LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 4, 2023, AT THE MOOSE HILL COUNCIL CHAMBERS

I. CALL TO ORDER

Members Present: Art Rugg, Chair; Al Sypek, Vice Chair; Jake Butler, Secretary; Lynn Wiles, Assistant Secretary; Jeff Penta, member; Ted Combes, Ex-Officio – Town Council; Giovanni Verani, Ex-Officio; Roger Fillio, alternate member; Tony DeFrancesco, alternate member; Jason Knights, alternate member.

Also Present: Kellie Caron, Assistant Town Manager/Director of Economic Development; John Trottier, Director of Engineering & Environmental Services

Chairman Rugg called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m., explained the exit and emergency procedures, and began with the Pledge of Allegiance. He appointed J. Knights to vote for A. Chiampa.

II. ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD WORK

A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Approval of the draft minutes for September 6 and 13, 2023, were tabled until the next meeting.

B. REGIONAL IMPACT DETERMINATIONS:

- K. Caron informed the Board that she had one project for their consideration:
- Public hearing on an application for formal review of a lot line adjustment to adjust the lot lines of Map 17 Lot 5-5, 5 Kitty Hawk Landing Map 17 Lot 11, 595 Mammoth Road, Map 17 Lot 13, 603 Mammoth Road, Zoned Industrial I (Ind-I), Agricultural Residential (AR-1), and Commercial II (C-II). Londonderry Holdings, LLC and Thibeault Corporation of NH & Thibeault Corporation of NE (owners) and Ken Solinsky (applicant).

Staff is recommending that this is not a development of regional impact.

Member A. Sypek made a motion that this development does not meet the standards for regional impact.

J. Butler seconded the motion.

The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

C. DISCUSSION WITH TOWN STAFF:

K. Caron informed the Board there was one extension request:

1. Applicant for the site plan for the Wood Partners project (also known as the Alta Woodmont project) has requested a 75-day extension to December 19, 2023. They are continuing to seek final permitting from the state.

Member A. Sypek made a motion to extend the site plan to December 19, 2023.

J. Butler seconded the motion.

The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

 K. Caron shared an awareness item with the Board. An engineer doing work on Jefferson Street in Derry contacted the Planning Department regarding a proposed three-lot subdivision. The Town line runs through one of the parcels. There is no impact to the Town of Londonderry and no proposed disturbance relating to this project.

III. Old Business

Chairman Rugg announced on October 14, 2023, from 10:00 a.m. until noon, there is a listening session in Council Chambers regarding plans for the old Town Hall. All are invited.

IV. New Plans

 A. Public hearing on an application for formal review of a lot line adjustment to adjust the lot lines of Map 17 Lot 5-5, 5 Kitty Hawk Landing Map 17 Lot 11, 595 Mammoth Road, Map 17 Lot 13, 603 Mammoth Road, Zoned Industrial I (Ind-I), Agricultural Residential (AR-1), and Commercial II (C-II). Londonderry Holdings, LLC and Thibeault Corporation of NH & Thibeault Corporation of NE (owners) and Ken Solinsky (applicant).

J. Trottier said there were eight outstanding checklist items:

1. Applicant did not provide the owner's address in the title block per section 4.02.E of the regs and item 3.2(e) of the checklist.

 2. Applicant did not provide the applicant's address in the title block per section 4.02.J of the regs and item 3.2(j) of the checklist. In addition, the cover sheet identifies different applicant's name and general information than noted in the title block.

