,627 | \$470,502 | \$558,377 | \$673,607 | \$707,732 |

ი

SCHOOL DISTRICT PROJECTS CIP FY 2022-2027

| | 201001 | COST | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2023 FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 2027 FY 2028 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| District Wide Renovations | General Use | \$15,000,000 | | | | | | \$15,000,000 | | |
| 20 Year 2.50% Rate assumptions | State Aid | | | | | | | | | |
| | Bonds/Notes | | | | | | | -\$15,000,000 | | |
| | Net Impact | | | | | | | \$1,162,500 | \$1,141,875 | \$1,121,250 |
| II Time | General Use | \$38,000,000 | | | | \$38,000,000 | | | | |
| 20 Year 2.50% Rate assumptions | Bonds/Notes | | | | | -\$38.000.000 | | | | |
| Π | Net Impact | | | | | \$2,945,000 | \$2,892,750 | \$2,840,500 | \$2,788,250 | \$2,736,000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| New SAU building | General Use | \$4,000,000 | | | | | | | | \$4,000,000 |
| 10 Year 2.50% Rate assumptions | State Aid | | | | | | | | | |
| | Bonds/Notes | | | | | | | | | -\$4,000,000 |
| | Net Impact | | | | | | | | | \$510,000 |
| Arts Center/Auditorim | General Use | \$10.000.000 | | | | | | | | \$10.000.000 |
| sumptions | State Aid | | | | | | | | | |
| | CR/Bonds/Notes | | | | | | | | | -10,000,000 |
| | Net Impact | | | | | | | | | \$1,2/5,000 |
| Gvm Renovations & Turf Field | General Use | \$3.000.000 | | | | | | | | \$3.000.000 |
| 5 | State Aid | | | | | | | | | |
| | CR/Bonds/Notes | | | | | | | | | -3,000,000 |
| | Net Impact | | | | | | | | | \$382,500 |
| GR.TOTAL-SCHOOL | Project Cost | \$70,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$38,000,000 | \$0 | \$15,000,000 | \$0 | \$17,000,000 |
| | Applied Revenues | -\$70,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 -\$38,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 -\$15,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 -\$17,000,000 |
| | Net Payout | \$19,795,625 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$2,945,000 | \$2,892,750 | \$4,003,000 | \$3,930,125 | \$6,024,750 |

This page intentionally left blank

Net Tax Impact Analysis Municipal Government Current Debt Schedule (Part 1)

| | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| <u>MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT</u> | | | | | | | |
| Principle - Bonded Debt Interest - Bonded Debt Principle - Capital Leases Interest - Capital Leases | 1,800,800 466,055 594,324 78,807 | 1,555,800 399,273 491,441 62 491 | 1,550,800 215,562 493,097 49625 | 865,800 288,147 463,656 36 799 | 874,271 254,218 351,118 24,910 | 745,000 219,713 165,757 15,548 | 550,000 191,513 146,547 10.029 |
| Total Debt Pmts | \$2,939,985 | \$2,509,006 | \$2,309,084 | \$1,654,402 | \$1,504,517 | \$1,146,017 | \$898,088 |
| Revenues Applied to Debt | \$120,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Current Debt Ann.Paymts | \$2,819,985 | \$2,509,006 | \$2,309,084 | \$1,654,402 | \$1,504,517 | \$1,146,017 | \$898,088 |
| Net Tax Impact | \$0.61 | \$0.54 | \$0.49 | \$0.35 | \$0.32 | \$0.24 | \$0.19 |
| Debt Schedule as Proposed in CIP | \$256,395 | \$388,145 | \$432,627 | \$470,502 | \$558,377 | \$673,607 | \$707,732 |
| Proposed Debt Schedule | \$3,076,380 | \$2,897,151 | \$2,741,711 | \$2,124,904 | \$2,062,894 | \$1,819,624 | \$1,605,820 |
| Net Tax Impact | \$0.67 | \$0.62 | \$0.58 | \$0.45 | \$0.43 | \$0.38 | \$0.33 |
| Capital Reserve Funds / EMTF: Contributions: Highway Fire Apparatus Fire Equipment | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$ \$100,000 | \$ | \$100,000 |
| Cemeteries | \$0 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| Cable Division | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Recreation | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| Pillsbury Cemetery Expansion Master Plan | \$75,000 | \$75,000 \$75,000 | \$75,000 | 000'9/\$ | 2000'97\$ | 000'9/\$ | 000'97% |
| GIS Maintenance Program | \$0 | \$56,000 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 |
| Expendable Mainteance Trust | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 |
| Roadway Maintenance Trust Total CRFs / EMTF | \$650,000 \$1.045.000 | \$650,000 \$1.151.000 | \$650,000 \$1.083.000 | \$650,000 \$1.048.000 | \$650,000 \$1.048.000 | \$650,000 \$1.048.000 | \$650,000 \$1.048.000 |
| Net Tax Impact | \$0.23 | \$0.25 | \$0.23 | \$0.22 | \$0.22 | \$0.22 | \$0.22 |
| CIP Projects-Pay As You Go | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Municipal Capital Outlay | \$4,121,380 | \$4,048,151 | \$3,824,711 | \$3,172,904 | \$3,110,894 | \$2,867,624 | \$2,653,820 |
| Net Municipal Tax Impact | \$0.90 | \$0.87 | \$0.81 | \$0.67 | \$0.66 | \$0.60 | \$0.55 |

