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"We will of course be there to help after disaster strikes, but as you all know, there’s no substitute for mitigation before it does....

As a poet once wrote, "the test of men lies in action." We as emergency managers and first responders cannot afford to wait for action....

Through planning, mitigation, education, and cooperation, we can make sure our at-risk communities are prepared before the first drop of rain or gust of wind ever threatens our shores."

—Joe Allbaugh, Director of FEMA, addressing the 2002 National Hurricane Conference
Preface

Hazard mitigation planning is a relatively new field, spearheaded by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) during the 1990s after Hurricane Andrew caused more than $20 billion in damage across several southern states. That event resulted in 54 fatalities and the disruption of millions of lives. The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, developed by FEMA, was intended to help both communities and states prepare for, and deal with, such disasters. While New England normally does not have hurricanes of Andrew’s magnitude, this area does experience many types of natural disasters that cost both lives and money.

These disasters and other natural hazards occur during all four seasons in the Northeast: winter ice, snow, and nor’easters; spring flooding; summer downbursts and thunderstorms; and fall hurricanes. Planning to make a community disaster-resistant before these events occur can help save lives as well as homes and infrastructure. FEMA has several programs designed to strengthen the nation’s disaster resistance by reducing risks, and changing conditions and behaviors before a disaster in order to protect lives and prevent the loss of property.

FEMA has also raised its budget to upgrade the existing Flood Insurance Rate Maps through the Map Modernization project. Many communities have outdated maps that do not reflect the true extent of flooding potential.

A community’s eligibility for hazard mitigation funding depends upon its having adopted a hazard mitigation plan that addresses these issues. Mitigation measures contained within the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan may be sufficient to receive grant funding.

It is hoped that this document will be a good first step toward analyzing hazards in Londonderry, forecasting where potential disasters might occur, and reducing their impact on people and the community.
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The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan has been developed to help Londonderry become a disaster-resistant community by taking measures to reduce future losses from natural or man-made hazardous events before they occur. The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee (LHMC), made up of community members and town officials, developed the plan.

Natural hazards are addressed as follows:
A. Flooding
B. Wind
C. Fire
D. Ice and Snow Events
E. Seismic Events
F. Other Hazards

The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee identified critical facilities, areas at risk, commercial economic impact areas, and hazardous materials facilities.

**Critical Facilities:**
- Town Offices
- Federal Facilities
- Post Offices
- Police and Fire Stations
- Emergency Operations Centers
- Military Stations
- Public Works Garages
- Emergency Fuel Facilities
- Emergency Shelters
- Airport and Related Facilities
- Wireless Communication Facilities and Radio Towers
- Public Water Systems, Pumps and Booster Stations
- Water Storage Tanks
- Sewer Systems and Pumps
- Electrical Power Substations
- Gas Pump Stations

**Areas at Risk:**
- Solid Waste and Recycling Facilities
- Telephone Facilities
- Media Communications
- Major Roads and Bridges
- Dams
- Historic Properties
- Libraries
- Schools
- Child Care Facilities
- Senior Housing and Nursing Homes
- Hotels
- Recreation Areas
- Commercial Resources
- Medical Facilities
- Religious Facilities
Existing Hazard Mitigation Strategies
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee identified existing strategies related to hazard mitigation as follows:

- Emergency Operations Plan
- Floodplain Development Ordinance
- Elevation Certificates
- Zoning Ordinance
- Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations
- International Building Code and Local Building Codes
- Excavation Regulations
- Stormwater Regulations
- Road Design Standards
- Snow Emergency Regulations
- Fire Codes
- Hazardous Materials Regulations
- Town Radio System
- Police Department
- Comprehensive Emergency Management Planning for Schools
- State Dam Program
- Shoreland Protection Act
- Best Management Practices
New and Ongoing Mitigation Programs and Policies
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee identified 23 new hazard mitigation strategies as follows:

- Update the Schools Emergency Plan
- Continue to increase public outreach
- Develop a Local Sheltering Plan
- Develop Aquifer and Groundwater Protection Strategies by updating the Water Resource and Management Protection Plan
- Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts
- Work to mitigate repetitive flood problems on Brookview Drive
- Post high water level warnings along Kendall Pond
- Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles
- Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing/mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages
- Prioritize and upgrade Class VI roads

This plan is to be reviewed on an annual basis and updated every three to five years by the Londonderry Planning Department in coordination with the Londonderry Town Council. The next review will be during 2011.
SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

"Plans are worthless. Planning is essential." — Dwight D. Eisenhower

Natural Hazards and Their Consequences
During the past decade, the United States has suffered a record number of natural disasters. In 1992, Hurricane Andrew caused an estimated $25 billion in damage. The 1993 Midwest floods resulted in some $12-$16 billion in damage. The 1994 Northridge earthquake caused $20 billion in damage, and the 2002 summer flooding in central Texas is expected to top $1 billion in damage. In New England, more than 100 natural disasters during the past quarter century have been sufficiently catastrophic to be declared "disaster areas" by the president, making them eligible for federal disaster relief. That is about four major disasters per year. Nine out of ten of these disasters were the result of flooding. Much of this damage might have been averted with the implementation of foresighted hazard mitigation efforts.

Photo of four homes lost in Tennessee due to a mile-wide tornado during November 2002. Portions of the Midwest and South are assessing the damage from more than 70 tornados that touched down. The death toll stands at 35 throughout five states. President George W. Bush declared a major disaster for Tennessee, opening the way for the use of federal disaster funds to help meet the recovery needs of families and businesses devastated by the tornados. Mossy Grove, Tennessee, was among the hardest hit areas as 12 people were killed and the rural town was destroyed. (FEMA photo courtesy of Jason Pack)

Floods, tornados, winter storms, hurricanes, earthquakes, and wildfires—natural disasters—are part of the world around us. Their occurrence is inevitable. These
events can wreak havoc on the natural environment by uprooting trees, eroding riverbanks and shorelines, carving new inlets, and blackening forests. Yet, the natural environment is amazingly resilient, often recuperating in a matter of days or weeks.

When these events strike the man-made environment, however, the result is often more devastating. Disasters occur when a natural hazard crosses paths with elements of the man-made environment, including buildings, roads, pipelines, or crops. When hurricanes tear roofs off houses, it is a disaster. When tornados ravage a town, it is a disaster. When floods invade low-lying homes, it is a disaster. If only undeveloped wetlands and floodplains are flooded, rather than homes and businesses, few take notice. The natural environment takes care of itself. The fabricated environment, in contrast, often needs some emergency assistance.

What Is Hazard Mitigation?
Hazard mitigation is the practice of reducing risks to people and property from natural hazards. FEMA’s Federal Response Plan defines hazard mitigation as "activities designed to alleviate the effects of a major disaster or emergency or long-term activities to minimize the potentially adverse effects of future disaster in affected areas (A-5)." It includes both structural interventions, such as flood control devices, and nonstructural measures, such as avoiding construction in the most flood-prone areas. Mitigation includes not only avoiding the development of vulnerable sections of the community, but also making existing development in hazard-prone areas safer. For example, a community could identify areas that are susceptible to damage from natural disasters and take steps to make these areas less vulnerable. It could also steer growth to less risky areas. Keeping buildings and people out of harm’s way is the essence of mitigation.

Mitigation should not be seen as an impediment to growth and development. On the contrary, incorporating mitigation into development decisions can result in a safer, more resilient community, one that is more attractive to new families and businesses.

Why Develop a Hazard Mitigation Plan?
The full cost of the damage resulting from natural hazards—personal suffering, loss of lives, disruption of the economy, loss of tax base—is difficult to measure. New Hampshire is subject to many types of natural disasters: floods, hurricanes, nor’easters, winter storms, earthquakes, tornados, and wildfires, all of which can have significant economic and social impacts. Some, such as hurricanes, are seasonal and often strike in predictable locations. Others, such as floods, can occur any time of the year and almost anywhere in the state.
Benefits of Hazard Mitigation
Hazard mitigation offers many benefits for a community. It can:

- **Save lives and property** - A community can save lives and reduce property damage from natural hazards through identifying risks and taking action, such as elevating structures in the floodplain;
- **Reduce vulnerability to future hazards** - By having a mitigation plan in place, a community is prepared to take steps that will permanently reduce the risk of future losses. This opportunity is often lost when communities are built without regard to natural hazards, or when they are rebuilt after a disaster "just like they were before." While it is natural to want to return things to the way they were, it is important to remember that, in many cases, the disaster would not have been as severe if a mitigation plan had been implemented;
- **Facilitate post-disaster funding** - By identifying and ranking recovery projects before the next disaster, a community will be in a better position to obtain post-disaster funding because much of the background work necessary for applying for federal funding will already be done; and
- **Speed recovery** - By developing a mitigation strategy, a community can identify post-disaster mitigation opportunities in advance of a disaster and be ready to respond quickly after a disaster.

Background: Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Planning
The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has recommended that all communities establish local hazard mitigation plans as a means to reduce future losses from natural or man-made hazard events before they occur. Beginning November 1, 2004, FEMA has mandated an approved hazard mitigation plan be in place to receive specific disaster related grants. With a Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant from FEMA, New Hampshire Homeland Security and Emergency Management (NHHSEM) provided funding to the Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission (SNHPC) to develop a local hazard mitigation plan for the Town of Londonderry. SNHPC began working with Londonderry representatives during May 2010 to update this plan.

Purpose
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan serves as a strategic planning tool for use by the Town of Londonderry in its efforts to reduce future losses from natural or man-made hazard events before they occur. This Plan may constitute a new section of the Londonderry Master Plan, in accordance with RSA 674:2.

Authority
This Hazard Mitigation Plan was prepared in accordance with the Town of Londonderry’s Emergency Operations Plan, currently being updated in 2010, and under the authority of the Planning Mandate of Section 409 of Public Law
93-288 as amended by Public Law 100-707, the Robert T. Stafford Act of 1988, and the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000. The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan will be referred to as the "Plan." After a public hearing was held at the Londonderry Town Offices on November 30, 2010, the Londonderry Town Council formally adopted this Plan. Documentation of the adoption of this Plan is provided in Appendix H.

Scope of the Plan
The scope of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan includes the identification of natural hazards affecting the town, as identified by the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee. The committee reviewed hazards in the following categories as outlined in the State of New Hampshire Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan and identified by the committee:

A. Flooding - including riverine flood events, hurricanes, debris-impacted infrastructure, river ice jams, erosion, mudslides, rapid snowpack melt, dam breach or failure, and other water retention facility failure;
B. Wind - including hurricanes, tornados, nor’easters, downbursts, and lightning;
C. Fire - including wild land fires, target hazards, and isolated areas;
D. Ice and snow events - including heavy snowstorms, ice storms, and hailstorms;
E. Seismic Events - including earthquakes and landslides; and
F. Other events - including utility pipe failure, airport related hazards, geomagnetism, drought, and extreme heat or cold.

Methodology
In September 2004, the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee (LHMC) was formed to begin the initial planning stages of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan. The LHMC developed the contents of the Plan using the 10-step planning process set forth in the Southwest Regional Planning Commission’s Hazard Mitigation Planning for New Hampshire Communities handbook along with the FEMA State and Local Mitigation Planning How-To Guides. The SNHPC assisted the LHMC in the development of this Plan. The Committee consisted of representatives from various local agencies, including the Londonderry Planning and Economic Development Department, Fire Department, Police Department, Public Works and Engineering Department, Building Department, Town Administration, and public citizens. The Committee held five meetings beginning in September 2004 and ending in May 2005 to collect information, compile, and review the Plan.
2010 Plan Update Methodology

In May 2010, the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee (LHMC) was formed to begin updating the plan. The Update Committee used the same ten-step planning process set forth in the *Hazard Mitigation Planning for New Hampshire Communities* handbook as did the original Committee. Each section of the plan was reviewed and updated according to new information and the events of the past 5 years. The Update Committee consisted of representatives from various local agencies, including the Community Development Department, Fire Department, Police Department and Department of Public Works, among other citizens who attended the meetings. The Committee held a total of five public meetings beginning in June 2010 and ending in October 2010 to collect information, compile the plan update, and review the plan update.

2010 Plan Update Public Committee Meetings

On the following dates, the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee held committee meetings at the Londonderry Town Offices: June 15, 2010, July 20, 2010, August 17, 2010, September 21, 2010, and October 19, 2010. Committee meetings were made public and posted in a minimum of two public places as required by New Hampshire state law for public meetings.

Minutes were kept for each meeting and brainstorming sessions were recorded. Each committee member received an E-mail that contained minutes of the previous meeting and an agenda. The minutes were available to the public. Copies of the meeting agendas, minutes, and attendance sheets are provided in Appendix F.

Coordination with Other Agencies and Individuals

The Hazard Mitigation Committee members and their respective Town Departments contributed the contents and reviewed the Plan drafts. Departments represented were:
- Building Department
- Fire Department
- Community Development Department
- Police Department
- Public Works and Engineering

Committee Member and Town Planner, Timothy Thompson contacted the following individuals and agencies for their review and comment on the Plan.

- American Red Cross
- Conservation Commission
- Londonderry Elder Affairs
- Londonderry Town Council
- Manchester Airport
The Plan was distributed to all abutting communities, including Manchester, Auburn, Derry, Windham, Hudson, and Litchfield for their review and comments. Additionally, copies of the Plan were left at the Town Assessing Department, Town Planning Department, and SNHPC office, for public review and comment from November 4, 2010 through December 17, 2010. Availability of the Plans and their locations were publicized during the week of November 4, 2010 by public notice on the Londonderry Town website and postings at the Town Hall and SNHPC's office. Comments were received from The Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests and will be reviewed at the next Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee meeting for possible incorporation into the plan. Documentation of the public process and solicitation of comments from both the public and outside agencies may be found in Appendix G.

Existing Londonderry Emergency Operations Plan

The Town of Londonderry's 2002 Town of Londonderry Emergency Management Plan is currently in the process of being updated and will be completed during 2010. This Plan describes preparedness activities to improve the Town's ability to respond to an incident; response activities, including rescue operations, evacuation, emergency medical care, and emergency personnel training; and recovery activities that begin after the disaster. Mitigation activities help to reduce or eliminate the damages from future disaster events, and can occur before, during and after a disaster. The 2002 Londonderry Emergency Management Plan states in part:

The Comprehensive Hazard Analysis shows that the community could be subjected to the damaging effects of several hazards. Various programs are available to prevent or lessen these effects through mitigation. In order that these mitigation programs be effective, certain regulations and/or ordinances must be enacted by the community and must be accomplished during a pre-crisis period.

The citizens would be receptive to initiating mitigation programs when the potential benefits are properly explained. Private companies, which might present potential hazards to the community, would cooperate with officials to plan for mitigating these hazards. (Town of Londonderry, EMP 53)

State of New Hampshire Legislation Related to Master Plans

During 2002, the State of New Hampshire adopted legislation related to master plans that requires municipalities to "provide more definitive guidance in planning and managing future growth." This new legislation allows a natural
hazards section to be considered during the master planning process and incorporated into the master plan. The **Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan** may serve as a new section of the existing or future *Londonderry Master Plan*. This legislation, RSA 674:2 *Master Plan; Purpose and Description*, reads:

*The Master Plan may also include the following sections:*

...(e) A natural hazards section which documents the physical characteristics, severity, frequency, and extent of any potential natural hazards to the community. It should identify those elements of the built environment at risk from natural hazards as well as extent of current and future vulnerability that may result from current zoning and development policies.

**Plan Development Steps**

To complete this *Plan*, the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee followed 10 planning steps during five committee meetings.

**Step 1: Map the Hazards**

Committee members identified areas where damage from natural disasters had previously occurred, areas of potential damage, and man-made facilities and other features that were at risk for loss of life, property damage, and other risk factors. Base maps provided by SNHPC were used in the process. A summary map illustrating hazard zones, as identified by the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee, is presented at the end of Section II.

**Step 2: Determine Potential Damage**

Committee members identified facilities that were considered to be of value to the Town for emergency management purposes, for provision of utilities and services, and for historic, cultural, and social value. The assessed value was noted for each facility, as well as its proximity to the hazard zones. Summary tables of assets in each hazard zone are located in Section III.

**Step 3: Identify Plans and Policies Already in Place**

Using information and activities outlined in the handbook *Hazard Mitigation Planning for New Hampshire Communities*, the Committee and SNHPC staff identified existing mitigation strategies and ordinances related to flood, wind, fire, ice and snow events, earthquakes, and other hazards that are already being implemented by the Town. A summary chart is presented in Section IV.

**Step 4: Identify the Gaps in Protection and Mitigation**

Existing strategies were reviewed for coverage, effectiveness, and implementation, as well as need for improvement. A summary chart and the results of these activities are presented in Section IV. Additionally, the Committee brainstormed what past and potential hazards are not protected by existing mitigation efforts. A list of these future mitigation strategy objectives can be found at the beginning of Section V.
**Step 5: Determine Actions to be Taken**
During a brainstorming session, the Committee developed a list of other possible actions and strategies to improve Londonderry’s response to hazardous events. Ideas put forth included culvert replacements, public education programs, and road improvements, among many other programs. New strategies were developed to respond to the mitigation gaps and identified future mitigation strategy objectives. These new strategies are shown in Section V.

**Step 6: Evaluate Feasible Options**
The Committee reviewed each of the hazard mitigation actions and strategies that were identified in the brainstorming session using the evaluation charts from Chapter 2 of FEMA’s *Developing the Mitigation Plan*. Fourteen evaluation factors (based on the STAPLEE criteria) were used to evaluate feasible actions. Each mitigation action was then scored individually by five committee members and all scores were averaged and totaled for each strategy. The results of this analysis are shown in Section V's Preliminary Prioritization. A description of the STAPLEE criteria and scores is found in Appendix E.