112

113 114

115

116

117 118

119

120 121

122 123

124 125

126 127

128 129

130 131

132 133

134

135

136 137

138

139 140 141

142

143 144

- Wednesday 10/04/2023 97 3. Applicant's submission does not include the proper notes on the plans for the 98 proposed lot areas, existing and proposed use, sanitary sewer service, water 99 supply source, zoning variances, and note identifying which plans are to be 100 recorded per sections 4.11.C, 4.11.F, 4.11.G, H, I, and O of the regulations in items 3.7.C, F, G, H, I, and N of the checklist. 101 102 4. The applicant's submission did not include the owner's signature per the 103 regulations and item 3.27 of the checklist. 5. The applicant's submission did not include all required setbacks, including the 104 105 applicable buffers to the subject lots per section 4.12.C.18 of the regs and 106 item 3.28 of the checklist. 107 6. The applicant's submission did not include the location of existing overhead utility lines per section 3.05 and 4.12.C.22 of the regs and item 3.31 of the 108 109 checklist. 110
 - 7. The applicant's submission did not include tax map sketch showing the proposed lot configuration at a scale of one inch equals 400 feet per section 4.15 of the regs and item 3.8 of the checklist.
 - 8. The applicant's submission did not include the proposed driveways to serve vacant lots 5.5 and 11 per sections 3.09.B and 3.09.F of the regs and item 3.34 of the checklist, including a driveway sight distance plan for each existing and proposed driveway per exhibit D.3 of the regulations.
 - K. Caron added they received a waiver request from the applicant specific to item 8 on the Staff memorandum relating to the sight distance plan for the existing and proposed driveways. The request is to waive it in its entirety, not just for acceptance purposes only.
 - Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment.
 - The Board members agreed they were in favor of waiving this for acceptance purposes only, so the Board can hear what the applicant has to say.
 - Member J. Butler made a motion to accept waivers 1 through 8 for acceptance purposes only.
 - A. Sypek seconded the motion.
 - The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.
 - Member J. Butler made a motion to accept the application as complete.
 - A. Sypek seconded the motion.
 - The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.
 - Chairman Rugg noted that the 65-day time clock has started.

146 Dick Anagnost and Nick Golon of TFMoran appeared before the Board on behalf of 147 the applicant. N. Golon described the project, which is a lot line adjustment for an 148 industrial subdivision of three existing lots of 26.5 acres that are part of a larger 149 planned development. They intend to increase the size of this parcel to 46.3 acres 150 by pulling land from two lots immediately to the east of the subject property. The reason for the outstanding checklist items is that the plan was set up for the master 151 152 development. Many of the items relevant to how these lots exist today were 153 inadvertently not included on the original submittal.

154 155

There are two waivers before the Board, one of which is regarding wetlands in the area of development. The second waiver is to defer describing an alternative approach to the property to be part of a site plan approval.

157 158 159

156

The Board agreed there was no need to speculate, as there is no final design.

160 161

Chairman Rugg asked for Staff comment.

162163

J. Trottier noted the following design review items:

164 165

166

167

168

169

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

1. Item 2, sheet 1 of 8 includes the subdivision of a lot upon abutting lot 28.29.2 to create a new lot, 28.29.6 that is not identified in the application submission. The proposed subdivision lot line adjustment design for new lot 28.29.6 does not appear to comply with section 4.4.1.3.B of the zoning ordinance and section 3.04.A of the subdivision regulations, since it does not have frontage on a Class V or better roadway, with the minimum required 150 feet of frontage.

V or better roadway, with the minimum required 150 feet of frontage.
Item 3, the applicant's submittal does not include topographic information for lot
5.5 per section 4.17 of the regs. Staff is requesting that applicant update the plans accordingly.

- 3. Item 4, Staff is requesting that the applicant provide proper monumentation of all property lot angle points. Staff also asks that applicant indicate the location of abutting lot 7.20-0 on the plan in accordance with the regs. Also, label the status class of the roadways.
 - 4. Item D is to provide the existing information per section 4.17.8.27 of the regs as it relates to the culverts along Mammoth Road. Sheet 7 indicates two separate culverts under Mammoth Road, with one shown upon lot 7.13. Typically, Staff requests drainage easements be provided on those existing culverts to allow for proper maintenance to occur. Staff is requesting those easements be granted.
 - 5. Item F, please indicate and clarify the entire zoning line on sheets 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 of the plan set in accordance with the regs.
- 185 6. Item G, to confirm the proposed lot number designation and addresses with the assessor.
- 7. Item H, on sheet 1 of the plan set, identify the abutting lots 29.3 and 29.5 as abutters to lot 28.29-6 that are not shown on the current tax map in the GIS map. Please clarify and verify that these lots actually exist.
- 190 8. Item I, it's unknown if the existing building on lot 17.13 is serviced by septic and well. Please provide the update on the plan set.
- 192 9. Item J, plans do not indicate or label the location of the minimum 14 benchmarks

- needed to provide the minimum of one per five acres as required by the regulations.
- 195 10. Item 5, verify the DRC comments for the project are adequately addressed.

J. Trottier stated he does not support the waiver request for the driveway sight line.
As a new lot is being created, it is the practice to show that the proper sight
distance can be achieved on that lot.

200 201

202

203

K. Caron elaborated on item 2 in the design review comments and item 4H. She noted the lot in question does not exist as it is depicted on the lot line adjustment plan. The expectation is that the plan set be corrected before issuing final approval of this plan.

204205206

207

208

209

N. Golon noted there was supposed to be another application before the Board for subdivision of the lot in question, whereby the applicant would be acquiring the portion that is identified on their plan. The application has been withdrawn, as the applicant is purchasing the lot in its entirety. Therefore, their plan set reflects dated information and they will update the plan accordingly.