ŝ

.

.

Net Tax Impact Analysis Municipal Government Current Debt Schedule (Part 2)

| | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| SCHOOL DISTRICT | | | | | | | |
| School Current Debt: Total Principle | \$1.610.000 | \$1.605.000 | \$935.000 | \$920.000 | \$520.000 | \$255.000 | \$255.000 |
| Total Interest | \$171,872 | \$113,490 | \$71,495 | \$46,306 | \$27,099 | \$17,101 | \$10,249 |
| Lease | \$131,602 | \$112,501 | \$30,063 | \$30,063 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Gross Debt/Leases | \$1,913,474 | \$1,830,991 | \$1,036,558 | \$996,369 | \$547,099 | \$272,101 | \$265,249 |
| Deduct State Reimb | (\$150,000) | (\$150,000) | (\$150,000) | (\$150,000) | (\$150,000) | (\$150,000) | (\$150,000) |
| Total Net Debt | \$1,763,474 | \$1,680,991 | \$886,558 | \$846,369 | \$397,099 | \$122,101 | \$115,249 32.32 |
| Net Tax Impact | \$0.38 | \$0.36 | \$0.19 | \$0.18 | \$0.08 | \$0.03 | \$0.02 |
| Add: | | | | | | | |
| Proposed CIP Debt | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,945,000 | \$2,892,750 | \$4,003,000 | \$3,930,125 | \$6,024,750 |
| Tax Impact CIP Proposed Debt | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.63 | \$0.61 | \$0.84 | \$0.82 | \$1.24 |
| Adiusted Net Debt Pmts | \$1,763,474 | \$1,680,991 | \$3,831,558 | \$3,739,119 | \$4,400,099 | \$4,052,226 | \$6,139,999 |
| Adjusted Debt Schedule | \$1,763,474 | \$1,680,991 | \$3,831,558 | \$3,739,119 | \$4,400,099 | \$4,052,226 | \$6,139,999 |
| Adjusted Debt Tax Impact | \$0.38 | \$0.36 | \$0.82 | \$0.79 | \$0.93 | \$0.85 | \$1.27 |
| SCHOOL DISTRICT - PAY AS YOU GO PROJECTS | | | | | | | |
| Total Pay As You Go | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Tax Impact Pay As You Go | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| TOTAL SCHOOL | \$1,763,474 | \$1,680,991 | \$3,831,558 | \$3,739,119 | \$4,400,099 | \$4,052,226 | \$6,139,999 |
| SCHOOL TAX IMPACT | \$0.38 | \$0.36 | \$0.82 | \$0.79 | \$0.93 | \$0.85 | \$1.27 |
| COMBINED DEBT PMTS | \$4,839,854 | \$4,578,142 | \$6,573,269 | \$5,864,023 | \$6,462,993 | \$5,871,850 | \$7,745,819 |
| COMBINED PAY AS YOU GO | \$1,045,000 \$1.20 | \$1,151,000 \$1.22 | \$1,083,000 \$1.62 | \$1,048,000 \$1.46 | \$1,048,000 \$1.58 | \$1,048,000 \$1,11 | \$1,048,000 \$1 02 |
| | 07.1 ¢ | 07.1 @ | 00.1 ¢ | 9 | 00.10 | **: * | 70.1 @ |
| | | | | | | | |
| Tay Rase | \$4 589 876 330 \$4 644 374 475 \$4 699 840 092 \$4 746 838 493 | 4 644 374 475 9 | 24 699 840 092 S | 4 746 838 493 5 | 4 746 838 493 | \$4 794 306 878 | 24 842 249 947 |
| | * | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | * oot'ooo'ot i't | 7,1 70,000,700 | P 10:000 10 10 10 10 | ¥-1,0-1,2-0,0-1 |

Note: Tax base for FY 2021 from MS-1 2020 Tax Rate Calculation

Conclusion & Recommendations

The Program of Capital Expenditures herein provides a guide for budgeting and development of Londonderry's public facilities. The Planning Board will review and update the CIP each year prior to budget deliberations. The CIP may be modified each year based on changes in needs and priorities. As noted in the Plan, there are projects proposed where the CIP Committee has determined that there is not enough information to make a recommendation concerning a proposed capital project. These are topics in the opinion of the Committee that should be studied in further detail before funding decisions should be made.