**Step 7: Determine Priorities**
The Committee reviewed the preliminary prioritization list in order to make changes and determine a final prioritization for hazard mitigation actions. The priorities can be found at the end of Section VI, in the Implementation Strategy.

**Step 8: Develop Implementation Strategy**
Using the chart provided under Step 9 in the handbook, the Committee created an implementation strategy that includes department(s) responsible for implementation, a schedule for completion, and a funding source or technical assistance source for each identified hazard mitigation action. Additionally, the Committee reviewed the estimated cost of each project. The implementation strategy can be found in Section VI.

**Step 9: Coordinate with Other Agencies/Entities**
Chief Kevin MacCaffrie, Londonderry Fire Department, contacted agencies with expertise in hazard mitigation or missions related to any of the mitigation strategies identified herein. A copy of the draft Plan was made available to these agencies for their review and comments. Additionally, the Plan was made available to the public at three locations for review. A listing of these agencies can be found in the previous pages of this section.

**Step 10: Adopt and Monitor the Plan**
SNHPC staff compiled the results of Steps 1 to 9 in a draft document, as well as helpful and informative materials from the *State of New Hampshire Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan*. The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee reviewed, revised, and approved a draft of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan. A revised draft document was then submitted to the Londonderry Town Council for its review. The Plan shall be reviewed on an annual basis to be certain the
goals and objectives are being met, and that the policies are being adopted. Section VII of the *Plan* details the adoption and monitoring requirements.

"... [M]itigation works. The Seattle-Tacoma area did not suffer significant losses [following the February 28, 2001, earthquake] because 20 to 30 years ago local leaders invested in its future by passing building codes and issuing municipal bonds that implemented solid protective measures."

—Joe Allbaugh, Director of FEMA
Congressional testimony, May 16, 2001
Hazard Mitigation Goals of the Town of Londonderry

The Town of Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan, which was prepared by the Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission and the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee and is maintained by the Londonderry Planning Department, sets forth the following hazard mitigation goals:

1. To improve upon the protection of the general population, citizens and guests of the Town, from all natural and man-made hazards.
2. To reduce the potential impact of natural and man-made disasters on the Town’s Critical Support Services and Critical Facilities.
3. To reduce the potential impact of natural and man-made disasters on the Town’s infrastructure.
4. To improve the Town’s Emergency Preparedness, Disaster Response and Recovery Capability.
5. To reduce the potential impact of natural and man-made disasters on private property.
6. To reduce the potential impact of natural and man-made disasters on the Town’s economy.
7. To reduce the potential impact of natural and man-made disasters on the Town’s natural environment.
8. To reduce the Town’s liability with respect to natural and man-made hazards generally.
9. To reduce the potential impact of natural and man-made disasters on the Town’s specific historic treasures.
10. To identify, introduce and implement cost effective Hazard Mitigation measures so as to accomplish the Town’s Goals.
11. To address the challenges posed by climate change as they pertain to increasing risks in the Town’s infrastructure and natural environment.

The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee adopted the above goals, derived from the 2007 State of New Hampshire Hazard Mitigation Plan, for the Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire at the July 20, 2010 committee meeting.

More specific objectives, established after the Committee’s analysis of past and potential hazards and review of existing mitigation strategies, may be found at the beginning of Section V: Newly Identified Mitigation Strategies and Critical Evaluation.
Location, Population, Topography, and Climate
The Town of Londonderry is located in the south-central portion of the State of New Hampshire in Rockingham County. Londonderry is bordered by the City of Manchester to the north; the towns of Derry and Windham to the east; the Town of Hudson to the south; and the Town of Litchfield to the west. It is located 30 miles south of the City of Concord and about eight miles north-east of the City of Nashua. U.S. 93, along with N.H. Routes 128, 28, and 102 provide primary highway access to the Town.

Londonderry encompasses a total of approximately 42 square miles. The 2000 U.S. Census population of Londonderry was 23,236, an increase of
approximately 71 percent since 1980 and 335 percent since 1970. The most recent population estimate, 2008, for Londonderry is 24,567, which is approximately 584.9 persons per square mile. (NHOEP)

Despite Londonderry's rapid growth since 1970, the community has retained its rural quality and notable orchards. Londonderry's predominant land use is residential. Despite recent growth, commercial and industrial uses continue to comprise a small amount of the Town's area. High rates of residential growth are anticipated to continue, particularly with the impending widening of Interstate 93, connecting to Boston and Manchester. (Town of Londonderry, 2004 Master Plan)

Londonderry is situated in the seaboard lowland section of New Hampshire "on a southeast-sloping plain which has been greatly modified by Pleistocene glaciation." The area is typified by ice-carved bedrock hills, where the northwest slopes are thickly covered with till and southeast slopes thinly covered. The valleys are characterized by stratified and unstratified material, consisting of silt, sand and gravel deposited by the meltwaters of a retreating glacial ice sheet. Additionally, there are glacial kettle holes and swampy areas that serve as the headwaters for the many streams in the area. Elevation ranges from approximately 150 feet near the Merrimack River, at the northwest corner of the Town, to 523 feet on a hilltop near Hardy Road and the PSNH right of way. (FEMA, FIS 6)

The major watercourse flowing through Londonderry is Beaver Brook, which flows from Auburn, Chester, and Derry before entering southeastern Londonderry, emptying into Kendall Pond, then forming the town boundary with Windham. Other major brooks in Londonderry are Black Brook, a tributary of Beaver Brook; Little Cohas Brook and Watts Brook to the northwest; Cohas Brook and Shields Brook to the northeast; Nessenkeag Brook to the southwest; and Indian Brook to the southeast. Water bodies of significance include Scobie Pond and Wheeler Pond along the eastern town line and Kendall Pond to the southeast of Town. Additionally, there are two swamps, Musquash Swamp, the most notable, and Long Swamp. (Ibid 5)

The climate of Londonderry is typical of southern New Hampshire, with warm summers and cool winters. Temperatures during the month of July range from an average high of 82.1 degrees Fahrenheit to an average low of 54.6 degrees. January temperatures range from an average high of 32.3 degrees to an average low of 5.2 degrees. Prolonged periods of severe cold are rare. Annual average precipitation is 39.82 inches. (Golden Gate Weather Services)

**Current Land Use Development Trends in Londonderry**

The Town of Londonderry's land use development patterns have remained constant since the residential boom began following the creation of Interstate 93
in 1963. Since that time the community has been transformed from a rural community, with sparse housing along rural roads and village centers, to a large commuter based residential community with easy access to Boston and Manchester. The total population from 1960 to 2000 grew by almost 850 percent. (Town of Londonderry, 2004 Master Plan 3-4)

The greatest land use change in Londonderry since the 1960s was the conversion of forest and farmland to residential neighborhoods because of the high rate of population growth. Ten percent of the Town's land area was farmland and 4 percent residential in 1964. As of 1998, only 4 percent of the community was farmland and 27 percent residential. (Ibid)

Commercial and industrial uses comprise the smallest percentage of the Town's area, approximately 7 percent. Change within these land uses has occurred more slowly than residential growth and has made its largest increases in approximately the last 10 years. Londonderry's 2004 Master Plan anticipates future commercial and industrial growth, particularly facilitated by the impending Interstate 93 widening. (Ibid 3-1 and 3-2)

Today, the greatest concentrations of residential land are located to the east and west of Mammoth Road and in the southern most part of Town. Commercial uses are concentrated along NH Route 102, nearest Interstate 93. Industrial uses are predominantly located in the northwest corner of Londonderry, in the airport vicinity. The northern and western most extents of the town remain forested.

Growth in all land use categories is anticipated to continue in Londonderry, however, at slower rates. Future development and growth is expected to occur as:

- industrial expansion near the airport;
- commercial and industrial development near the future I-93 exit 4a area;
- continuation of commercial, industrial, office, mixed use development near I-93's exit 5;
- continued development of the historic town center;
- continued residential growth throughout the Town;
- a new residential/mixed use center in the Page Road area; and
- the creation of "green corridors" between large conservation or undeveloped areas. (Ibid 3-13 to 3-15)

For many of the areas above, new road construction is the catalyst for anticipated new development. This will render formerly inaccessible areas open to growth and development. (Ibid 3-13 to 3-15)

Overall, according to Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission build out analysis preliminary data, approximately 56 percent of Londonderry's 26,814 land area acres is developed. There are an additional 144 acres of water in the
Town, totaling 26,958 acres. Of the 11,763 acres of undeveloped land, 1,301 acres are in permanent conservation and 8,460 acres are constrained by natural features such as steep slopes, and floodplains. This leaves approximately 507 undeveloped and buildable lots totaling 6,181 acres, allowing up to 50 percent of the lot to be covered with development constraints such as steep slopes or floodplains. There are another 118 undeveloped marginal lots, totaling 2,759 acres, where 50 percent or more of the lot is covered with development constraints. The majority of this undeveloped land is located along the eastern, western, and northern perimeters of the Town.

The Master Plan sets recommendations for future growth, ordinance standards to channel development away from natural constraints, and standards for environmental protection. The following are many of the recommendations and standards outlined in the Master Plan:

- protect sensitive resources (aquifers, streams, floodplains, wetlands, steep slopes, etc.) and water quality;
- coordinate with the EPA's Phase II Storm Water Management Program;
- continue open space protection efforts and reduce development through the purchase of land and development rights;
- complete a town-wide greenbelt;
- integrate smart development procedures and low impact development concepts;
- institute environmentally based zoning in the Agricultural-Residential (AR-1) zoning district;
- minimize air, light, and noise pollution; and
- encourage the use of best management practices.

(Town of Londonderry, 2004 Master Plan 4-14 to 4-30)

The Town of Londonderry's existing Zoning Ordinance, Floodplain Development Ordinance, Stormwater Management Regulations, and Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations all work to minimize the impacts, if not eliminate, any development in the flood and steep slope hazard areas. Within the floodplain district no new development is allowed, without a variance, which would increase flood levels during the occurrence of a 100-year flood event. Steep slopes in excess of 25 percent in residential zones and 33 percent in the Performance Overlay District are determined to be unsuitable for development. The Stormwater Regulations require that the post-development run-off rate not exceed the pre-development run-off rate. Additionally, surface run-off must be directed to managed systems prior to entering existing water bodies. These programs are further outlined in Section IV "Existing Mitigation Strategies and Proposed Improvements."

The land outside of the special flood hazard areas and areas of steep slopes remain the preferred development location of development in Londonderry, by the Town and developers. Future development may increase pressure to utilize
these hazard areas, despite their inherent risks. Nonetheless, any proposed new developments or significant improvements in these zones would require variances from the Zoning Board of Authority and the Planning Board. The Town may assure low risk and low impact future development in the hazard zones given these review opportunities.

**National Flood Insurance Program**

Londonderry has been participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) since 1980. Currently, Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMS), bearing the effective date of May 17, 2005, are used for flood insurance purposes, and are on file with the Londonderry Planning and Building Departments. In addition the town has implemented the following actions related to continued compliance with NFIP:

- Participate in NFIP training offered by the State and/or FEMA (or in other training) that addresses flood hazard planning and management
- Establish mutual aid agreements with neighboring communities to address administering the NFIP following a major storm event.
- Address NFIP monitoring and compliance activities
- Revise/adopt subdivision regulations, erosion control regulations, board of health regulations, etc. to improve floodplain management in the community
- Prepare, distribute or make available NFIP, insurance and building codes explanatory pamphlets or booklets
- Identify and become knowledgeable of non-compliant structures in the community
- Inspect foundations at time of completion before framing to determine if lowest floor is at or above Base Flood Elevation (BFE), if they are in the floodplain
- Require the use of elevation certificates
- Enhance local officials, builders, developers, local citizens and other stakeholders’ knowledge of how to read and interpret the FIRM
- Work with elected officials, the state and FEMA to correct existing compliance issues and prevent any future NFIP compliance issues through continuous communications, training and education

According to FEMA’s most recent Biennial Report, there were approximately 85 residential structures and 32 other structures located in the FEMA designated special flood hazard areas (100 year floodplain), with an approximate population of 127.

The Town currently has 55 NFIP policies and nine claims have been filed with NFIP since 1978 totaling $400,729 in losses. There are currently five repetitive loss properties insured under the NFIP within the Town of Londonderry. Repetitive loss areas are mapped on the Past Hazards Map at the end of this chapter. The land use within this area is Agricultural-Residential.
Past and Potential Hazards
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee identified past hazard events, which include flooding, wind, wildfire, ice, snow, and seismic events. Other hazards include geomagnetism, radon, drought, and extreme heat or cold. These hazards were identified in a brainstorming session with the Committee. The State of New Hampshire Hazard Mitigation Plan was consulted, as well as other supporting information derived from the resources listed in Appendix C. The Identified Hazard Zones Map at the end of this section reflects the impact areas for each hazard. The Committee reviewed background information, areas at risk, and the potential for each hazard to occur, pose a risk to, or cause damage to structures, infrastructure or human life.

A. Flooding
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee reviewed the following kinds of hazards related to flooding:

1. Riverine Flooding
"Typical riverine flooding involves the overflowing of the normal flood channels or rivers or streams, generally as a result of prolonged rainfall or rapid thawing of snow cover. The lateral spread of floodwater is largely a function of the terrain, becoming greater in wide, flat areas, and affecting narrower areas in steep terrain. In the latter cases, riparian hillsides in combination with steep declines in riverbed elevation often force waters downstream rapidly, sometimes resulting in flash floods." (Schwab 208)

"The goal of flood hazard mitigation planning is to eliminate or reduce the long-term risks to human life and property from flooding by reducing the cause of the hazard or reducing the effects through preparedness, response and recovery measures. Hazard mitigation is the only phase of emergency management that can break the cycle of damage, reconstruction and repeated damage (NHBEM 13)." Riverine flooding is the most common and significant hazard event in the State of New Hampshire, as well as all of its municipalities.

Some of the more severe flooding in Londonderry occurs during the spring, fall, and winter seasons. Spring floods are typically due to rapid snowmelt and heavy rains in conjunction with ice jams. Fall floods are frequently caused by tropical storms associated heavy rainfall. However, Londonderry is prone to flooding at all points in the year from heavy thunderstorms, causing rapid run-off and flooding. (FEMA, FIS 6-7)

From 1973 through 2010 there have been nine flood-related FEMA declared disasters in Rockingham County and seventeen in the State of New Hampshire. (FEMA, "Federally Declared Disasters by Calendar Year").
In 2005, 2006, 2007 and recently in 2010 Manchester and much of Southern New Hampshire experienced significant flood events. The 2005, 2006, and 2007 events all exceeded 100 year flood recurrence intervals in some or all areas and the frequency of these events in the past 5 years is a major concern for the Town of Londonderry along with the rest of the State.

The following areas in the Town of Londonderry have had past recurring flood problems, including erosion and problem culverts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Type of Damage</th>
<th>Severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brookview Drive</td>
<td>Road flooding, basement flooding of adjacent homes, in the SFHA, annual flooding</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Road at Kendall Pond (dam at southern Kendall Pond and bridge over Beaver Brook)</td>
<td>High water levels at the dam, debris obstruction at dam and bridge, road flooding</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Road</td>
<td>Road flooding/Inadequate culvert</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn Rd at Whispering Pines</td>
<td>Road flooding/Inadequate culvert</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection of Routes 28 and 128</td>
<td>Road flooding</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parmenter Road at Route 102</td>
<td>Road flooding/Inadequate culvert</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection of Route 102 and High Range Road</td>
<td>Road flooding/Inadequate culvert</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilcreast Road at Beaver Brook</td>
<td>Road flooding- during the fall of 1996 moderate flooding, bridge rebuilt in 1998 to mitigate future impacts.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litchfield Road East of Misty Ln</td>
<td>Road flooding/Inadequate culvert</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All special flood hazard areas (SFHAs) in the Town of Londonderry are potentially at risk in the event of riverine flooding. The SFHAs are located on the Identified Hazard Zones Map at the end of this section.

High probability for riverine flooding to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

2. Hurricanes
"A hurricane is a heat engine that derives its energy from ocean water. These storms develop from tropical depressions which form off the cost of Africa in the warm Atlantic waters. When water vapor evaporates, it absorbs energy in the form of heat. As the vapor rises, it cools within the tropical depression, and then condenses, releasing heat, which sustains the system... A tropical depression
becomes a hurricane when its sustained recorded winds reach 74 mph."
(NHBEM 56)

From 1938 to 1999 there were 10 hurricanes or tropical storms in New Hampshire (State of New Hampshire Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan 2007, p. III-30). The September 1938 hurricane was a more notable flooding event to strike Londonderry and other municipalities in southern New Hampshire. Hurricanes Carol and Edna caused some damage in August and September 1954.

Potential effects of a hurricane include flooding, run-off not handled adequately, and disrupted travel. The most recent hurricanes were: September 1985 – Gloria, August 1991 – Bob, and September 1999 – Floyd. During these events, trees and power lines came down, and there was minimal structural damage.

All areas of the Town of Londonderry are potentially at risk if a hurricane reaches Rockingham County, New Hampshire.

Moderate probability for hurricanes to occur and cause flood damage in Londonderry.

3. Debris-impacted infrastructure and river ice jams

The potential effects of flooding are increased when infrastructure is obstructed either by debris or ice formations. These obstructions compromise the normal stormwater flow, creating an artificial dam or narrowing of the river channel causing a backup of water upstream and forcing water levels higher. Debris obstructions can be caused from vegetative debris, silt, soils, and other riparian structures that have been forced into the watercourse. Ice jams are caused by ice formations "in riverbeds and against structures." (NHBEM 13, 16) Bridges, culverts, and related roadways are most vulnerable to ice jams and debris-impacted infrastructure.