210211

K. Caron said Planning Staff supports the waiver request as it relates to the donation of wetlands on the entirety of the site. They are in support of waiving that requirement.

215 216

The Board discussed site distance requirements. N. Golon noted they are willing to withdraw the driveway sight line waiver request, in light of the fact that the future project is speculative at this point.

218 219

217

220 Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment.

221

Some Board members felt there were too many unknowns to be able to make a decision. J. Butler was willing to move forward, as long as the conditions of approval exist and are appropriate.

225 226

Staff is recommending a continuance.

227

228 N. Golon withdrew the sight line waiver request.

229230

231

G. Verani asked what the lot line adjustment would accomplish if confirmed now instead of returning after the outstanding items were addressed. N. Golon explained it affects the ability to close swiftly on properties.

232233234

Chairman Rugg asked for public comment.

235

- Caroline Schultz, co-owner of lot 17-10, asked if the 19 acres being conveyed is the final piece that needs to be acquired to have the 100 acres required for the PUD. D. Anagnost said they will be acquiring the lower piece as well as the 19
- acres, so it will be in excess of 100 acres. She believes the Board does not have
- an issue with the concept of the PUD. Chairman Rugg stressed the Board does not know what is

going to happen with this project, as it is only in the planning process. Caroline said zoning flies out the window when you have a PUD. She expressed her concern that the project is getting close to her house.

Bob Merrill, 569 Mammoth Road, said he thinks there should be a workshop with the Planning Board, Conservation Board, Heritage Board, School Board, Public Works and Staff members to determine how this project is going to look. He suggested representatives from these Boards and departments conduct a site walk to get a lay of the land.

Chairman Rugg closed public comment.

Member A. Sypek made a motion to continue the lot line adjustment to adjust the lot lines of Map 17 Lot 5-5, 5 Kitty Hawk Landing Map 17 Lot 11, 595 Mammoth Road, Map 17 Lot 13, 603 Mammoth Road, Zoned Industrial I (Ind-I), Agricultural Residential (AR-1), and Commercial II (C-II). Londonderry Holdings, LLC and Thibeault Corporation of NH & Thibeault Corporation of NE (owners) and Ken Solinsky (applicant) to November 8, 2023.

L. Wiles seconded the motion.

The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

Chairman Rugg announced this application is continued to November 8, 2023 at 7 PM in Town Hall. This is the only public notice.

B. Public hearing on an application for formal review of a site plan for the construction of a proposed 3,116 SF bank with drive thru, associated parking and site improvements, 66 Gilcreast Road (Map 7 Lot 66, Zoned C-I), Chase Bank (Applicant) and Gilcreast Road Realty Trust (Owner).

J. Trottier said there were no outstanding checklist items. Staff recommends that the Board vote to accept the application, as it is substantially complete and contains sufficient information to invoke the Board's jurisdiction and to allow the Board to make an informed decision.

Member A. Sypek made a motion to accept the application as complete.

J. Butler seconded the motion.

The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

Chairman Rugg noted that the 65-day time clock has started.

Randy Miron of Bohler Engineering and Kevin Kelly (ph) of Corstays Group, project architect, appeared before the Board on behalf of the applicant. R. Miron noted the Heritage Commission and Conservation Commission have recommended approval of the project. He presented a high-level overview of the project, which is a Chase bank with a drive-thru ATM.

Chairman Rugg asked for Staff comment.

J. Trottier noted the following design review items:

- 1. Item 1, the applicant should submit for and obtain all project permits, indicate the permit approval numbers in the permit table on sheet C.3.0.1. Provide copies of all permits for the Planning Department's file in accordance with the regs.
- 2. Item 2, Staff recommends that the applicant provide an insulated sewer pipe construction detail in the plan set for proper construction.
- 3. Item 3, Staff requests that they address items relative to the project drainage analysis.
- 4. Item A, provide an updated and complete stormwater report that incorporates the recently submitted 25-year design velocities of the proposed pipe network based upon the actual flows, which demonstrate the minimum pipe velocities of two feet per second is achieved.
- 5. Item B, Staff recommends the applicant incorporate the recently submitted best management practice map in the project O&M and update the maintenance log form specific list HBMP in the report similar to sheet C.9.05 to ensure each one will be inspected as typically requested by the Department of Engineering & Environmental Services.
- 6. To verify the updated DRC comments for the project are adequately addressed with the Assessing Department and the Fire Department.

Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment. The Board expressed their approval of the project and verified that the existing ponding issues in the parking lot will be resolved.