The Capital Improvements Planning Committee has worked hard to improve the effectiveness of capital facilities programming in Londonderry. It is hoped that the improvements made during this time can continue to be refined and evaluated for their effectiveness in future years. The CIP Committee believes that Londonderry has made great strides in process and format of the Capital Improvements Plan, and are hopeful that the improvements have made a difference to the Planning Board, Town Council, School Board, and Budget Committee as they prepare budgets each year.

CHAPTER 674 LOCAL LAND USE PLANNING AND REGULATORY POWERS

Capital Improvements Program

674:5 Authorization. – In a municipality where the planning board has adopted a master plan, the local legislative body may authorize the planning board to prepare and amend a recommended program of municipal capital improvement projects projected over a period of at least 6 years. As an alternative, the legislative body may authorize the governing body of a municipality to appoint a capital improvement program committee, which shall include at least one member of the planning board and may include but not be limited to other members of the planning board, the budget committee, or the town or city governing body, to prepare and amend a recommended program of municipal capital improvement projects projected over a period of at least years. The capital improvements program may encompass major projects being currently undertaken or future projects to be undertaken with federal, state, county and other public funds. The sole purpose and effect of the capital improvements program shall be to aid the mayor or selectmen and the budget committee in their consideration of the annual budget. **Source.** 1983, 447:1, eff. Jan. 1, 1984. 2002, 90:1, eff. July 2, 2002.

674:6 Purpose and Description. – The capital improvements program shall classify projects according to the urgency and need for realization and shall recommend a time sequence for their implementation. The program may also contain the estimated cost of each project and indicate probable operating and maintenance costs and probable revenues, if any, as well as existing sources of funds or the need for additional sources of funds for the implementation and operation of each project. The program shall be based on information submitted by the departments and agencies of the municipality and shall take into account public facility needs indicated by the prospective development shown in the master plan of the municipality or as permitted by other municipal land use controls.

Source. 1983, 447:1, eff. Jan. 1, 1984.

674:7 Preparation. -

I. In preparing the capital improvements program, the planning board or the capital improvement program committee shall confer, in a manner deemed appropriate by the board or the committee, with the mayor or the board of selectmen, or the chief fiscal officer, the budget committee, other municipal officials and agencies, the school board or boards, and shall review the recommendations of the master plan in relation to the proposed capital improvements program.

II. Whenever the planning board or the capital improvement program committee is authorized and directed to prepare a capital improvements program, every municipal department, authority or agency, and every affected school district board, department or agency, shall, upon request of the planning board or the capital improvement program committee, transmit to the board or committee a statement of all capital projects it proposes to undertake during the term of the program. The planning board or the capital improvement program committee shall study each proposed capital project, and shall advise and make recommendations to the department, authority, agency, or school district board, department or agency, concerning the relation of its project to the capital improvements program being prepared. **Source.** 1983, 447:1. 1995, 43:1, eff. July 2, 1995. 2002, 90:2, eff. July 2, 2002.

674:8 Consideration by Mayor and Budget Committee. – Whenever the planning board or the capital improvement program committee has prepared a capital improvements program under RSA 674:7, it shall submit its recommendations for the current year to the mayor or selectmen and the budget committee, if one exists, for consideration as part of the annual budget. **Source.** 1983, 447:1, eff. Jan. 1, 1984. 2002, 90:3, eff. July 2, 2002.

Appendix A: Relevant State Statutes

Appendix B: Capital Project Request Form

| Type of Project: (check one) | of projects Primary Effect of Project is to: Replace or repair existing facilities or equipment |
|--|---|
| | Replace or repair existing facilities or equipment |
| | Improve quality of existing facilities or equipment Expand capacity of existing service level/facility Provide new facility or service capacity |
| Service Area of Project: (check one) | Region Town Center Town-wide Street School District Other Area Neighborhood Verter Area |
| Project Description: | |
| | |
| Rationale for Project: (check those that apply, | Urgent Need |
| elaborate below) | Removes imminent threat to public health or safety |
| | Alleviates substandard conditions or deficiencies |
| | Responds to federal or state requirement to implement Improves the quality of existing services |
| | Provides added capacity to serve growth |
| | Reduces long term operating costs |
| | Provides incentive to economic development Eligible for matching funds available for a limited time |
| | |