Historically, floods in Londonderry have been due to snow melt and heavy rains in conjunction with ice jams or debris-impacted infrastructure. If flooding occurs in the Town of Londonderry, there is the potential for debris-impacted infrastructure and ice jams to cause damage. Debris obstruction problems have occurred at South Road at the southern extents of Kendall Pond where there is a dam and a bridge crossing Beaver Brook.

All special flood hazard areas in the Town of Londonderry are potentially at risk if there is an ice jam or debris-impacted infrastructure. Particular concern should be given to bridges along the many brooks in Londonderry including Beaver, Todd, Little Cohas, and Shields Brooks.

Moderate probability for debris-impacted infrastructure or ice jams to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.
4. Erosion and mudslides
The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) defines erosion as "the process in which a material is worn away by a stream of liquid (water) or air, often due to the presence of abrasive particles in the stream (NHDES Watershed Management Bureau)." As it relates to this Plan, erosion is the gradual or rapid wearing away of stream banks or shores, due to prevailing winds, natural water movement, and more catastrophic events. Additional causes of erosion are removal of vegetation and soil disturbance. Riparian construction sites are one non-natural contributor (NHDES Shoreland Protection). Stream bank erosion may eventually result in mudslides.

Land in Londonderry which has at least a 15 percent slope, a vertical rise of 15 feet over a horizontal run of 100 feet, is scattered throughout the Town, usually occurring around the hills and stream banks. Areas of steep slopes in Londonderry are shown on the Identified Hazard Zones GIS map at the end of this section.

All areas of steep slopes, as mapped in this Plan, are potentially at risk in the case of potential erosion and mudslide events.

Moderate probability for erosion and mudslides to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

5. Rapid snowpack melt
Rapid snowpack melt, much as its name suggests, is a "seasonal rapid melting of the snowpack coupled with warming temperatures and moderate to heavy rains." These events typically occur during the spring as temperatures are rising. "The lower lying areas of the State may experience either flash flooding or inundation events accelerated by the rapid melting of the snowpack." (NHBEM 15)

Structures and improvements located on, along, or at the base of steep slopes are most vulnerable to rapid snowpack melt. These areas can be seen on the Identified Hazard Zones GIS map’s depiction of steep slopes.

All areas of steep slopes, as mapped in this Plan, are potentially at risk in the event of rapid snowpack melt.

Low to moderate probability for rapid snowpack melt to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

6. Dam breach or failure
The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services indicates several failure modes for dams. Most typical include hydraulic failure or the uncontrolled overflowing of water, seepage or leaking at the dam’s foundation or gate, structural failure or rupture, general deterioration, and gate
inoperability. These modes vary between dams depending on their construction type. (NHDES Dam Bureau, Environmental Fact Sheets DB-4 through 7)

The State of New Hampshire uses a hazard potential classification based on the impact of dam breach or failure. All class S (Significant) and H (High hazard) dams have the potential to cause damage if they breach or fail. Londonderry has 19 Class NM dams (Non-menace), and 9 Class L dams (low hazard potential). There are no Class S dams (significant hazard potential) or Class H dams (high hazard potential). The dam classes are defined in Appendix B. (NHDES Dam Bureau, "Dams")

"The Department of Environmental Services (DES), through its Dam Bureau, is charged with the responsibility of ensuring the public safety as it relates to the regulation of dams (NHBEM 17)." In 1988, the New Hampshire State Legislature recognized the need for dam owners to prepare a plan to assist the local community in responding effectively to a dam failure. The legislature amended RSA 482:2 and RSA 482:12 and adopted RSA 482:11a to require that dam owners develop an Emergency Action Plan for all dams that may be a menace to public safety due to their condition, height, and location. (NH DES Dam Bureau, Environmental Fact Sheet DB-11)

The SFHAs in proximity to Londonderry’s dams as well as their designated floodways would be impacted by a dam breach.

Moderate probability for dam breach or failure to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

7. Other water retention facility failure
Londonderry is home to one operational 2.3 million gallon water storage tank. Failure typically occurs in water storage tanks when a lateral force applied to the tower exceeds the structural capabilities of the tower. Examples of these sorts of events would be earthquakes or high force winds. Inadequate or weakened welds, insufficient reinforcement at beam-column connections, and the buckling of tall slender steel structural supports are other modes of failure. (U. Cal. Berkeley) Londonderry's water storage tank, owned by Manchester Water Works, is constructed using pre-stressed concrete and is designed to withstand seismic loading or forces.

If failure were to occur, potential impacts include high waves and flash floods and the surrounding environment torn up by debris carried with the waves. Secondary effects of water storage tank failures would include shortages of potable water and compromised fire services.

The area near Vista Ridge and Josephine Drive would be impacted by a water storage tank failure.
Low probability for water facility failures to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

B. Wind
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee reviewed the following kinds of hazards related to wind:

1. Hurricanes
Severe hurricanes reaching south-central New Hampshire in the late summer and early fall are the most dangerous of the coastal storms that pass through New England from the south. Tropical depressions are considered to be of hurricane force when winds reach 74 miles per hour, see following table for hurricane categorization according to the Saffir-Simpson Scale. Substantial damage may result from winds of this force, especially considering the duration of the event, which may last for many hours. Potential effects of hurricane force winds include fallen trees, telephone poles, and power lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Winds (mph)</th>
<th>Potential Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>74-95</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>96-110</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>111-130</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>131-155</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;155</td>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Winds from the hurricane of 1938, previously mentioned, reached a high of 186 miles per hour, a category 5 on the Saffir-Simpson scale. (NHBEM 56)

All areas of Londonderry are at risk if a hurricane reaches Rockingham County, NH.

Moderate probability for hurricane force winds to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

2. Tornados
"A tornado is a violently rotating column of air extending from a thunderstorm to the ground. The most violent tornadoes are capable of tremendous destruction with wind speeds of 250 mph or more. Damage paths can be in excess of one mile wide and 50 miles long." Tornadoes originate from hurricanes and thunderstorms, and are created when cold air overrides warm air causing the warm air to rise rapidly. (FEMA, Understanding Your Risks, 2-20) Tornadoes are measured using the Fujita Tornado Damage Scale, as seen in the table below (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration).
Between 1950 and 1995 there were 9 known tornadoes in Rockingham County. Two were rated an F0, two were F1, four were F2 (August 1951, June 1957, July 1961 and June 1963), and one was a F3 (June 1953). During the F3 storm in 1953, five people were injured. (Tornado Project Online)

All areas of Londonderry are potentially at risk if a tornado reaches the Town.

High probability for tornados to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

3. Nor'easters
A Nor'easter, or winter extra-tropical storm, is "[a] large weather system traveling from south to north passing along or near the seacoast. As the storm approaches New England and its intensity becomes increasingly apparent, the resulting counterclockwise cyclonic winds impact the coast and inland areas from a northeasterly direction. The sustained winds may meet or exceed hurricane force, with larger bursts, and may exceed hurricane events by many hours in terms of duration." (NHBEM 58)

"Unlike the relatively infrequent hurricane, New Hampshire generally experiences at least one or two "significant" events each year... with varying degrees of severity. These storms have the potential to inflict more damage than many hurricanes because ... high winds can last from 12 hours to three days, while the duration of hurricanes ranges from six to 12 hours." (Ibid)

Nor’easters are measured on the Dolan-Davis scale, as presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm Class</th>
<th>% of Nor’easters</th>
<th>Avg. Return Interval</th>
<th>Avg. Duration (hours)</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- WEAK</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No property damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- MODERATE</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Modest property damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3- SIGNIFICANT</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Local-scale damage and structural loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4- SEVERE</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>11 years</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Community scale damage and structural loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5- EXTREME</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Extensive regional-scale damage and structural loss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: State of NH Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan and NC Division of Emergency Management
All areas of Londonderry are potentially at risk for property damage and loss of life due to nor’easters.

High probability for nor’easters to occur and cause wind damage in Londonderry.

4. Downburst
"A downburst is a severe localized wind blasting down from a thunderstorm. These 'straight line' winds are distinguishable from tornadic activity by the pattern of destruction and debris. Depending on the size and location of these events, the destruction to property may be devastating. Downbursts fall into two categories. Microbursts cover an area less than 2.5 miles in diameter, and macrobursts cover an area at least 2.5 miles in diameter." (NHBEM 59)

All locations in Londonderry are at risk for property damage and loss of life due to downbursts.

Moderate probability for downbursts to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

5. Lightning
"During the development of a thunderstorm, the rapidly rising air within the cloud, combined with the movement of the precipitation within the cloud, causes electrical charges to build up within the cloud. Generally, positive charges build up near the top of the cloud, while negative charges build up near the bottom. Normally, the earth’s surface has a slight negative charge. However, as the negative charges build up near the base of the cloud, the ground beneath the cloud and the area surrounding the cloud becomes positively charged. As the cloud moves, these induced positive charges on the ground follow the cloud like a shadow. Lightning is a giant spark of electricity that occurs between the positive and negative charges within the atmosphere or between the atmosphere and the ground. In the initial stages of development, air acts as an insulator between the positive and negative charges. However, when the potential between the positive and negative charges becomes too great, there is a discharge of electricity that we know as lightning." (NHBEM 63)

There were two recorded lightning strikes in the Town of Londonderry. The first occurred in June of 1996 when lightning struck a wire mounted to a house causing an attic fire that was quickly extinguished by firefighters. The second event, in August of 2001, was a direct strike to a garage causing extensive damage to the garage and vehicles parked inside. (NOAA National Climatic Data Center)

All areas of Londonderry are potentially at risk for property damage and loss of life due to lightning.
Moderate probability for lightning to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

C. Fires
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee reviewed the following kinds of hazards related to fires:

1. Wild Land Fires
"Historically, large New Hampshire wild land fires run in roughly 50-year cycles. The increased incidence of large wild land fire activity in the late 1940s and early 1950s is thought to be associated, in part, with debris from the hurricane of 1938. Significant woody ‘fuel’ was deposited in the forests during that event. In the past the New Hampshire Department of Resources and Economic Development, Division of Forests & Lands was concerned that the Ice Storm of 1998 has left a significant amount of woody debris in the forests of the region and may fuel future wildfires (NH BEM 34)." Present concerns are that the Ice Storm of 2008 has also left a significant amount of woody debris in the forests of the region and may fuel future wildfires.

The Town of Londonderry has three fire stations, each equipped with a "first-run" engine company and forestry unit, serving 42 square miles. The central fire station also serves as the Emergency Operations Center, housing two ambulances, a command car, and additional specialized equipment.

Data pertaining to fires can be found in the Londonderry Annual Town Reports. There were 455 fires from 2005-2009, including tree, brush, and grass fires; structure fires; vehicle fires; and other fire types including controlled burns, cooking, trash, or refuse fires, outside of structure fires, and other unauthorized burns. A summary of data from 2005-2009 is provided below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fire Type</th>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structure Fire</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree, Brush, or Grass Fire</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Fire</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fires</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Fires</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Town of Londonderry, the following areas are susceptible to wild land fires:
- all new developments (when trees are cut, soil dries leaving dead grass) and
- Musquash Swamp area from Wiley Hill Road to the PSNH right-of-way and Watts Brook.

These areas have been identified on the Identified Hazard Zones GIS map.
High probability for wild land fires to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

2. Target Hazards
Target Hazards are facilities or areas of town that require a greater amount of pre-fire tactical planning to address emergencies larger than the average fire event. In the Town of Londonderry, there are several airport related and other industrial areas which have high concentrations of combustible or hazardous materials which, were a fire to occur, could increase the severity of the fire and possibly have catastrophic results.

In the Town of Londonderry, the following areas are susceptible to target hazard related fires:
- airport area or northwestern most corner of the Town limits to Harvey Road at the east and to the southern extent of Industrial Drive;
- Route 102 east and west of I-93, a tier 2 reporting area and concentration of commercial and industrial development;
- entire lengths of I-93, Route 28, Route 128, and Route 102 which are throughways for many vehicles carrying toxic or hazardous materials; and
- Tennessee Gas line corridor
- AES Granite Ridge Power Plant
These areas have been identified on the Identified Hazard Zones GIS map.

Moderate probability for target hazard related fires to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

3. Isolated Homes
"New Hampshire is heavily forested and is therefore exposed to this hazard … The proximity of many populated areas to the State’s forested lands exposes these areas and their populations to the potential impact of wildfire." (NHBEM 34)

In the Town of Londonderry, the following areas have isolated residential developments:
- Page Road (eastern segment);
- Clark Road (northern segment);
- Jerry Lane;
- Watts Road (western segment);
- Trolley Car Lane (northern segment); and
- Brewster Road.
These areas have been identified on the Identified Hazard Zones GIS map.

Low probability for isolated homes to be damaged in Londonderry.
D. Ice and Snow Events
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee reviewed the following kinds of hazards related to ice and snow events:

1. Heavy Snowstorms
"A heavy snowstorm is generally considered to be one which deposits four or more inches of snow in a 12-hour period." (NHBEM 69-70)

"A blizzard is a winter storm characterized by high winds, low temperatures, and driving snow, according to the official definition given in 1958 by the U.S. Weather Bureau, the winds must exceed 35 miles per hour and the temperatures must drop to 20°F (-7°C) or lower. Therefore, intense nor’easters which occur in the winter months are often referred to as blizzards. The definition includes the conditions under which dry snow, which has previously fallen, is whipped into the air and creates a diminution of visual range. Such conditions, when extreme enough, are called ‘white outs’." (NHBEM 71)

For the intents of this Plan, heavy snowstorms include all storms with four or more inches of snow in a 12-hour period, including all blizzards and nor’easters with large snow accumulation.

Since, the Federal Emergency Management Agency declared five snowstorms-related Emergency Declarations for Rockingham County. The first was declared by FEMA in March of 1993 for statewide heavy snow. The second was for snowstorms during March of 2001 covering seven of the State’s 10 counties. (FEMA, "Federally Declared Disasters by Calendar Year")

The third declared emergency was for a snowstorm on February 17-18, 2003. This storm accumulated approximately 11 inches of snow in Londonderry by 9 am on February 18. (National Weather Service, "Winter Weather Summaries"). This snow was added to an existing base of snow to create an approximate snow depth of 29 inches (National Weather Service, "Climate Data").

The fourth declared emergency was on December 6-7, 2003. This emergency was declared for eight out of 10 New Hampshire counties. The storm accumulated approximately 20 inches of snow in the Londonderry area and winds were measured at up to 39 miles per hour (National Weather Service, "Winter Weather Summaries"). Following is a map depicting snowfall during this storm.
The most recent declared emergency was for January 22-23, 2005 and was declared for all New Hampshire counties, except Coos. The storm accumulated 19.5 inches of snow on top of an existing six inch snow depth. (National Weather Service, "Winter Weather Summaries" and "Climate Data") Following is a map depicting snowfall during this storm.
All areas of Londonderry are potentially at risk for property damage and loss of life due to heavy snows.

High probability for heavy snowstorms, blizzards, and nor’easters to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

2. Ice Storms
"When a mass of warm moist air collides with a mass of cold arctic air, the less dense warm air will rise and the moisture may precipitate in the form of rain. When this rain falls through the colder more dense air and comes in contact with cold surfaces, the latent heat of fusion is removed by connective and/or evaporative cooling. Ice forms on these cold surfaces and may continue to form until the ice is quite deep, as much as several inches."

"This condition may strain branches of trees, power lines and even transmission towers to the breaking point and often creates treacherous conditions for highway travel and aviation."

"Notwithstanding the unique beauty of such events, the weight of formed ice (especially with a following wind) may cause power and phone lines to snap and the towers that support them to fail under the load of ice and/or bending or broken tree limbs."

"Debris impacted roads make emergency access, repair and cleanup extremely difficult."

"The ice storm of January 1998 was not unique in either its spatial scope or its devastating consequences. A similar event in 1929 is believed to have been comparable to this event. The 1998 ice storm was a Declared Disaster by FEMA for nine of the State’s 10 counties; the sole exclusion was Rockingham County." (NHBEM 80)

2010 Update:
Londonderry, including the rest of New Hampshire and much of the Northeast, experienced an intense ice storm from December 11-12, 2008. A major disaster declaration was declared for 10 counties in New Hampshire, including Rockingham. The damage was widespread and approximately 400,000 residents of New Hampshire lost power from the storm. Restoring power to a majority of the State took approximately 14 days and in some extreme cases it took 17 days.

“It was absolutely unprecedented in devastation. Take the largest number of outages in any past storm, multiply that figure by three, and it still won't equal the outages in the 2008 ice storm.” PSNH spokesman, Matt Chagnon, went on to
say that, “the response was as unprecedented as the storm itself. PSNH put 2,400 linemen to work. On average, they restored power to 28,000 customers a day.”

The 2008 ice storm is believed to be the worst ice storm ever recorded in New Hampshire.

All areas of Londonderry are potentially at risk for property damage and loss of life due to ice storms.

High probability for ice storms to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

3. Hailstorms

"Hailstones are balls of ice that grow as they are held up by winds, known as updrafts, that blow upwards in thunderstorms. The updrafts carry droplets of super cooled water (at a below freezing temperature) but not yet ice. The super cooled water droplets hit the balls of ice and freeze instantly, making the hailstones grow. The faster the updraft, the bigger the stone can grow." (Ibid 67)

"Most hailstones are smaller in diameter than a dime, but stones weighing more than a pound have been recorded. Details of how hailstones grow are complicated but the results are irregular balls of ice that can be as large as baseballs, sometimes even bigger. While crops are the major victims, hail is also a hazard to vehicles and windows. Hail damage events can be severe to persons, property, livestock and agriculture." (NHBEM 67)

Between 1963 and 1994 the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) online database recorded 11 hail storms in Rockingham County and four have been recorded since 1994 in Londonderry. Storms occurred during the months of June, July, and August. Hailstone diameters recorded ranged from .75 to 1.75 inches.