Chairman Rugg asked for public comment; there was none.

Chairman Rugg closed the public hearing.

Member A. Sypek made a motion to grant conditional approval of the site plan for the construction of a proposed 3,116 SF bank with drive thru, associated parking and site improvements, 66 Gilcreast Road (Map 7 Lot 66, Zoned C-I), Chase Bank (Applicant) and Gilcreast Road Realty Trust (Owner) in accordance with plans prepared by Bohler Engineering dated December 14, 2022, last revised July 13, 2023, with the precedent conditions to be fulfilled within 120 days of the approval and prior to plan signature and general and subsequent conditions of approval to be fulfilled as noted

337 338	in the Staff Recommendation Memorandum dated October 4, 2023.
339 340	1 Unights accorded the metion
341	J. Knights seconded the motion.
342 343	The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.
344	
345	C. Public hearing on an application for Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for 3,063
346	square feet of temporary wetland impact and 19,037 square feet of wetland
347 348	buffer impact for equipment access and work pad placement within the
349	Conservation Overlay District for the proposed replacement of existing structures along the existing 326 Transmission Line Right of Way (ROW) in
350	the area of Dan Hill Road, Elwood Road, Severance Drive. Public Service
351	Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy (Owner/Applicant).
352	2 -
353	T. Combes recused himself from the Board.
354	
355	J. Trottier said that Staff recommends that the application be accepted as complete.
356	Mambay A. Complements a matter to account the application as
357 358	Member A. Sypek made a motion to accept the application as complete.
359	complete.
360	J. Butler seconded the motion.
361	
362	The motion was granted, 7-0-0. The Chair voted in the
363	affirmative.
364	
365	Chairman Rugg noted that the 65-day time clock has started.
366 367	L. Wiles asked if the wetland buffer impact is temporary. K. Caron clarified there is
368	a temporary impact and a permanent impact.
369	a temperary impact and a permanent impact.
370	Conor Madison of GZA GeoEnvironmental and Travis Yandow of Eversource
371	Environmental Licensing and Permitting appeared before the Board. C. Madison
372	described the project, which is a conditional use permit application for temporary
373	wetland impacts and permanent wetland buffer impacts on a 326 transmission line.
374	They will be replacing 11 utility structures throughout the Town. It is similar work
375376	to what has been done in the past, replacing deteriorating wood poles with steel
377	poles. They will be 5 feet to 10 feet taller, due to new electrical standards.
378	Work will occur during the non-growing, inactive season. The Conservation
379	Commission has recommended approval of the CUP, although they expressed
380	concern regarding proper restoration. C. Madison noted they have permit
381	requirements for restoration and would be willing to have this added as a condition
382	of approval.
383	
384	Chairman Rugg asked for Staff comment.

J. Trottier said Engineering supports granting the CUP.

K. Caron reiterated the applicant met with the Conservation Commission who requested, in addition to recommending approval of the CUP, that the restoration include adding ATV deterrences, such as a gate.

Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment. T. DeFrancesco verified there will be no trees cut and C. Madison agreed.

Chairman Rugg asked for public comment.

John Farrell, 4 Hancock Drive, asked that the applicant be required to notify every abutter about what is occurring in this project. He said the relationship with Eversource has been more difficult over the past three to four years and this corridor is a nightmare for the residents who live along it.

J. Penta asked the applicant to clarify their communication plan for the abutters.

Edward Kinzer of Eversource said a letter was sent to abutters at the beginning of the project. They are willing to add anyone sensitive to this project to their list of notifications. They have also had conversations with stakeholders. He noted that some clearing of trees was done to the edge of the right-of-way in the past, which was of concern to property owners. There will be no helicopter work done on this project, which was a concern in the past. They will be mindful of the needs of the apple orchard owners. He noted there is also environmental monitoring of the project.

Jordan McCluskey (ph) of Eversource noted they have had conversations with stakeholders that have been very positive. When concerns are voiced, they bring them to the project team to be mitigated.

The Board recommended follow-up be done during the project to ensure there are no issues with abutters.

J. Farrell noted that if follow-up was being done, issues would not be brought to the Town Council to address. He recommended speaking with the abutters that had an issue with the cutting in the past. He said Eversource needs to be more proactive.

Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment.

J. Penta asked how many positive reports have been received from residents regarding the work Eversource is doing. K. Caron replied she has received none personally; Public Works and the Town Council are the ones who receive calls. T. DeFrancesco noted that people do not call when they are happy.