Londonderry Capital Improvement Plan Capital Project Worksheet & Submission Form

| Cost Estimate: | Capital Costs | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| (Itemize as | Dollar Amount (In | current \$) | | | |
| Necessary) | \$ | _ Planning/Feasibil | ty Analysis | Impact on Operating & Maint. Costs or Personnel Needs | |
| | \$ | _ Architecture & En | gineering Fees | | |
| | \$ | _ Real Estate aquis | ition | Add Personnel Increased O&M Costs | |
| | \$ | _ Site preparation | | Reduce Personnel | |
| | \$ | _ Construction | | Decreased O&M Costs | |
| | \$ | _ Furnishings & equ | uipment | Dollar Cost of Impacts if known: | |
| | \$ | Vehicles & capita | equipment | | |
| | \$ | _ | | + \$ Annually (-) \$ Annually | |
| | \$ | _ | | | |
| | \$ | | | | |
| | ▶ \$ Total Project Cost | | et | | |
| | Ψ | | 51 | | |
| Source of Funding: | | | | | |
| | Grant From: _ | | \$ | (show type) | |
| | Loan From: _ | | \$ | (show type) | |
| | Donation/Bequest/private User Fees & Charges Capital Reserve Withdrawal Impact Fee Account Current Revenue General Obligation Bond | | \$ (cc.: (jpc)) | | |
| | | | \$ \$ \$ \$ | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | \$ | | |
| | Revenue Bond | | \$ | | |
| | Special Assessn | nent | \$ | | |
| | | | \$ | | |
| | <u> </u> | | \$ | | |
| | т | otal Project Cost: | \$ | | |
| Form Prepared By: | | | | | |
| | Signature: | | | _ | |
| | Title: | | | _ | |
| | Dept./Agency: _ | | | _ | |
| | | | | | |

Appendix C: Capital Project Scoring Sheet

Evaluation Criteria

Addresses an emergency of public safety need Addresses a deficiency in service or facility Provides capacity needed to serve existing population or future growth

Results in long-term cost savings Supports job development/increased tax base Furthers the goals of the 2012 Master Plan

Leverages the non-property tax revenues Matching funds available for a limited time

Total

CIP Priority Assignment



Department Committee Score Score

1 - Urgent - Cannot be Delayed; Needed immediately for health & safety

2 - Necessary - Needed within 3 years to maintain basic level & quality of community services

3 - Desirable - Needed within 4-6 years to improve quality or level of services

4 - Deferrable - Can be placed on hold until after 6 year scope of current CIP, but supports community development goals

5 - Premature - Needs more research, planning & coordination

6 - Inconsistent - Contrary to land use planning or community development goals

Appendix D: Project Submission Materials and Backup Information

RESOLUTION 2019-11

A Resolution Relative to Creating the Taxpayer Relief Act of 2020

First Reading: 12/09/2019 Second Reading: Waived Adopted: 12/09/2019

| WHEREAS | the Town of Londonderry recently went through a town-wide revaluation that reflects significant property value increases due to market trends and Londonderry's desirability as a community; and |
|---------|---|
| WHEREAS | the property value increases shift the property tax burden slightly from the commercial to the residential taxpayers, resulting in a higher than expected property tax increase; and |
| WHEREAS | the Town has prudently managed its Undesignated Fund Balance over the last six years resulting in a current balance of over six-million dollars; and |
| WHEREAS | personnel salaries and benefits of full-time employees are the largest cost drivers of the general fund operating budget each year; and |
| WHEREAS | the soon-to-be completed Central Fire Station represents the last known major capital expense on the Town-side of government for the foreseeable future, and because capital expenses often require bonding causing the Town to take on additional debt; |

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Londonderry Town Council that it hereby creates the Taxpayer Relief Act of 2020, enacted to provide tax relief to the property taxpayers of Londonderry, as well as control the rate of Town-side spending, with said provisions of such attached hereto.

John Farrell - Chairman Town Council

Sharon Farrell - Town Clerk

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:

12/09/2019



Taxpayer Relief Act of 2020

- 1. Apply one-million dollars of Undesignated Fund Balance toward reducing the tax rate beginning in 2020 and continuing through 2024.
 - a. Two-hundred thousand dollars shall be applied each year over five years.
 - b. The only exception to this provision shall be if the UFB falls below the Town's recommended levels.

2. There shall be no new full-time personnel requests until FY2023

- a. This provision shall not apply if the position(s) are funded at least 50% by an outside revenue source beginning in FY22
- b. This provision excludes retirements and/or other department reorganizations
- 3. There shall be no Town-side CIP requests prior to FY2024

, "