All areas of Londonderry are potentially at risk from this hazard.

Moderate probability for hailstorms to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

---

E. Seismic Events
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee reviewed the following kinds of hazards related to seismic events:

1. Earthquakes
An earthquake is "[a] series of vibrations induced in the earth’s crust by the abrupt rupture and rebound of rocks in which elastic strain has been slowly accumulating." (NHBEM 37)

In the State of New Hampshire, earthquakes are due to intraplate seismic activity, opposed to interplate activity or shifting between tectonic plates as occurs in California. The causes of intraplate earthquakes have yet to be scientifically proved. One accepted explanation for the cause of intraplate "earthquakes in the Northeast is that ancient zones of weakness are being reactivated in the present-day stress field. In this model, pre-existing faults and/or other geological features formed during ancient geological episodes persist in the intraplate crust, and, by way of analogy with plate boundary seismicity, earthquakes occur when the present-day stress is released along these zones of weakness." (Kafka)

For the figure to the right:
Depth is in kilometers.
Purple Triangles: Cities
Purple Star: Capital City
Circles: Earthquakes (color represents depth range)
- Earthquake locations are from the USGS/NEIC PDE catalog.
There are two scales that measure earthquakes, the Modified Mercalli (MM) and the Richter scales. The Richter scale is a measurement of magnitude of the quake as calculated by a seismograph and does not measure damage. The Modified Mercalli scale denotes the intensity of an earthquake as it is perceived by humans, their reactions, and damage created. It is not a mathematically based scale but a ranking of perception. (USGS, "The Severity of an Earthquake") Refer to page 41 of the State of New Hampshire Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan for detailed descriptions of each.

One of New England’s more notable seismic zones runs from the Ossipee Mountain area of New Hampshire, through the Londonderry area, and continues south toward Boston, Massachusetts. This particular area has a mean return time of 408 years for a 6.0 Richter scale earthquake or a 39 percent probability of occurrence in 200 years. Additionally for a 6.5 Richter scale quake, there is a mean return time of 1,060 years or a 17 percent probability of occurrence in 200 years. (Pulli) When New England is generalized as a whole for earthquake probability estimation, the risk increases from the specific hazard zone noted above. For New England there is an estimated return time of every 10 years for an earthquake with a 4.6 Richter scale magnitude and 1000 years for 7.0 magnitude. (NHBEM 43)

From 1728 to 1989, there were 270 earthquakes in New Hampshire. This averages to approximately one quake per year. There were six quakes over 4.0 on the Richter scale during the 1900s. (Ibid 39-42) The most recent quake occurred on June 9, 2010, near Berlin, New Hampshire, with a magnitude of 1.8 on the Richter scale (USGS Earthquake Hazards Program).

All areas of Londonderry are potentially at risk for property damage and loss of life due to earthquakes.

Moderate to high probability for earthquakes to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

2. Landslides
According to Webster’s Dictionary a landslide is “[t]he sliding of a mass of soil, detritus or rock on or from a steep slope.’ More specifically, a landslide is the downward movement of slope forming materials reacting under the force of gravity including: mudflows, mudslides, debris flows, rockslides, debris avalanches, debris slides and earth flows.” Landslides typically occur due to the over-saturation of soil on a slope during heavy precipitation or snow melting or they occur during a seismic event such as an earthquake. (NHBEM 45)

All areas of steep slopes in Londonderry, as shown on the Identified Hazard Zones Map, are at risk for landslides.
Moderate probability for landslides to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

F. Other Hazards
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee reviewed the following other kinds of hazards:

1. Utility pipe failure
Failure of utility pipe systems, including water, gas, and sewer, can be caused by joint leakage, contamination, pipe fracture or tuberculation. Pipe fractures are the most costly and potentially damaging of the failure modes. (Makar 2) Fractures can be caused by blunt force (e.g., construction digging) or ground shifting caused by the natural expansion and contraction of freezing and thawing soil during the winter months or from earthquakes. Pipe blocks in sewer systems can cause a buildup of harmful gasses and lead to explosions. (SCWA)

Potential effects of water main failures can include immediate loss of water supply in the surrounding area, flooding, and road collapse. Sewer main failures can cause sewage backups, effluent leakage, and exposure to harmful bacteria. Leaks in gas mains can lead to fires or explosions if there is either an ignition source or pressure built up in the pipe. Explosions occurring in underground pipes can create craters, and possibly result in death, injuries, and property damage. (NTSB, "Pipeline Accidents")

There are approximately 39 miles of sewer, 90 miles of water, seven miles of Tennessee Gas mains, and 36 miles of Keyspan natural gas delivery lines in Londonderry. Water mains range in diameter from four to 30 inches. Manchester Water Works maintains 231 fire hydrants, 186 fire services (4 to 12 inch diameter pipes), and 481 domestic services (¾ to 16 inch pipes) in Londonderry. Additionally, Pennichuck Water Works maintains 194 fire hydrants, 77 fire services (2 to 8 inch diameter pipes), and 1,519 domestic services serving a population of 4,086 (¾ to 2 inch pipes) in Londonderry. (Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee)

Manchester Water Works main breaks occur at an approximate frequency of .06 breaks per mile, compared to the national average of .20 breaks per mile.

All areas of Londonderry should be considered at risk for utility system failures. The Tennessee Gas Pipeline bisects the Town, running north to south. Particular concern should be given to the center of Town, where the gas pipeline passes the high school, middle school, and an elementary school.

Moderate probability for utility system failures to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.
2. Airport related hazards
Typically, airport related hazards are the result of aircraft mechanical malfunctions. Collisions can occur in flight with other aircraft or birds; with structures such as buildings, lights, or towers; or with the terrain. While major commercial aircraft disasters are infrequent, when they do occur the majority are off airfield and nearly three-quarters of these accidents occur on either approach or departure. Less frequently, aircraft and airport disasters can be the result of terrorism. This may include hijackings, bombings, or intentional collisions with selected targets.

Events addressed by the Manchester Airport Emergency Plan include:

- bomb threats;
- structural fires within airport buildings;
- fires at fuel farms and fuel storage facilities;
- severe weather and natural disasters;
- hazardous materials incidents;
- sabotage and other unlawful interferences to civil aviation;
- power failures;
- medical emergencies; and
- disabled aircrafts obstructing active runways.

In the last 10 years, the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) listed five aircraft accidents in the Londonderry area or at the Manchester Airport in their online aviation accident database\(^2\) (details below). The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in the same period listed an additional seven incidents in their National Aviation Safety Data Analysis Center. These incidents involved aircraft departing from, arriving to, or in the vicinity of the Manchester Airport and the Londonderry area.

Incidents reported to the FAA include, but are not limited to, loss of cabin pressure, falling oil pressure, and rough landings causing minor damage to the aircraft.

The Manchester Airport, departure and final approach paths to the airport which over-fly Londonderry, as defined by FAR Part 77, may pose a risk for airport related hazards in Londonderry.

*Moderate probability for airport related hazards to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.*

---

3. Geomagnetism
The State of New Hampshire Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan defines geomagnetism as "...of, or pertaining to, the Earth’s magnetic field and related phenomena. Large geomagnetic disturbances commonly known as magnetic storms, if global in scale, or as magnetic substorms, if localized in scale and limited to nighttime high altitude auroral regions, are of particular significance for electric power utilities, pipeline operations, radio communications, navigation, satellite operations, geophysical exploration and GPS (global positional system) use." (NHBEM 50)

Geomagnetism includes both solar wind coupling and magnetic storms. Solar wind coupling is the relationship between solar events and winds with geomagnetic activity within the earth’s magnetosphere. "Magnetic storms occur when the radiation belts become filled with energetic ions and electrons. The drift of these particles produces a doughnut shaped ring of electrical current around the Earth...Magnetic storms are often initiated by the sudden arrival of a high-speed stream of solar wind, carrying high particle density and high magnetic field." (NHBEM 50)

**High-tension lines and communications towers** are at risk in Londonderry.

Low probability for geomagnetism to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

4. Drought
"Hydrological drought is evidenced by extended periods of negative departures from normal rainfall" (Ibid 30). New Hampshire has been under several drought warnings, including a drought emergency, since 1999. The most severe drought conditions occurred between 1960 and 1969; the event had a greater than 25 year recurrence interval (Ibid). The Southern New Hampshire region experienced a 100-year drought event from 1964 to 1965 (MWW).

While a drought is not as devastating as some other hazards, low water levels can have a negative effect on existing and future home sites, especially those which depend on groundwater for water supply. Additionally, the dry conditions of a drought may lead to an increase wild fire risk. (NHBEM 30-31)

**All areas of Londonderry** would be affected by a drought.

Moderate probability for drought to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.

5. Extreme Heat
"A heat wave is defined as a period of three consecutive days during which the air temperature reaches 90 degrees Fahrenheit or higher on each day” (NHBEM 33). Extreme heat is an occasional and short-lived event in southern New Hampshire. While there have been no extended periods of extreme heat in
Londonderry, the State of New Hampshire Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan notes one of the hottest summers of record as 1999. There were 13 days above 90 degrees, five days over 95 degrees and two days over 97 degrees. From 1960-1994 there were 45 heat waves recorded in Concord. This is an average of 1.3 heat waves per year. In 1988 there were a total of five heat waves. (Ibid 32-33)

**All areas of Londonderry** would be affected by extreme heat, in its event. Particular areas and populations at a greater risk are:
- elderly populations and day care centers and
- electrical power and communication systems may become overburdened.

**Low probability for extreme heat to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.**

6. Extreme Cold
While most New Hampshire residents are rather habituated to the extreme cold situations in the State, and this is not a section identified by the State of New Hampshire Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan, it was decided to include a statement in this Plan. For the purposes of this Plan extreme cold will be referred to in a general manner, without a scientific definition. Periods of extreme cold pose a life-threatening situation for Londonderry’s low-income populations. With the rising costs of heating fuel and electric heat, many low-income citizens are not able to adequately heat their homes, exposing themselves to cold related medical emergencies or death.

In Concord there are on average 21 days below 32 degrees Fahrenheit in November, 29 days in December, 30 days in January, 27 days in February, and 26 days in March. The coldest temperatures recorded for each month were -5 degrees Fahrenheit in November, -22° in December, -33° in January, -37° in February, and -16° in March. (Northeast Regional Climate Center)

**All areas of Londonderry** would be affected by extreme cold, in its event. Particular areas and populations at a greater risk are:
- elderly populations and day care centers;
- power system may become overburdened; and
- low income populations.

**Moderate to high probability for extreme cold to occur and cause damage in Londonderry.**

A GIS-generated map, following this page, was prepared to illustrate the Identified Hazard Zones.
IDENTIFIED HAZARD ZONES
Londonderry, NH

Hazard Zones
- 100 year flood zone
- 500 year flood zone
- Past Flooding
- Repetitive Loss Properties
- Target Hazard
- Wild Fire
- Isolated Homes

Steep Slopes - 15% Minimum Slope
- with a maximum 25% gradient
- with a maximum 35% gradient
- with a maximum 80% gradient

Political Boundaries
- Stream/River
- Lake/Pond

Roads
- Interstate Roads
- State Roads
- Local Roads
- Private Roads
- Class VI Roads

Data Sources:
- Digital Elevation Model (DEM) 2016
- Roads, Railroads, and Waterbodies from SNHPC
- NH Department of Transportation Granit Digital Data (1:24,000)

This map is designed as part of the Town of Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan. It is not to be used for legal boundary purposes.

The Town of Londonderry and the SNHPC make no representations, warranties, or guarantees to the accuracy of this map.
Disaster Risk and Vulnerability Assessment

Based on the hazards outlined in Section II, the following is an estimate of damage, in dollars, that may result if a natural hazard occurs in the Town. These estimates were calculated using FEMA’s *Understanding Your Risks: Identifying Hazards and Estimating Losses*, August 2001. The publication’s methodology was modified for this Plan based on the data available. The vulnerability estimates utilize available NFIP data, 2009 Town valuation, and identified essential facilities. Data is not yet available in a format (i.e. assessing data linked to a GIS layer of tax maps and building footprints) to locate property specific information in a given hazard zone other than as produced expressly for this Plan. The following calculations used available current or historical data and "Worksheet 4" in the Estimating Losses section of *Understanding Your Risks: Identifying Hazards and Estimating Losses*. Background, historical information, associated risks, and summary of assets considered in the estimation process are described in the following estimates.

Human losses were not calculated during this exercise, but could be expected to occur depending on the type and severity of the hazard. The estimates typically represent only structural loss, unless sufficient data was available to incorporate contents, structure use, or function loss. Current valuation of the Town is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Classification</th>
<th>2009 Assessed Valuation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Use</td>
<td>$747,580</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary Easement</td>
<td>$305,400</td>
<td>$58,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>$666,811,377</td>
<td>$1,602,143,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/Industrial</td>
<td>$182,869,623</td>
<td>$383,432,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities**</td>
<td></td>
<td>$481,207,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assessed Valuation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,333,882,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Equalized Valuation*</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,074,934,591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assessed values were estimated to be 106.8 percent of the full market value in 2009

** The NH PUC only provides assessed value as a combination of land and structure value.

---

3 From the NH Department of Revenue Administration, "2009 Tables by County"
**Flooding**

$1.3 - $4.9 million

As of the most recent FEMA biennial report, the Town of Londonderry had 85 residential structures located in the floodplain, with an estimated population of 127. The average residential house sale price is $228,000\(^4\). Two scenarios were considered with a low estimate assuming damage to 25 percent of the structures with a one-foot flood depth and a high estimate assuming damage to 50 percent of the structures with a four-foot flood depth. These estimates also assume the residential structures are one- or two-story homes with basements and the non-residential structures are two-story without basements. Standard values for percent damage, functional downtime and displacement time were used from FEMA’s Understanding Your Risks: Identifying Hazards and Estimating Losses; and its "Worksheet 4- Estimate Losses" was used to determine the actual estimates.

The low estimate was $726,750 in structural damages, $545,063 in contents loss, and $56,685 in structure use and function loss. The total low estimate loss was $1,330,497. The high estimate was $2,713,200 in structural damages, $2,034,900 in contents loss, and $145,874 in structure use and function loss. The total high estimate loss was $4,893,974.

Infrastructure damage could also be extensive, including roads, bridges, utilities, towers, etc. If a devastating flood were to occur, the damage to properties located within the floodplain could exceed this estimated amount. It is clear that Londonderry could benefit greatly from any flood mitigation measures that would help reduce typical losses that occur during a major flood event.

**Hurricanes**

up to $30 million

Most of the damage from hurricanes is caused by high water and strong winds. While Londonderry is less vulnerable to hurricanes than coastal areas, significant damage could be expected, particularly in areas with manufactured homes. Assuming a community-wide assessed structural valuation, adjusted to market value, of approximately $3 billion, damaging 1 percent of these structures could result in losses of up to $30 million. This does not include other damages expected to occur on public property within the community.

**Debris-Impacted Infrastructure and River Ice Jams**

$10,000 to $5 million

Damage from these two hazards could be expected to occur not only to privately owned structures, but also to infrastructure such as roads, bridges, and culverts. An estimate of damage, in dollars, from this type of hazard can range widely depending on the nature and severity of the hazard. Past debris-impacted infrastructure damage, in Londonderry, has been minimal. Therefore, it is difficult to separate actual damages to represent this type of hazard. A small-to-medium-sized event could be expected to produce a loss from $10,000 to $5 million.

\(^4\) An average of all single and multi-family structures sold from January 2009 through July 2009, NHHFA Purchase Price Trends data
**Erosion, Mudslides and Rapid Snowpack Melt**  up to $125,000

Erosion, mudslides, and rapid snowpack melt damage usually affects infrastructure such as roads and bridges, but can also affect individual structures and businesses. The inventory of essential facilities located in the areas of steep slopes was used to prepare an estimate of this type of damage, since a complete inventory was not available. There are no value estimates for two cell towers, five public water suppliers, and one historic facility that would be vulnerable to these hazards. However, data is available for the remaining structures in the hazard zone. For a moderate event, assuming from 1 percent to 5 percent structural damages, and from .5 percent to 2.5 percent content loss, damages could be expected between $25,000 and $125,000. Since this hazard has not been widespread in Londonderry, damages from this hazard should be minimal.

**Dam Breach or Failure**  $0.3 million to $3.7 million

Londonderry has no Class S dams that could cause serious failure damage. The nine Class L dams have a low potential for causing damage in the surrounding areas. Damage estimates could be expected to be about 25-75 percent of the flooding estimate, or $0.3 to $3.7 million.

**Water Retention Facility Failure**  $62,612 to $1.15 million

Minimal information is available on the fiscal impacts of this type of event. Damages would be inflicted if the one 2.3 million gallon water tower failed. No past water retention facility failures have occurred in Londonderry to base an estimate of potential losses on. Therefore, damages are estimated to impact from 1 to 20 houses, depending on the surrounding residential density and path of the resulting water path. Assuming basement flooding equal to two feet below the first floor elevation, structural and contents damages could amount to $62,612 to $1.15 million.

**Tornados**  $500,000 to $15 million

The Fujita Scale is used to determine the intensity of tornados. Most tornados are in the F0 to F2 Class, in a range that extends to F5 Class. Building to modern wind standards provides significant property protection from tornados. The design wind speed in Londonderry is 100 miles per hour, Exposure Category B, in accordance with the 2009 International Building Code. While it is difficult to assess the monetary impact a tornado may have on a community, as there are no existing standard loss estimation models, the dollar range shown above indicates an approximation of what might be expected. Tornados rarely occur in this part of the country, so damage from this hazard would be uncommon.