Member J. Butler made a motion to grant the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for 3,063 square feet of temporary wetland

 impact and 19,037 square feet of wetland buffer impact for equipment access and work pad placement within the Conservation Overlay District for the proposed replacement of existing structures along the existing 326 Transmission Line Right of Way (ROW) in the area of Dan Hill Road, Elwood Road, Severance Drive. Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy (Owner/Applicant), with the addition of two conditions requiring restoration and addition of gates where applicable.

G. Verani seconded the motion.

The motion was granted, 7-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

V. Other Business

- A. Public Hearing for the adoption of the 2023 (FY 2025-30) Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). The proposed plan can be viewed online or during business hours in the Planning & Economic Development Department.
- T. Combes returned to the Board.

Chairman Rugg described what the Capital Improvement Plan is. He thanked the CIP Committee and Staff for their work on this document.

K. Caron noted the CIP is formally adopted by the Planning Board and is used as a tool for the Budget Committee and the Town Council during the budget process.

School CIP

Bob Slater, Londonderry School Board Chair, explained that the numbers presented to the Board earlier changed last night, as the School Building Committee voted to move forward with the full project of 1A, 1B, and full day. The School Board decided to move the project forward to the ballot. The hope is that the voters will approve full-day kindergarten and provide the School the ability to address space needs. T. Combes, as a member of the School Building Committee, added this also addresses security, safety, and healthcare needs for the students. The new total package is \$34,200,000.

Chairman Rugg asked for Staff comment; there was none.

Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment. T. Combes noted it would be preferrable to approve this project this year, as costs continue to escalate. Addressing the project in two phases would be more expensive, due to start-up and finishing costs. There is also the possibility of causing voter confusion by presenting the project in two phases.

The Board stressed their belief that full-day kindergarten will positively affect

student success.

T. Combes commended Trident and another third-party group that did the estimating, as they were a huge benefit to the Building Committee. He also noted there will be a savings in busing costs as well as increased revenue from the state in moving to full-day kindergarten.

Chairman Rugg asked for public comment.

John Farrell, 4 Hancock Drive, expressed his surprise that there were no other members of the public present to discuss this topic.

Town CIP

Dave Wholley appeared before the Board to provide follow-up commentary regarding the Town Hall project. He noted this project is unique as it addresses the heart of the community. The Town is at a crossroads to determine the future of the facility. In response to a previous question regarding the cost of maintaining the building as is, there were \$1,440.32 in electric costs over the past year. The building has been winterized, but will continue to degrade over time if not utilized. If the building were maintained year-round, projected costs would include landscaping of \$5,900 and \$8,000-9,000 in contracted winter services. Energy costs would be derived at the next level of planning.

The current projected cost of the renovation is \$3.5 million. The public will have opportunities to comment on these plans. A. Sypek suggested creating a virtual tour for the community to see the inside of the building, although offering in-person access would be preferable, if possible.

Chairman Rugg asked for Staff comment. K. Caron asked if she should update the costs on the CIP to \$3 to \$4 million and if the project remains Priority 1. The Board agreed.

Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment.

The Board expressed their approval of the plan as presented and commended D. Wholley on the work that has been done. T. DeFrancesco noted it is difficult to design a building not knowing its intended use. He asked if the cost of building a new structure of the same size would cost more than renovating this one. D. Wholley said the cost is probably comparable, but the history of this building has an intrinsic value to the community.

L. Wiles asked what the primary sources of funding would be and suggested the project should be targeted for FY2024. D. Wholley said financing has not been discussed. He said the primary source would most likely be a bond. There are also grants available.

Chairman Rugg asked for public comment; there was none.

Chairman Rugg closed the public hearing. Chairman Rugg asked for Board comment. T. Combes asked if the pricing of the project is accurate. D. Wholley noted soft costs have not been captured, but there is room for improvement. They do not anticipate the cost will increase. Member J. Butler made a motion to adopt the Fiscal Year 2025-30 CIP worksheet, with the following amendments: to show that Moosehill 1A and 1B are combined with the appropriate cost and the scoring from the 1A, as well as updated pricing on the Lions Hall project to be \$3 million to \$4 million and to include the Lions Hall in FY2024. A. Sypek seconded the motion. The motion was granted, 8-0-0. VI. Adjournment Member A. Sypek made a motion to adjourn the meeting at approximately 9:35 p.m. Seconded by J. Butler. The motion was granted, 8-0-0. The meeting adjourned at approximately 9:35 p.m. These minutes were prepared by Beth Hanggeli. Respectfully submitted, Name: Jake Butler Lynn B. Wices
Title: Secretary Asst Secretary These minutes were accepted and approved on 11/1/3 by a motion made by and seconded by Mrs.