**Heavy Snowstorms, Nor’easters, Ice Storms**  $10,000 to $3 million

Damage from heavy snowstorms, nor’easters and ice storms vary greatly depending on the amount of snow and ice that accumulates during the storm. The ice storm of 2008 caused much damage to power lines, structures, and the agricultural economy in northern New England and is said to be the most
damaging ice storm on record. These types of storms in Londonderry could be expected to cause damage ranging from several thousand dollars to several million, depending on the severity of the storm.

**Lightning**

$1,000 - $15,000

Damage from lightning is typically minimal and occurs in isolated events without record of actual costs incurred. Incidences throughout the region, occurring to municipal facilities, have incurred damages ranging between $1,000 and $15,000.

**Wild Land Fires**

$0.3 million to $2.7 million

A fire can strike at any time, but may be expected to occur during years of drought and particularly in the spring and fall months. From 2005 through 2009 there were 455 fires encompassing small isolated events, car fires, building and structural fires, and wild land fires.

Grass or wild land fires can spread more rapidly between structures due to the increased intensity and size of the fire. Presuming a small-to-medium-sized fire that destroys from one to 20 homes, damage from this hazard could be expected to range from $342,000 to $2,736,000. Other damage, such as to utilities, was not included in this estimate.

**Earthquakes**

up to $14 - $33.3 million

Assuming a moderate earthquake occurs in Londonderry, where structures are not built to a high seismic design level and are mostly of wood frame construction, it is estimated that about 1 percent to 5 percent of the community-wide assessed structural valuation adjusted to market value could be lost, including both partial and total damage.

This estimate used "Worksheet 4" and the Town-wide assessed valuation adjusted to market value of residential, commercial, and industrial structures. Londonderry's actual peak ground acceleration (PGA) is 0.0597g. This represents the average strength of an earthquake with a 10 percent probability of reoccurring in 50 years. FEMA's *Understanding Your Risks: Identifying Hazards and Estimating Losses* provides data to conduct damage estimates for PGAs of 0.05g or 0.07g. The following estimate uses these two PGA levels, assumes low seismic design for all structures, and estimates the upper limits of expected damages if an earthquake were to impact Londonderry. The first calculation (.05 PGA) yields $2,918,914 in structural damages, $921,445 in content damages, and $10,481,795 in structure use loss for a total estimate of $14,322,092 in damages. The second calculation (.07 PGA) yields $8,972,356 in structural damages, $2,746,344 in content damages, and $21,595,297 in structure use loss for a total estimate of $33,313,996 in damages.
Utility Pipe Failure

No information on water or gas main failures is available for specific properties in Londonderry. Other communities in the SNHPC region have incurred damages of $200 to $40,000 from water and sewer main leaks or breaks. The Tennessee Gas Pipeline does run behind three of Londonderry's schools making these three essential facilities vulnerable despite increased safety precautions designed into this length of pipeline.

Airport Related Disasters

There is a great range in the type, magnitude, and cost of airport or aircraft related disasters. Most accidents or incidences at the Manchester Airport, as outlined in the previous section, involved minor damages to the aircraft itself, with no other damages or human casualties. Incidences like these could generate $5,000 or more in damages.

More tragic accidents or plane crashes, which have not previously occurred at the Manchester Airport, could involve the destruction of one major airliner or even two in the event of a collision. Commercial jets range in price from $40 million to $230 million. Additionally, if a crash occurred in a residential or populated area there is the potential for the loss of lives and the destruction of one to five homes. The replacement cost of these homes would be $475,000 to $2,375,000 (see the estimate of wild land fires for data derivation). Given these values damages, not including human lives, could range from $30.5 million (one home and one smaller commercial craft) to $462.4 million (five homes and two larger commercial crafts).

Downbursts, Hailstorms, Landslides, Geomagnetism, Drought, Extreme Heat/Cold

No major damage is known to have occurred in the Town of Londonderry related to these types of events. Therefore, no potential loss estimates have been prepared for these categories.

Note: The above figures are estimates only. The amount of damage from any hazard will vary from these figures depending on the time of occurrence, severity of impact, weather conditions, population density, building construction at the exact event local, and the triggering of secondary events.
Critical Facilities
The following are summary tables of the critical facilities located in each of the five identified hazard zones within the Town. For the purposes of this Plan a critical facility is defined as a building, structure or location which:

- is vital to the hazard response effort;
- maintains an existing level of protection from hazards for the Town; and
- Would create a secondary disaster if a hazard were to impact it.

These summaries were queried from a database of all essential facilities created for this Plan. The Hazard Mitigation Committee, based on its knowledge of the Town, Town of Londonderry Department of Public Works and GIS Manager, and SNHPC, using various directories, were the primary sources for the Critical Facilities listing. The assessed values presented are the total building values and do not include the cost of land or building contents. Assessments were conducted during 2009 and at the time of this Plan are assumed to be 100 percent of the full market value.

The five identified hazard zones are:

- **Town Wide Hazards** include hurricanes, tornados, nor’easters, downbursts, lightning, heavy snow, ice storms, hailstorms, earthquakes, geomagnetism, utility pipe failure, drought, or extreme heat/cold;
- **Special Flood Hazard Areas** include riverine flooding, hurricanes, debris-impacted infrastructure, ice jams, rapid snowpack melt, or dam breach;
- **Steep Slopes** include erosion, mudslides, or landslides;
- **Wild Land Fires** include wild land fire hazards; and
- **Target Hazards** include target hazards.

### Summary of Critical Facilities by Hazard Zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Zone</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Total Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Town Wide (all facilities)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>$96,186,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Hazard Zones</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$621,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Flooding Areas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$439,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep Slopes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$377,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Land Fires</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5All facilities’ proximity to the various hazard zones was identified using GIS as follows:

- Special Flood Hazard Zones and Steep Slopes- intersecting or within 100 feet of the mapped area
- Wild Land Fires and Target Hazards- intersecting the mapped area

The 100 foot buffer was applied to allow for mapping accuracies of +/- 200 feet and to include buildings mapped only by a point that are partially located within the specified hazard zone. The other two zones are acknowledged to be approximate locations as mapped.
# Town Wide Hazards (Summary of all Critical Facilities)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Offices</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$483,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Response Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Station</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$556,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Operations Center</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Garage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$107,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Fuel Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$486,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelters</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$23,702,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$63,741,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Communication Facilities</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$3,445,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Water System</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$2,852,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Pump or Boost Station</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$269,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Pump Station</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$540,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Power Substations/Lines</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Pump Station</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Special Flood Hazard Zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Water System</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$181,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Pump Station</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$439,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Steep Slopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Communication Facilities</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$61,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Water System</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$316,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Power Substations/Lines</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Wild Land Fires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wild Land Fires</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>There are no Critical Facilities in the Wild Land Fire Zones.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type</td>
<td>No. of Facilities</td>
<td>Assessed Building Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Offices</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$483,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Response Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Station</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Station</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$556,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Operations Center</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Fuel Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$486,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelters</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$15,602,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$63,741,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Communication Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Water System</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$2,243,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Pump or Boost Station</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Pump Station</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$439,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Areas at Risk
The following are summary tables of the areas at risk located in each of the five identified hazard zones within the Town. For the purposes of this Plan an area at risk is defined as emergency equipment or areas not needed to respond at the time of a natural disaster, but which could still be threatened if a natural disaster were to occur. These include:

• critical facilities not utilized for emergency response;
• people and facilities to be protected in the event of a disaster; and/or
• potential resources for services or supplies in the event of a disaster.

These summaries were queried from a database of all essential facilities created for this Plan. Resources for the Areas at Risk database entries included the Committee, SNHPC, NH Department of Environmental Services GIS data, NH Office of Energy and Planning GIS data, UNH GRANIT GIS data, and the National Register of Historic Places. The assessed values presented are the total building values and do not include the cost of land or building contents. Assessments were conducted during 2009 and at the time of this Plan are assumed to be 100 percent of the full market value.

The five identified hazard zones are:

• **Town Wide Hazards** include hurricanes, tornados, nor’easters, downbursts, lightning, heavy snow, ice storms, hailstorms, earthquakes, geomagnetism, utility pipe failure, drought, or extreme heat/cold;
• **Special Flood Hazard Areas** include riverine flooding, hurricanes, debris-impacted infrastructure, ice jams, rapid snowpack melt, or dam breach;
• **Steep Slopes** include erosion, mudslides, or landslides;
• **Wild Land Fires** include wild land fire hazards; and
• **Target Hazards** include target hazards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Zone</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Total Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Town Wide (all facilities)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>$145,473,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Hazard Zones</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$3,498,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Flooding Areas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep Slopes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$1,290,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Land Fires</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$185,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6All facilities' proximity to the various hazard zones was identified using GIS as follows:

• Special Flood Hazard Zones and Steep Slopes- intersecting or within 100 feet of the mapped area
• Wild Land Fires and Target Hazards- intersecting the mapped area

The 100 foot buffer was applied to allow for mapping accuracies of +/- 200 feet and to include buildings mapped only by a point that are partially located within the specified hazard zone. The other two zones are acknowledged to be approximate locations as mapped.
## Town Wide Hazards (Summary of all Areas at Risk)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste &amp; Recycling Facilities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,824,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Communications/Cable TV and Public Access</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2,624,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Consideration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Facilities</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>570,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vulnerable Populations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$ 28,439,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Facilities</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$11,429,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Areas</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$20,047,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Resources</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$44,996,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Facilities</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$12,107,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Facilities</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$12,142,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Centers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$922,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Flood Hazard Zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Consideration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3,497,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Facilities</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>194,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vulnerable Populations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$181,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Resources</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$78,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,340,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Steep Slopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Consideration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Properties</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vulnerable Populations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Facilities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$783,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly Housing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Areas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$316,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Resources</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$190,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Wild Land Fires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vulnerable Populations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$185,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Areas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Target Hazard Zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>No. of Facilities</th>
<th>Assessed Building Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste and Recycling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$343,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Facilities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Communications</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$909,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Consideration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Systems</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$472,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Properties</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vulnerable Populations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$23,776,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Facilities</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$1,709,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Day Cares</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$181,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Restricted Housing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,220,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Type</td>
<td>No. of Facilities</td>
<td>Assessed Building Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hotels</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,345,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recreation - Indoor</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3,606,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recreation - Outdoor</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$665,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial Resources</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$8,598,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Facilities</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$5,071,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Religious Facilities</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$7,796,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Center</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$437,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commercial Economic Impact Areas
The following is a summary table of the commercial-economic impact areas located in each of the five identified hazard zones within the Town. For the purposes of this Plan, a commercial economic impact area includes organizations and businesses with more than 20 employees. These are facilities that are vital to the community’s economic well-being.

This summary was queried from a database of all essential facilities created for this Plan. The facilities were taken from a database of employers developed by the Town of Londonderry Planning and Economic Development Department and were mapped by SNHPC by a combination of GIS automated address matching and manual placement using tax map and lot locations.

The five identified hazard zones are:
- **Town Wide Hazards** include hurricanes, tornados, nor’easters, downbursts, lightning, heavy snow, ice storms, hailstorms, earthquakes, geomagnetism, utility pipe failure, drought, or extreme heat/cold;
- **Special Flood Hazard Areas** include riverine flooding, hurricanes, debris-impacted infrastructure, ice jams, rapid snowpack melt, or dam breach;
- **Steep Slopes** include erosion, mudslides, or landslides;
- **Wild Land Fires** include wild land fire hazards; and
- **Target Hazards** include target hazards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Zone</th>
<th>Number of Employers</th>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Town Wide</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>7,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Hazard Zones</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Flood Hazard Areas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep Slopes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Land Fires</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All facilities' proximity to the various hazard zones was identified using GIS as follows:
- Special Flood Hazard Zones and Steep Slopes- intersecting or within 100 feet of the mapped area
- Wild Land Fires and Target Hazards- intersecting the mapped area

The 100 foot buffer was applied to allow for mapping accuracies of +/- 200 feet and to include buildings mapped only by a point that are partially located within the specified hazard zone. The other two zones are acknowledged to be approximate locations as mapped.
Hazardous Materials Facilities
The following is a summary table of the hazardous materials facilities located in each of the five identified hazard zones within the Town. For the purposes of this Plan, hazardous materials facilities include active hazardous waste generators, underground storage tanks, and above-ground storage tanks. As defined by the N.H. Department of Environmental Services, active hazardous waste generators may include businesses that produce household hazardous waste, or treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste, or be a waste handler or used oil marketer.

This summary was queried from a database of all essential facilities created for this Plan. The listing of Hazardous Materials Facilities was created from the NH Department of Environmental Services GIS data layers for hazardous waste generators, above ground, and underground storage tanks.

The five identified hazard zones are:

- **Town Wide Hazards** include hurricanes, tornados, nor’easters, downbursts, lightning, heavy snow, ice storms, hailstorms, earthquakes, geomagnetism, utility pipe failure, drought, or extreme heat/cold;
- **Special Flood Hazard Areas** include riverine flooding, hurricanes, debris-impacted infrastructure, ice jams, rapid snowpack melt, or dam breach;
- **Steep Slopes** include erosion, mudslides, or landslides;
- **Wild Land Fires** include wild land fire hazards; and
- **Target Hazards** include target hazards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Zone</th>
<th>Hazardous Waste Generators</th>
<th>Above Ground Storage Tank Sites</th>
<th>Underground Storage Tank Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Town Wide</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Hazard Zones</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Flooding Areas</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep Slopes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Land Fires</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All facilities' proximity to the various hazard zones was identified using GIS as follows:

- Special Flood Hazard Zones and Steep Slopes- intersecting or within 100 feet of the mapped area
- Wild Land Fires and Target Hazards- intersecting the mapped area

The 100 foot buffer was applied to allow for mapping accuracies of +/- 200 feet and to include buildings mapped only by a point that are partially located within the specified hazard zone. The other two zones are acknowledged to be approximate locations as mapped.
SECTION IV
EXISTING MITIGATION STRATEGIES AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

Description of Existing Programs
The Town of Londonderry has adopted several programs and ordinances for hazard mitigation. Below are brief descriptions of these programs and how they aid in hazard mitigation.

Emergency Operations Plan
Londonderry maintains an Emergency Operations Plan. The plan is currently being updated in 2010. The plan coordinates the Town Departments’ actions and responses before, during, and after a disaster. Events planned for range from aircraft disasters and hazardous materials incidents to flooding and snowstorms. The plan was prepared to conform to guidelines by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the New Hampshire Emergency Management Agency and the NH Emergency Operations Plan. The plan establishes the Emergency Operations Center (at the Central Fire Station). The Emergency Operations Plan addresses shelters, evacuation procedures, emergency notification, and health and medical services. Additionally, it includes a section on weapons of mass destruction and a domestic terrorism contingency plan.

Floodplain Development Ordinance (Zoning Ordinance)
Floodplain district regulations apply to all lands designated as special flood hazard areas by FEMA in its Flood Insurance Study for the Town of Londonderry, N.H. and Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) dated May 17, 2005. Encroachments, including fill, new construction, substantial improvements to existing structures, and other development are prohibited unless certification by a registered professional engineer is provided by the applicant demonstrating that such encroachment will not result in any increase in flood levels during the occurrence of the 100-year base flood. The building inspector shall review all building permit applications for new construction or substantial improvements to determine whether proposed building sites will be reasonably safe from flooding. In 2005, the Town of Londonderry adopted new Digital FIRMs and Flood Insurance Study, effective May 17, 2005, produced under FEMA’s Map Modernization Program.

Elevation Certificates
An Elevation Certificate is required when a structure is built or substantially improved within a known flood zone, or if the flood map shows a part of the lot within the flood zone and the certified foundation plan shows the house is located within the flood zone. The land surveyor must supply the footing elevation.
Conservation Overlay District (Zoning Ordinance)
The Conservation Overlay District, contained within the Zoning Ordinance, requires setbacks ranging from 50 to 150 feet from the edge of a wetland or the centerline of a stream dependent on the water body's classification. The primary objectives of this ordinance are to mitigate any development that may negatively interfere with these water systems' natural functions and reduce any potential financial impacts that may be caused by the inappropriate use of these lands.

Airport Zoning Regulation and Noise Overlay Zoning (Zoning Ordinance)
The Airport Zoning Regulation is currently being updated in 2010 and aims to mitigate potential disasters related to the operation of the Manchester Airport, sited on land in both Londonderry and Manchester. The ordinance regulates against the creation of any potential obstructions to aerial approach, radio system functioning, and visibility. The zone is defined as all areas within a 100,000-foot radius of the Airport Reference Point. The Noise Overlay District establishes soundproofing requirements for varying land uses and is anticipated to be updated with new regulations provided by the Airport Authority.

Manufactured Housing (Zoning Ordinance)
Regulations are established to provide suitable and affordable living environments in manufactured home parks and on individual lots in the Agricultural-Residential (AR) District. Minimum standards are set regulating densities and available utilities and construction and safety standards in order to protect the occupants and reduce the homes' vulnerability to natural disasters.

Steep Slopes (Zoning Ordinance)
Londonderry's Zoning Ordinance excludes any slopes greater than 25 percent from the calculation of "Usable Land" in the Multi-Family Residential and Elderly Housing Districts. All other residential zones use soil based lot sizing to determine buildable lot area and permitted density. One input factor in making the lot size determination is slope, acting as constraint on the buildable area. Within the Performance Overlay District, steep slopes of 33 percent are regulated to mitigate hazards associated with the development of these areas.

Londonderry Building Codes (Chapter II, Zoning Ordinance)
Excavation Regulations
Earth removal regulations minimize safety hazards created by open excavations; safeguard the public health and welfare; preserve the natural assets of soil, water, forests and wildlife; maintain aesthetic features of the environment; prevent land and water pollution; and promote soil stabilization. Excavation regulations are maintained within the Londonderry Zoning Ordinance.

Stormwater Regulations
The Town of Londonderry has had extensive stormwater regulations in place to address run-off from development sites. These regulations require that the post-development run-off rate not exceed the pre-development runoff rate. Additionally, surface run-off shall be directed to managed systems prior to entering existing water bodies.

Erosion, Drainage and Flood Control (Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations)
Londonderry's Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations set development standards requiring erosion controls as are consistent with New Hampshire Best Management Practices. The regulations set minimum standards for storm drainage throughout the Town and in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs). Additional SFHA specific requirements include mandated planning board review, base flood elevations, and proposals be designed to mitigate any potential damages from run-off or flooding.

Road Design Standards (Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations)
Londonderry maintains road design regulations as part of the Town’s Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations. The Subdivision Regulations specifically cite the following standards and specifications as applicable to all improvements in the Town:

- Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, State of New Hampshire, Department of Transportation, 1997 or latest revision;
- Highway Design Manual, State of New Hampshire, Highway Design Division, current edition; and
Snow Emergency Regulations
The Snow Emergency Regulations allow the Public Works Department to declare snow emergencies triggering parking bans to expedite the flow of traffic and snow removal.

Fire Codes
The Town of Londonderry Fire Code, as adopted by the Town Council in 2010, includes sections of the 2009 International Fire Code, NFPA, and the 2009 International Building Code to protect residents from fire hazards in residential and non-residential facilities. Single family residences are required to have all gas and oil fired systems inspected by the Fire Department prior to receiving a certificate of occupancy. Commercial and industrial structures must have inspections reviewing sprinkler, mechanical, and fire alarm systems, structural components including firewalls. Additionally, site plans must be reviewed by the fire inspector to ensure proper hydrant placement and adequate access is provided for fire and emergency vehicles.

Hazardous Materials Regulations
The Town of Londonderry enforces state regulations regarding hazardous materials. Londonderry's Fire Department participates in the Southeastern New Hampshire Hazardous Materials Mutual Aid District (SNHHMMAD). SNHHMMAD provides technical expertise during an emergency on decontamination, rescue, and control, as well as hazardous materials mitigation. The district is composed of 15 member communities incorporating over 140,000 residents and 400 square miles.

Town Radio System
Each of the Fire, Police, and Public Works Departments maintain separate, but interoperable, radio networks for day-to-day operations. These systems are comprised of base stations and individual mobile radios. The systems can also interface with regional mutual aid and state agencies.

Police
The Chief of Police is charged with preserving public peace, preventing riots and disorder, and receiving and issuing emergency warnings. During fires the police are to prevent theft and further unwarranted destruction of property.

Comprehensive Emergency Management Planning for Schools (CEMPS)
Comprehensive Emergency Management Planning for Schools is available from the New Hampshire Office of Emergency Management. CEMPS outlines training for schoolteachers, administrators, and students on actions to be taken during an emergency at school. The school district will continue to implement this program.
Manchester Water Works Emergency Operations Manual
This manual establishes an action plan for the department and its employees in the event of a natural or man-made disaster. Specific response plans are outlined for each hazard type as it pertains to the individual Water Works divisions. The manual also includes emergency contact lists, a list of Manchester Water Work's buildings and structures, emergency action and notification forms, and additional information on the hazards.

Pennichuck Water Works Londonderry Water Supply System Emergency Response Plan
This plan provides a description of the water system, emergency contacts and the chain of command during an emergency, emergency response protocols and a section on pre-emptive planning. Hazard events have been group together into three tiers and individual response plans have been established for each of the tiers. Additionally, the appendices provide supplemental information on standard operation procedures for isolating system components, EPA multi-tiered treat advisory system, public notification guide and forms, news release guide, and water efficiency practices.

State Dam Program
The 19 Class 'NM' dams and 9 Class 'L' dams in Londonderry are maintained in compliance with the State Dam Program. Town staff inspects the Town owned dams are inspected on a regular basis. Inspections look for seepage, erosion, animal burrows, spalling, cracking, vegetation growth, and security issues. Preventive maintenance is conducted as needed.

New Hampshire Shoreland Protection Act
The Shoreland Protection Act, adopted during 1994 and last updated in 2008, establishes minimum standards for the future subdivision, use, and development of all shore lands within 250 feet of the ordinary high water mark. When repairs, improvements, or expansions are proposed to existing development, the law requires these alterations to be consistent with the intent of the Act. The N.H. Department of Environmental Services is responsible for enforcing the standards within the protected shoreland, unless a community adopts an ordinance or shoreland provisions that are equal to or more stringent than the Act.

Best Management Practices
The State has established Best Management Practices (BMPs) for erosion and sediment control. These BMPs are methods, measures, or practices to prevent or reduce water pollution including, but not limited to, structural and nonstructural controls, operation and maintenance procedures, and other requirements and scheduling and distribution of activities. Usually, BMPs are applied as a system
of practices rather than a single practice. BMPs are selected because of site-specific conditions that reflect natural background conditions.

Existing Protection Matrix
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee has developed a summary matrix of existing strategies that support hazard mitigation efforts, which is presented on the following pages. This matrix, a summary of the preceding information, includes the existing protection program (column 1), a description of the existing protection (column 2), the area of town affected (column 3), the enforcing department or agency (column 4), and the identified improvements or changes needed and funding sources (column 5).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Protection Program</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effective Area</th>
<th>Implementing Department or Agency</th>
<th>Improvements or Changes Needed (Funding Sources)</th>
<th>2010 Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Operations Plan</td>
<td>Describes Town department and personnel duties and equipment available during an emergency; evacuation and notification; and Terrorism Assessment. Last updated 2002</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Emergency Management Director</td>
<td>Currently under review Update early 2005 (Emergency Management Performance Grant from the State of NH, Division of Fire Safety and Emergency Management)</td>
<td>Currently being updated in 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain Development Ordinance (Zoning Ordinance)</td>
<td>Guides development in the floodplain to prevent increased risk to existing buildings in the SFHAs</td>
<td>Special flood hazard areas as mapped on FIRMs</td>
<td>• Planning Board • Community Development</td>
<td>Adopt new Digital FIRMs (FEMA Map Modernization Program)</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation Certificates</td>
<td>Records building first floor elevations for new construction /substantial improvements in SFHA</td>
<td>Special flood hazard areas as mapped on FIRMs</td>
<td>• Community Development</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Protection Program</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Effective Area</td>
<td>Implementing Department or Agency</td>
<td>Improvements or Changes Needed (Funding Sources)</td>
<td>2010 Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Conservation Overlay District (Zoning Ordinance)               | Protects wetlands and includes buffers between wetlands or streams and buildings, structures or parking lots                                 | All wetlands and streams as identified in the Zoning Ordinances                   | • Planning Board  
• Conservation Commission  
• Community Development                                      | No changes needed at this time.                                                      | No changes needed at this time.                      |
| Airport Zoning Regulation and Noise Overlay Zoning (Zoning Ordinance) | Overlay districts that minimize navigational disturbances, set height limitations to prevent airspace obstructions, and mitigate adverse impacts of noise on surrounding development | For radio/electrical disturbances the area within 100,000 feet of the control tower. For noise the N-1, N-2, and N-3 zones | • Community Development  
• Airport Authority  
• FAA                                          | Adopt new noise overlay zoning codes as produced by the Airport Authority (Airport Authority, Operating Budget) | No changes needed at this time.                      |
| Manufactured Housing (Zoning Ordinance)                        | Sets minimum standards for densities, utilities, construction, and safety standards                                                       | All parks or individual lots in the Apartment Residential Zone                    | • Community Development  
• Planning Board                                        | No changes needed at this time.                                                      | No changes needed at this time.                      |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Protection Program</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effective Area</th>
<th>Implementing Department or Agency</th>
<th>Improvements or Changes Needed (Funding Sources)</th>
<th>2010 Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Steep Slopes (Zoning Ordinance)                    | Steep slopes of 25 percent or greater are excluded from the calculation of usable land in the multi-family residential and elderly housing districts; other residential districts use soil based lot sizing incorporating slope into the calculation; slopes of 33 percent or more are regulated in the Performance Overlay Districts | Multi-Family Residential, Elderly Housing, all other residential districts, and Performance Overlay Districts | • Planning Board  
• Community Development                                   | No changes needed at this time.                                                                 | No changes needed at this time. |
<p>| Londonderry Building Codes                         | Regulates construction of buildings and fire protection; sets a minimum standard of protection to building occupants                                                                                         | Town-wide                                                                                                                                                  | • Community Development                                                                                   | No changes needed at this time.                                                                 | No changes needed at this time. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Protection Program</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Effective Area</th>
<th>Implementing Department or Agency</th>
<th>Improvements or Changes Needed (Funding Sources)</th>
<th>2010 Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excavation Regulations</td>
<td>Minimize safety hazards created by open excavations</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Planning Board   • Community Development</td>
<td>Consolidated the Zoning Ordinance requirements with the separate Excavation Regulations (Operating Budget)</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Regulations</td>
<td>Mandate all run-off from new development not exceed pre-development rates</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Planning Board   • Department of Public Works</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion, Drainage and Flood Control (Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations)</td>
<td>Sets standards for erosion and flood controls consistent with the State Best Management Practices and the Town's Floodplain Development Ordinance</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Planning Board   • Department of Public Works</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Design Standards (Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations)</td>
<td>Standards for design and engineering to ensure visibility and safety</td>
<td>All new improvements</td>
<td>• Planning Board   • Department of Public Works</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Protection Program</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Effective Area</td>
<td>Implementing Department or Agency</td>
<td>Improvements or Changes Needed (Funding Sources)</td>
<td>2010 Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Emergency Regulations</td>
<td>Provisions regulating parking during winter months to expedite traffic flow and ease of snow removal</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Department of Public Works</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Londonderry Fire Codes</td>
<td>Adopts the International Fire Code, NFPA, and International Building Code; protection for building occupants from fire hazards including, design suppressant and alarm systems.</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Fire Department</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Materials Regulations</td>
<td>State hazardous materials regulations are enforced; Londonderry participates in the Southeastern NH HazMat Mutual Aid District</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Fire Department</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>Continue to work on regional emergency planning committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Radio System</td>
<td>Mobile radio and dispatch system for fire, police and public works personnel</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Fire Department, Police Department, Public Works</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Protection Program</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Effective Area</td>
<td>Implementing Department or Agency</td>
<td>Improvements or Changes Needed (Funding Sources)</td>
<td>2010 Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Police to preserve public peace, prevent riots and disorder, prevent destruction of property during fires, and investigate criminal acts</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Police Department</td>
<td>Update operating policy (Operating Budget)</td>
<td>All operating policies up to date at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Emergency Management Planning for Schools</td>
<td>Education for school teachers, administrators and children for emergency situations</td>
<td>All Schools</td>
<td>• School Department • Fire Department • Police Department</td>
<td>Update plan after a comprehensive review by Federal and State agencies; add new information on emergency prevention (NHBEM)</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester Water Works Emergency Operations Manual</td>
<td>Emergency response plans for each MWW division based on hazard types</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Manchester Water Works</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennichuck Water Works' Londonderry Water Supply System Emergency Response Plan</td>
<td>Emergency response plans for PWW service to Londonderry including chain of command and response actions</td>
<td>Town-wide</td>
<td>• Pennichuck Water Works</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
<td>No changes needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Protection Program</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Effective Area</td>
<td>Implementing Department or Agency</td>
<td>Improvements or Changes Needed (Funding Sources)</td>
<td>2010 Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| NH State Dam Program         | Maintenance of dams in coordination with the State Dam Program.             | One Town owned and 19 privately owned dams and adjacent land area | • NHDES  
• Public Works                                      | No changes needed at this time.                       | No changes needed at this time.                    |
| NH Shoreland Protection Act  | Standards for all protected shorelands within 250 feet of the ordinary high water mark of state public waters | All property within 250 feet of public waters            | • NHDES  
• Planning Board  
• Community Development | No changes needed at this time.                       | No changes needed at this time.                     |
| Best Management Practices (BMPs) | State guidelines for sediment and erosion control; protection of natural environment; and prevention of potential damage due to poor construction methods | Town-wide                                                | • State of NH  
• Public Works  
• Planning Board  
• Community Development | No changes needed at this time.                       | No changes needed at this time.                     |
Summary of Recommended Improvements to Existing Programs

Improvements to existing programs were reviewed, and keyed below, for their ability to reduce hazard impacts to both existing (E) and future (F) buildings and infrastructure, as well as the Town’s ability to respond (R) to disasters. The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee recommends the following improvements to existing mitigation programs:

- Continue to work on regional emergency planning committee for Hazardous Materials regulations

---

9 More specific details on each recommended improvement can be found in Section V “Prioritized Implementation Schedule and Funding Sources.”
Summary of New Strategies

The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee brainstormed actions of benefit to the Town and its residents, with the potential to reduce future damages. Projects were reviewed, and keyed, for their ability to reduce hazard impacts to both existing (E) and future (F) buildings and infrastructure; as well as improve the Town’s ability to respond (R) to disasters. The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee identified the following 13 new or ongoing mitigation strategies:

- Update the Schools Emergency Plan (E,F,R)
- Continue to increase public outreach (F,R)
- Develop a Local Sheltering Plan (E,F,R)
- Develop Aquifer and Groundwater Protection Strategies by updating the Water Resource and Management Protection Plan (E,F,R)
- Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts (E,F,R)
- Work to mitigate repetitive flood problems on Brookview Drive (E,F,R)
- Post high water level warnings along Kendall Pond (F,R)
- Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles (E,F,R)
- Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing/mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages (E,F,R)
- Prioritize and upgrade Class VI roads (F,R)

Summary of Critical Evaluation

Committee members reviewed each of the 13 newly identified mitigation actions (Section IV) using the following 14 STAPLEE derived criteria. Scores were assigned to each criterion based on (1) for Poor, (2) for Average, and (3) for Good. Total average scores range from a minimum of 1.67 to a maximum of 2.74. Each Committee member individually scored all projects and then all scores were averaged to obtain the results presented in this plan. The 14 criteria were:

- Social - Is the project socially acceptable?
- Social - Any effect on segment of population?

More specific details on each new hazard mitigation strategy can be found in Section V "Prioritized Implementation Schedule and Funding Sources."

Explanation of STAPLEE is provided in Appendix F along with the individual scoring for each project.
• *Technical* - Is the project technically feasible/potentially successful?
• *Technical* - Is it a long-term solution?
• *Administrative* - Are there staffing and maintenance provisions?
• *Administrative* - Is there funding allocated for this project?
• *Political* - Does the project have support of the governing body?
• *Political* - Does it help achieve other community objectives?
• *Legal* - Does the project conform to State and local laws?
• *Legal* - Is there a chance the project will be legally challenged?
• *Economic* - Is it economically beneficial- benefits outweigh the costs?
• *Economic* - Does the project reduce future disaster damages?
• *Environmental* - What are the impacts on land, water, animals and plants?
• *Environmental* - Does the project conform to State and local regulations?

### Preliminary Prioritization
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee assigned the following scores to each of the 13 actions for their effectiveness related to the critical evaluation factors listed above. The following lists the strategies by the type of protection offered, in order of highest to lowest priority score:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Hazard(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Preventative</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>Update the Schools Emergency Plan</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>Continue to increase public outreach</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>Develop a Local Sheltering Plan</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>Develop Aquifer and Groundwater Protection Strategies by updating the Water Resource and Management Protection Plan</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>Work to mitigate repetitive flood problems on Brookview Drive</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>Post high water level warnings along Kendall Pond</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing/mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>Prioritize and upgrade Class VI roads</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|       | **Property Protection**                                                                    |           |
| 2.61  | Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts                                                 | Flooding  |
| 2.61  | Work to mitigate repetitive flood problems on Brookview Drive                              | Flooding  |
| 2.57  | Post high water level warnings along Kendall Pond                                           | Flooding  |
Structural Projects
2.61 Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts
2.30 Prioritize and upgrade Class VI roads

Emergency Services
2.61 Work to mitigate repetitive flood problems on Brookview Drive
2.57 Post high water level warnings along Kendall Pond
2.30 Prioritize and upgrade Class VI roads

Public Information
2.74 Continue to increase public outreach
2.61 Work to mitigate repetitive flood problems on Brookview Drive
2.57 Post high water level warnings along Kendall Pond
2.43 Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles
2.39 Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing/mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages

Environmental Protection
2.66 Develop Aquifer and Groundwater Protection Strategies by updating the Water Resource and Management Protection Plan
2.61 Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts
2.61 Work to mitigate repetitive flood problems on Brookview Drive
**SECTION VI
PRIORITIZED IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE AND FUNDING SOURCES**

Implementation Strategy for Priority Mitigation Actions
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee created the following prioritized implementation schedule for the 13 newly identified strategies and six improvements. Some modifications were made to the original list of 26 projects, including the combination of several individual tasks into one larger scope project. The result is a refined prioritized implementation schedule of 25 projects. All agency and grant source acronyms are listed at the end of this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Statement of Benefits and Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Update the schools' emergency plan, created through Comprehensive Emergency Management Planning for Schools (CEMPS), in cooperation with State and Federal agencies and add new provisions for emergency prevention. The plan also serves to identify weaknesses and security vulnerabilities and to develop appropriate responses.</td>
<td>Londonderry School District, NHBEM, Fire Department, Police Department. NH Homeland Security and Emergency Management provides emergency management training through CEMPS at no cost to schools and municipalities. A completed plan may help to save lives in the event of an emergency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Continue to increase public outreach during disasters and emergencies by promoting the newly implemented nixle system, as well as developing other strategies of communication to the public during disasters and emergencies including links to disaster preparedness resources on the Town’s Website.</td>
<td>Town-wide effort including: Fire Dept, Police Dept, Community Development Dept, and Cable Access. All of the individual tasks in this larger project are inexpensive ways to let people know about hazard mitigation and emergency management in Londonderry, as well as, help them prepare for an emergency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Develop a Local Sheltering Plan</td>
<td>Emergency Management, Fire and ALERT, in coordination with the Red Cross. Work with ALERT and the Red Cross to develop sheltering policies and to determine needs for local shelters in response to emergency and disaster situations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Statement of Benefits and Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Update the schools' emergency plan, created through Comprehensive Emergency Management Planning for Schools (CEMPS), in cooperation with State and Federal agencies and add new provisions for emergency prevention. The plan also serves to identify weaknesses and security vulnerabilities and to develop appropriate responses.</td>
<td>Londonderry School District, NHBEM, Fire Department, Police Department. NH Homeland Security and Emergency Management provides emergency management training through CEMPS at no cost to schools and municipalities. A completed plan may help to save lives in the event of an emergency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Continue to increase public outreach during disasters and emergencies by promoting the newly implemented nixle system, as well as developing other strategies of communication to the public during disasters and emergencies including links to disaster preparedness resources on the Town’s Website.</td>
<td>Town-wide effort including: Fire Dept, Police Dept, Community Development Dept, and Cable Access. All of the individual tasks in this larger project are inexpensive ways to let people know about hazard mitigation and emergency management in Londonderry, as well as, help them prepare for an emergency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Develop a Local Sheltering Plan</td>
<td>Emergency Management, Fire and ALERT, in coordination with the Red Cross. Work with ALERT and the Red Cross to develop sheltering policies and to determine needs for local shelters in response to emergency and disaster situations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop Aquifer and Groundwater Protection Strategies by updating the Water Resource and Management Protection Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Community Development Dept, Planning Board 1-3 years Town Operating Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Water Resource and Management Protection Plan is in need of an update and aquifer and groundwater protection strategies should be developed as part of this plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts as funding becomes available (see list on pg. 18, Past and Potential Hazards Section)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dept of Public Works Ongoing Town Operating Budget, Bonds, EMPG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop a plan with prioritization of upgrading inadequate culverts in order to mitigate flooding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work with the Army Corps. Of Engineers on study of Brookview Drive area and recommendations for mitigating flood losses and damages, as well as pursue grants to purchase and/or elevate repetitive loss properties on this road. Also work to provide educational info to residents about flood proofing and ways to minimize potential losses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Town Council, Community Development Dept, Town Manager 1-3 years Town Operating Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education and mitigation techniques are needed on this road to mitigate repetitive flooding problems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post warnings along Kendall Pond alerting visitors of the dangers associated with high water levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Department of Public Works 5 years Operating Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posting signs is an inexpensive way to alert citizens to the dangers associated with high water levels at the pond and nearby dam, potentially reducing injuries, property damage, or loss of life.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fire Department, Police Department Up to 5 years Town Operating Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An inexpensive way to inform residents in isolated areas of the risks associated with their location and the potential for delayed emergency services, and how they can prepare for emergencies as well as protect themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prioritize and upgrade Class VI roads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dept of Public Works 20 years Private funding from Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop a plan that prioritizes upgrading of Class VI roads in order to mitigate access issues for emergency services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Building Department, Planning Department, Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing and mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Adopt new FAA/Airport Authority noise overlay zoning codes.</td>
<td>Community Development Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Continue to work to extend the public water system</td>
<td>Town Council, Planning Board, Dept of Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Encourage mobile truck safety inspections near highway exits to stop vehicles intentionally circumventing known fixed safety inspection sites to avoid being cited for violations. Also encourage increasing the frequency of truck safety inspections and strengthen enforcement.</td>
<td>Police Department, Fire Department, NH DOT, State Police</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Statement of Benefits and Costs |

Additional funding sources will be researched by the Town of Londonderry as required to successfully implement the mitigation actions. Grants will be particularly researched on a project-by-project basis to search out the best grant match.
Summary of Agency Acronyms
NHHSEM = New Hampshire Homeland Security and Emergency Management
NHDOT = New Hampshire Department of Transportation
FAA = Federal Aviation Administration

Summary of Grant Acronyms
EMPG = Emergency Management Preparedness Grant
HMAP/CERCLA = Hazardous Materials Assistance Program (CERCLA Implementation)
HMGP = Hazard Mitigation Grant Program
SER&CMP = School Emergency Response and Crisis Management Plan
       Discretionary Grant Program

Additional grant related information is in Appendix D.
**Cost of Implementation**

The following table compares rough estimated costs of implementing each of the previously prioritized mitigation actions. The actual final project budgets may exceed or be lower than the estimated range. Nonetheless, these figures are assumed to represent a generic project of its type. These estimates are to serve as a comparative tool for project selection and planning purposes. Costs were derived from personal knowledge of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee, past project costs in the Southern New Hampshire region, and Internet searches for project costs from either Town requests for proposals or manufacturers’ specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Cost Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Update schools’ emergency plan</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Multiple educational outreach campaign projects</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Develop Local Sheltering Plan</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Upgrade Inadequate Culverts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Brookview Drive Mitigation and Education</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Warning signs at Kendall Pond</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Educational materials for residents of isolated areas</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Prioritize and upgrade Class VI Roads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Educational materials for residents of flood prone areas</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Adopt new FAA/Airport Authority noise overlay zoning codes</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Cost Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Extend public water system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Mobile truck safety inspection sites</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION VII
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES REGARDING ADOPTION, EVALUATION AND MONITORING OF THE PLAN

"Incorporating hazard mitigation considerations into the thought processes and decision making that comprise local planning reinforces community sustainability and strengthens community planning programs. It ensures that the community survives natural disasters so that it can grow and develop as it was envisioned."

– Michael J. Armstrong, Associate Director for Mitigation, FEMA

Adoption
Upon notification that FEMA has conditionally approved this Plan, a public hearing will be held and the Londonderry Town Council will formally adopt the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan as an official statement of Town policy. In the future, this Plan may constitute a new section of the Londonderry Master Plan, in accordance with RSA 674:2. The public hearing shall be properly posted and advertised by the Town in accordance with New Hampshire state law. Documentation that the Londonderry Town Council has formally adopted the Plan will be included in the Appendix H.

Adoption of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan demonstrates the Town’s commitment to hazard mitigation. It also qualifies the municipality for federal, state, and local funding and prepares the public for what the community can be expected to do both before and after a natural hazard disaster occurs.

Following adoption, the Hazard Mitigation Committee and the Town Council shall seek to incorporate the mitigation actions identified in the Prioritized Implementation Schedule of Section VI of the Plan into other planning mechanisms, including the Town’s Master Plan and Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

Monitoring, Evaluating and Updates
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan shall be monitored and evaluated annually to track progress in implementing the mitigation strategies and actions as well as updating the goals and objectives of the Plan. The Londonderry Community Development director in coordination with the Emergency Management Director shall be responsible for initiating this review and scheduling an annual meeting of the Hazard Mitigation Committee. In addition to reviewing Hazard Mitigation Committee members’ progress on projects, the
strategy for the following year will be reviewed and new projects will be selected for implementation at the annual meeting.

The Londonderry Community Development director will conduct updates in coordination with the Emergency Management Director and Londonderry Town Council. Updates should be made to the Plan every three to five years\textsuperscript{12} to accommodate for actions that have failed or are not considered feasible after a review for their consistency with STAPLEE, the timeframe, the community’s priorities, and funding resources. Priorities that were not ranked high, but identified as potential mitigation strategies, should be reviewed as well during the monitoring and update of this Plan to determine feasibility of future implementation. Also, at that time any other items identified during the annual meetings will be updated in the Plan, including, but not limited to, goals, objectives, identification of past hazard events, and updating the inventory of Town assets vulnerable to hazards.

Keeping with the process of adopting the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan, a public hearing to receive comment on the Plan maintenance and updating shall be held during the review period, and the Town Council will adopt the final product.

**Continued Public Involvement**

The public will continue to be invited and encouraged to be involved during this process at monitoring, evaluation and update meetings. All meetings involving implementation or updates of the Plan shall be open to the public as is required by RSA 91-A and notice of the meeting will be posted at least 24 hours in advance in a minimum of two locations such as the Town Offices and library as well as electronically on the town website. The meetings may also be publicized on the local access television station, town website or local newspaper. To gain additional public involvement, draft copies of the amended Hazard Mitigation Plan will be made available at two public locations for review and comment. The document should be left for a minimum of two weeks and then all comments will be considered in drafting final revisions.

\textsuperscript{12} FEMA Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 44 CFR Part 201.6(d)(3) mandates "Plans must be reviewed, revised if appropriate, and resubmitted for approval within five years to continue to be eligible for HMGP project grant funding." (Federal Register Vol. 36, No. 38, Feb 26, 2002, Rules and Regulations, p8852)
APPENDIX A

DEFINITIONS

Areas at Risk: Emergency equipment or areas not needed to respond at the time of a natural disaster, but which could still be threatened if a natural disaster were to occur. These include critical facilities not utilized for emergency response, people and facilities to be protected in the event of a disaster, and/or potential resources for services or supplies in the event of a disaster. Examples include schools, parks, commercial resources, day care facilities, and senior housing.

Critical Facilities: Any building, structure, or location that is vital to the hazard response effort, maintains an existing level of protection from hazards for the Town, and would create a secondary disaster if a hazard were to impact it. Examples include emergency medical services, law enforcement, electric generators, and emergency shelters.

Commercial Economic Impact Areas: These areas include organizations and businesses with more than 20 employees. These are facilities that are vital to the community’s economic well-being.

Emergency Management Plan: A jurisdiction’s emergency management plan is typically designed to establish the procedures that will take place during an emergency and designate who will be responsible to perform those procedures.

Essential Facilities: All critical facilities, areas at risk, commercial economic impact areas, and hazardous material locations.

GIS: Geographic Information Systems includes a form of mapping that enables users to easily locate physical attributes of a community such as dams, bridges, wetlands, steep slopes, etc. Much of the data for these maps is maintained by Complex Systems Research Center in Durham, N.H.

Hazard Mitigation: The practice of reducing risks to people and property from natural hazards. FEMA defines hazard mitigation as "any action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to human life and property from hazards."

Hazardous Materials Facilities: These facilities include active hazardous waste generators, underground storage tanks, and above-ground storage tanks.

Hazardous Waste Generators: Defined by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, these are businesses that produce household hazardous waste, or treat and store or dispose of hazardous waste, or be a waste handler or used oil marketer.
APPENDIX B

NEW HAMPSHIRE DAM CLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE

**Non Menace (NM)** structure means a dam that is not a menace because it is in a location and of a size that failure or misoperation of the dam would not result in probable loss of life or loss to property, provided the dam is:

- Less than six feet in height if it has a storage capacity greater than 50 acre-feet; or
- Less than 25 feet in height if it has a storage capacity of 15 to 50 acre-feet.

**Low Hazard (L)** structure means a dam that has a low hazard potential because it is in a location and of a size that failure or misoperation of the dam would result in any of the following:

- No possible loss of life.
- Low economic loss to structures or property.
- Structural damage to a town or city road or private road accessing property other than the dam owner’s that could render the road impassable or otherwise interrupt public safety services.
- The release of liquid industrial, agricultural, or commercial wastes, septage, or contaminated sediment if the storage capacity is less than two-acre-feet and is located more than 250 feet from a water body or water course.
- Reversible environmental losses to environmentally-sensitive sites.

**Significant Hazard (S)** structure means a dam that has a significant hazard potential because it is in a location and of a size that failure or misoperation of the dam would result in any of the following:

- No probable loss of lives.
- Major economic loss to structures or property.
- Structural damage to a Class I or Class II road that could render the road impassable or otherwise interrupt public safety services.
- Major environmental or public health losses, including one or more of the following:
  - Damage to a public water system, as defined by RSA 485:1-a, XV, which will take longer than 48 hours to repair.
  - The release of liquid industrial, agricultural, or commercial wastes, septage, sewage, or contaminated sediments if the storage capacity is 2 acre-feet or more.
  - Damage to an environmentally-sensitive site that does not meet the definition of reversible environmental losses.
High Hazard (H) means a dam that has a high hazard potential because it is in a location and of a size that failure or misoperation of the dam would result in probable loss of human life as a result of:

- Water levels and velocities causing the structural failure of a foundation of a habitable residential structure or commercial or industrial structure, which is occupied under normal conditions.
- Water levels rising above the first floor elevation of a habitable residential structure or a commercial or industrial structure, which is occupied under normal conditions when the rise due to dam failure is greater than one foot.
- Structural damage to an interstate highway, which could render the roadway impassable or otherwise interrupt public safety services.
- The release of a quantity and concentration of material, which qualify as “hazardous waste” as defined by RSA 471-A:2 VI.
- Any other circumstance that would more likely than not cause one or more deaths.
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II. **AGENCIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire Bureau of Emergency Management</td>
<td>271-2231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
<td>617-223-4175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH Regional Planning Commissions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central NH Regional Planning Commission</td>
<td>796-2129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakes Region Planning Commission</td>
<td>279-8171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashua Regional Planning Commission</td>
<td>883-0366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Country Council</td>
<td>444-6303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockingham Planning Commission</td>
<td>778-0885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission</td>
<td>669-4664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Region Planning Commission</td>
<td>357-0557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strafford Regional Planning Commission</td>
<td>742-2523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission</td>
<td>448-1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH Executive Department:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor’s Office of Energy and Community Services</td>
<td>271-2611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire Office of State Planning</td>
<td>271-2155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH Department of Cultural Affairs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Historical Resources</td>
<td>271-3483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH Department of Environmental Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Resources</td>
<td>271-1370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Management</td>
<td>271-2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources</td>
<td>271-3406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply and Pollution Control</td>
<td>271-3504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers Management and Protection Program</td>
<td>271-1152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Dams</td>
<td>271-3503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH Fish and Game Department</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH Department of Resources and Economic Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Heritage Inventory</td>
<td>271-3623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Forests and Lands</td>
<td>271-2214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>271-3255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH Department of Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>US Department of Commerce</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Weather Service; Gray, Maine</td>
<td>207-688-3216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>US Department of the Interior</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>225-1411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Geological Survey</td>
<td>225-4681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>US Department of Agriculture</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Conservation Service</td>
<td>868-7581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## III. Websites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Internet Address</th>
<th>Summary of Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Hazards Research Center, U. of Colorado</td>
<td><a href="http://www.colorado.edu/litbase/hazards/">http://www.colorado.edu/litbase/hazards/</a></td>
<td>Searchable database of references and links to many disaster-related web sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Hurricane Tracking Data by Year</td>
<td><a href="http://wxp.eas.purdue.edu/hurricane">http://wxp.eas.purdue.edu/hurricane</a></td>
<td>Hurricane track maps for each year, 1886 – 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Emergency Management Association</td>
<td><a href="http://nemaweb.org">http://nemaweb.org</a></td>
<td>Association of state emergency management directors; list of mitigation projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. State &amp; Local Gateway</td>
<td><a href="http://www.statelocal.gov/">http://www.statelocal.gov/</a></td>
<td>General information through the federal-state partnership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS Real Time Hydrologic Data</td>
<td><a href="http://h20.usgs.gov/public/realtime.html">http://h20.usgs.gov/public/realtime.html</a></td>
<td>Provisional hydrological data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA, National Flood Insurance Program, Community Status Book</td>
<td><a href="http://www.fema.gov/fema/csb.htm">http://www.fema.gov/fema/csb.htm</a></td>
<td>Searchable site for access of Community Status Books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida State University Atlantic Hurricane Site</td>
<td><a href="http://www.met.fsu.edu/explores/tropical.html">http://www.met.fsu.edu/explores/tropical.html</a></td>
<td>Tracking and NWS warnings for Atlantic Hurricanes and other links</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA Optical Transient Detector</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/otd.html">http://www.ghcc.msfc.nasa.gov/otd.html</a></td>
<td>Space-based sensor of lightning strikes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Tornado Project Online</td>
<td><a href="http://www.tornadoproject.com/">http://www.tornadoproject.com/</a></td>
<td>Information on tornados, including details of recent impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Severe Storms Laboratory</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nssl.uoknor.edu">http://www.nssl.uoknor.edu</a></td>
<td>Information about and tracking of severe storms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA Forest Service Web</td>
<td><a href="http://www.fs.fed.us/lan">http://www.fs.fed.us/lan</a></td>
<td>Information on forest fires and land management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This matrix provides information about key all-hazards grant programs from the Departments of Homeland Security, Justice, Transportation, Health and Human Services, and Education under which state, local, and tribal governments, first responders, and the public are eligible to receive preparedness, response, recovery, mitigation, and prevention assistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Office/ Directorate</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Funding Beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department of Homeland Security</strong></td>
<td><strong>Border and Transportation Security Directorate</strong></td>
<td>State Homeland Security Grant Program <a href="http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov">www.ojp.usdoj.gov</a></td>
<td>This core assistance program provides funds to build capabilities at the state and local levels and to implement the goals and objectives included in state homeland security strategies and initiatives in the State Preparedness Report.</td>
<td>State governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</strong></td>
<td>Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program <a href="http://www.usfa.fema.gov/grants">www.usfa.fema.gov/grants</a> <a href="http://www.firegrantsupport.com/afg/">http://www.firegrantsupport.com/afg/</a></td>
<td>The primary goal of the Assistance to Firefighters Grants (AFG) is to meet the firefighting and emergency response needs of fire departments and nonaffiliated emergency medical services organizations.</td>
<td>Local, State, and Regional Fire Departments and agencies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</strong></td>
<td>State and Local Emergency Operation Centers (EOCs) <a href="http://www.fema.gov">www.fema.gov</a> <a href="http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/index.shtm">http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/index.shtm</a></td>
<td>To improve emergency management and preparedness capabilities by supporting flexible, sustainable, secure, and interoperable Emergency Operations Centers (EOCs) with a focus on addressing identified deficiencies and needs.</td>
<td>States; local governments may be subgrantees of the State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</strong></td>
<td>Citizen Corps <a href="http://www.citizencorps.gov">www.citizencorps.gov</a></td>
<td>To bring community and government leaders together to coordinate community involvement in emergency preparedness, planning, mitigation, response and recovery.</td>
<td>States with a pass through to local governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agency</strong></td>
<td><strong>Office/ Directorate</strong></td>
<td><strong>Program</strong></td>
<td><strong>Purpose</strong></td>
<td><strong>Funding Beneficiaries</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</strong></td>
<td>Emergency Management Institute Training Assistance <a href="http://www.fema.gov">www.fema.gov</a></td>
<td>To defray travel and per diem expenses of State, local and tribal emergency management personnel who attend training courses conducted by the Emergency Management Institute, at the Emmitsburg, Maryland facility; Bluemont, Virginia facility; and selected off-site locations. Its purpose is to improve emergency management practices among State, local and tribal government managers, in response to emergencies and disasters. Programs embody the Comprehensive Emergency Management System by unifying the elements of management common to all emergencies: planning, preparedness, mitigation, response, and recovery.</td>
<td>State, local, and tribal emergency managers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</strong></td>
<td>Hazardous Materials Assistance Program (CERCLA Implementation)</td>
<td>Provide technical and financial assistance through the States to support State, local and tribal governments in oil and hazardous materials emergency planning and exercising. To support the Comprehensive Hazardous Materials (HAZMAT) Emergency Response – Capability Assessment Program (CHER-CAP) activities.</td>
<td>State, local, and tribal governments, state emergency response committees, local emergency planning commissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</strong></td>
<td>Interoperable Communications Equipment Grant <a href="http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/index.shtml">http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/index.shtml</a></td>
<td>To provide governance, planning, training and exercise, and equipment funding to States, Territories, and local and tribal governments to carry out initiatives to improve interoperable emergency communications, including communications in collective response to natural disasters, acts of terrorism, and other man-made disasters.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Office/ Directorate</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Funding Beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Homeland Security</td>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Chemical Stockpile Emergency Preparedness Program  <a href="http://www.fema.gov">www.fema.gov</a></td>
<td>A cooperative agreement to enhance emergency preparedness capabilities of the States and local communities at each of the eight chemical agent stockpile storage facilities. The purpose of the program is to assist States and local communities in efforts to improve their capacity to plan for and respond to accidents associated with the storage of chemical warfare materials.</td>
<td>State and local governments and the general public in the vicinity of the eight chemical agent stockpile storage facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Preparedness Directorate</td>
<td>Metropolitan Medical Response System  <a href="http://www.fema.gov/mmrs">http://www.fema.gov/mmrs</a></td>
<td>To provide contractual funding to the 124 largest metropolitan jurisdictions to sustain and enhance the integrated medical response plans to a WMD terrorist attack.</td>
<td>Local governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Justice</td>
<td>Office of Domestic Preparedness</td>
<td>State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support Program  <a href="http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/odp/equipment.htm">http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/odp/equipment.htm</a></td>
<td>Funding will be provided to enhance first responder capabilities, and to provide for equipment purchases and exercise planning activities for response to Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) domestic terrorist incidents.</td>
<td>State and local governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Community Oriented Police Services (COPS)</td>
<td>COPS Interoperable Communications Technology Program  <a href="http://www.cops.usdoj.gov">www.cops.usdoj.gov</a></td>
<td>To facilitate communications interoperability public safety responders at the state and local level.</td>
<td>Tribal, State, and local law enforcement agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund  <a href="http://www.hhs.gov">www.hhs.gov</a></td>
<td>To continue to prepare our nation's public health system and hospitals for possible mass casualty events, and to accelerate research into new treatments and diagnostic tools to cope with possible bioterrorism incidents.</td>
<td>Individuals, families, Federal, State, and local government agencies and emergency health care providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Office/ Directorate</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Funding Beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td>Health Resources and Services Administration</td>
<td>EMS for Children <a href="http://www.hrsa.gov">www.hrsa.gov</a></td>
<td>To support demonstration projects for the expansion and improvement of emergency medical services for children who need treatment for trauma or critical care. It is expected that maximum distribution of projects among the States will be made and that priority will be given to projects targeted toward populations with special needs, including Native Americans, minorities, and the disabled.</td>
<td>State governments and schools of medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of Health</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superfund Hazardous Substances Basic Research and Education <a href="http://www.nih.gov">www.nih.gov</a></td>
<td>To establish and support an innovative program of basic research and training consisting of multi-project, interdisciplinary efforts that may include each of the following: (1) Methods and technologies to detect hazardous substances in the environment; (2) advance techniques for the detection, assessment, and evaluation of the effects of hazardous substances on humans; (3) methods to assess the risks to human health presented by hazardous substances; and (4) and basic biological, chemical, and physical methods to reduce the amount and toxicity of hazardous substances.</td>
<td>Any public or private entity involved in the detection, assessment, evaluation, and treatment of hazardous substances; and State and local governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers for Disease Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>Immunization Research, Demonstration, Public Information and Education <a href="http://www.cdc.gov">www.cdc.gov</a></td>
<td>To assist States, political subdivisions of States, and other public and private nonprofit entities to conduct research, demonstrations, projects, and provide public information on vaccine-preventable diseases and conditions.</td>
<td>States and nonprofits organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers for Disease Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>Surveillance of Hazardous Substance Emergency Events <a href="http://www.atstdr.cdc.gov">www.atstdr.cdc.gov</a></td>
<td>To assist State health departments in developing a State-based surveillance system for monitoring hazardous substance emergency events. This surveillance system will allow the State health department to better understand the public health impact of hazardous substance emergencies by developing, implementing, and evaluating a State-based surveillance system.</td>
<td>State, local, territorial, and tribal public health departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Office/ Directorate</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Funding Beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control</td>
<td>Human Health Studies, Applied Research and Development <a href="http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov">www.atsdr.cdc.gov</a></td>
<td>To solicit scientific proposals designed to answer public health questions arising from situations commonly encountered at hazardous waste sites. The objective of this research program is to fill gaps in knowledge regarding human health effects of hazardous substances identified during the conduct of ATSDR's health assessments, consultations, toxicological profiles, and health studies, including but not limited to those health conditions prioritized by ATSDR.</td>
<td>State health departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Education</td>
<td>Office of Safe and Drug free Schools (OSDFS)</td>
<td>Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools <a href="http://www.ed.gov/programs/dpemergencyresponse/index.html/">http://www.ed.gov/programs/dpemergencyresponse/index.html/</a></td>
<td>This grant program supports efforts by LEAs to improve and strengthen their school emergency management plans, including training school personnel and students in emergency management procedures; communicating with parents about emergency plans and procedures; and coordinating with local law enforcement, public safety, public health, and mental health agencies.</td>
<td>School Districts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)</td>
<td>Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Training and Planning Grants <a href="http://phmsa.dot.gov/hazmat/grants">http://phmsa.dot.gov/hazmat/grants</a></td>
<td>Increase state, local, territorial, and Native American tribal effectiveness to safely and efficiently handle HazMat accidents and incidents; enhance implementation of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986; and encourage a comprehensive approach to emergency planning and training by incorporating response to transportation standards.</td>
<td>States, local, territorial, tribal governments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Programs to coordinate Federal response efforts and to assists states, localities, and tribes in responding to disasters and emergencies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Office/ Directorate</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Funding Beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Office/Directorate</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Funding Beneficiaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department of Homeland Security</strong></td>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Individuals and Households Program</td>
<td>To provide assistance to individuals and families who have been affected by natural or man-made Presidentially declared disasters. Funding provided from the Disaster Relief Fund.</td>
<td>Individuals and Families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Public Assistance</td>
<td>To provide assistance to states, localities, tribes, and certain non-profit organizations affected by natural or man-made Presidentially declared disasters. Funding provided from the Disaster Relief Fund</td>
<td>State, local and tribal governments; private non-profit organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Fire Management Assistance Grant Program</td>
<td>Provide funds to States, local, and tribal governments for the mitigation, management, and control of wildland fires posing serious threats to improved property.</td>
<td>State, local and tribal governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small Business Administration</strong></td>
<td>Office of Disaster Assistance</td>
<td>Disaster Loan Program</td>
<td>To offer financial assistance to those who are trying to rebuild their homes and businesses in the aftermath of a disaster.</td>
<td>Individuals, families, private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department of Justice</strong></td>
<td>Office for Victims of Crime</td>
<td>Antiterrorism and Emergency Assistance Program</td>
<td>To provide assistance programs for victims of mass violence and terrorism occurring within and outside the United States and a compensation program for victims of international terrorism.</td>
<td>Public and private nonprofit victim assistance agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department of Homeland Security</strong></td>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Hazard Mitigation Grant Program</td>
<td>To provide assistance to states, localities, and tribes to fund projects that will reduce the loss of lives and property in future disasters. Funding is provided from the Disaster Relief Fund and administered by the states according to their own priorities.</td>
<td>State, local, and tribal governments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Programs to provide assistance to States, localities, tribes, and the public to alleviate suffering and hardship resulting from Presidentially declared disasters and emergencies caused by all types of hazards.

Programs to reduce or eliminate future risk to lives and property from disasters.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Office/Directorate</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Funding Beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program <a href="http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pdm/index.shtm">http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pdm/index.shtm</a></td>
<td>This program provides funding for mitigation activities before disaster strikes. In recent years it has provided assistance for mitigation planning. In FY03, Congress passes a competitive pre-disaster mitigation grant program that will include project funding.</td>
<td>State, local, and tribal governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Homeland Security</td>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMA) <a href="http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/fma/index.shtm">http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/fma/index.shtm</a></td>
<td>The FMA program was created as part of the National Flood Insurance Reform Act (NFIRA) of 1994 (42 U.S.C. 4101) with the goal of reducing or eliminating claims under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). FEMA provides FMA funds to assist States and communities implement measures that reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of flood damage to buildings, manufactured homes, and other structures insurable under the National Flood Insurance Program.</td>
<td>State, local, and tribal governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Repetitive Flood Claims Program (RFC) <a href="http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/rfc/index.shtm">http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/rfc/index.shtm</a></td>
<td>The Repetitive Flood Claims (RFC) grant program was authorized by the Bunning-Bereuter-Blumenauer Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004 (P.L. 108–264), which amended the National Flood Insurance Act (NFIA) of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4001, et al). Up to $10 million is available annually for FEMA to provide RFC funds to assist States and communities reduce flood damages to insured properties that have had one or more claims to the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).</td>
<td>State, local and tribal governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Severe Repetitive Loss Program (SRL) <a href="http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/srl/index.shtm">http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/srl/index.shtm</a></td>
<td>The Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) grant program was authorized by the Bunning-Bereuter-Blumenauer Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004, which amended the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 to provide funding to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of flood damage to severe repetitive loss (SRL) structures insured under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).</td>
<td>State, local and tribal governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Office/ Directorate</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate</td>
<td>Map Modernization <a href="http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/mm_main.shtm">http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/mm_main.shtm</a></td>
<td>This funding provides assistance to develop digital flood maps, support flood-mapping activities and expand the Cooperating Technical Partners Program to communities and regional entities.</td>
<td>State, local and tribal governments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Programs to interdict potentially hazardous events from occurring**

| Department of Health and Human Services | Centers for Disease Control | Immunization Grants www.cdc.gov | To assist States and communities in establishing and maintaining preventive health service programs to immunize individuals against vaccine-preventable diseases. | States |

**Other**

| Department of Housing and Urban Development | NH Office of Energy and Planning | Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/communitydevelopment/programs/ | HUD provides flexible grants to help cities, counties, and States recover from Presidentially declared disasters, especially in low-income areas, subject to availability of supplemental appropriations. | State, local and tribal governments |

**Mitigation Programs of Other NH State Agencies**
The following agencies of the state of New Hampshire are directly or indirectly involved in activities that include Hazard Mitigation Planning and/or program implementation:

- NH Department of Transportation Bureau of Repair and Maintenance
- NHOEP/NFIP Program
- NHOEP Coastal Program
- NHDRED Division of Forests and Lands
- NHDES Water Resources Division – Dam Safety Program
- NHDES Wetlands Program
- NHDES Shoreline Protection
APPENDIX E

STAPLEE AND PROJECT EVALUATION

STAPLEE is an acronym for a general set of criteria common to public administration officials and planners. It stands for the Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, and Environmental criteria for making planning decisions. Questions to ask about suggested actions include:

- **Social**: Is the proposed action socially acceptable to the community? Are there equity issues involved that would mean that one segment of the community is treated unfairly?

- **Technical**: Is the proposed action technically feasible and will it work? Is it a long term solution?

- **Administrative**: Can the community implement the action? Is there someone to coordinate and lead the effort? Are there funding sources already allocated or available for this project?

- **Political**: Is the action politically acceptable? Does the project help to achieve other community objectives?

- **Legal**: Is the community authorized to implement the proposed action? Is there a clear legal basis of precedent for this project or is there chance of legal challenge?

- **Economic**: What are the costs and benefits of this action? Does the cost seem reasonable for the size of the problem and the likely benefits? Does the project reduce potential future damages from disasters?

- **Environmental**: How will the action impact the environment, i.e. land, water, animals, plants? Will the action need and meet environmental regulatory approvals?
## Hazard Mitigation Actions Evaluation

List of Actions Identified during the August 17, 2010 Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting

### SCORING:
- 1: Poor
- 2: Average
- 3: Good

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Technical</th>
<th>Administrative</th>
<th>Political</th>
<th>Legal</th>
<th>Economic</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Update Schools' Emergency Plan on an annual basis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop and distribute materials for a hazard mitigation and disaster preparedness educational campaign</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish a page on the Town's website for disaster preparedness and response, hazard mitigation, and the National Flood Insurance Program</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt new FAA/Airport Authority noise overlay zoning codes.</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.667</td>
<td>2.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace the inadequate 36-inch corrugated metal pipe culvert at</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.667</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring Criteria:
- Social Acceptable (by community)
- Effect on segment of population
- Technically Feasible/Potentially Successful
- Administratively Feasible- Staffing and Maintenance
- Is there funding allocated for this project?
- Politically Acceptable- has support
- Low Potential to be legally challenged
- Does the project reduce future disaster damages?
- Economically Beneficial- Benefits outweigh Costs
- Conforms to State & local law
- Environmetal impacts on land, water, animal, plants is slight or none
- Conforms to State, Local, & Federal Regs
- Total Score
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parmenter Road near the intersection of Route 102.</th>
<th>Replace the inadequate 36-inch corrugated metal pipe culvert at South Road near Garabedian gravel pit with two 48-inch reinforced concrete pipes.</th>
<th>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles.</th>
<th>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing and mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages.</th>
<th>Replace the inadequate culvert at Auburn Road near Whispering Pines.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parmenter Road near the intersection of Route 102.</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate 36-inch corrugated metal pipe culvert at South Road near Garabedian gravel pit with two 48-inch reinforced concrete pipes.</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing and mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages.</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate culvert at Auburn Road near Whispering Pines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate 36-inch corrugated metal pipe culvert at South Road near Garabedian gravel pit with two 48-inch reinforced concrete pipes.</td>
<td>3 2.8 3 2.6 2.75 1.25 2.8 2.4 2.667 2.333 2.25 2.6 2.333 3.2.556</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing and mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages.</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate culvert at Auburn Road near Whispering Pines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>3 3 2.6 2.6 2.4 1.6 2.6 2.4 1.8 2.2 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.429</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing and mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages.</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate culvert at Auburn Road near Whispering Pines.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing and mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages.</td>
<td>3 3 2.6 2.4 2.4 1.4 2.6 2.4 1.8 2 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.386</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate culvert at Auburn Road near Whispering Pines.</td>
<td>3 2.75 2.75 2.75 1.25 2.5 2.75 2.667 2.333 2.75 2.5 2.25 3.2.571</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide educational information to residents along Brookview Drive about flood proofing and ways to minimize potential losses.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Establish mobile truck safety inspections near highway exits to stop vehicles intentionally circumventing known fixed safety inspection sites to avoid being cited for violations.</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Establish remote broadcasting locations at the high school and central fire station with the capacity to broadcast to CTV 20.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Increase the frequency of truck safety inspections on I-93 and strengthen regulation enforcement.</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate culvert on High Range Road at the intersection of Route 102.</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Post warnings along Kendall Pond alerting visitors of the dangers associated with high water levels</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Score 1</td>
<td>Score 2</td>
<td>Score 3</td>
<td>Score 4</td>
<td>Score 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Spring Road, the connection between Trolley Car Lane and Hovey Road, creating an adequate second access point to Trolley Car Lane.</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Brewster Road from Class VI to service the existing population and create a turnaround at the end for emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Watts Road from Class VI to service the existing population and create a turnaround at the end for emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Jerry Lane from Class VI to service the existing population and create a turnaround at the end for emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop local sheltering plan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop aquifer and groundwater protection strategies by updating the Water Resource and Management Protection Plan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

104
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>22</th>
<th>Work with the Army Corps of Engineers on study of Brookview Drive area and recommendations for mitigating flood losses and damages</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2.75</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>2.25</th>
<th>1.25</th>
<th>2.75</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>2.333</th>
<th>2.667</th>
<th>2.75</th>
<th>2.75</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2.607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Pursue grants to purchase the repetitive loss properties on Brookview Drive</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Continue to increase public outreach during disasters and emergencies by promoting the newly implemented nixle system, as well as developing other strategies of communication to the public during disasters and emergencies</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>2.667</td>
<td>2.667</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Continue to work to extend the public water system</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.667</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Prioritize and upgrade inadequate culverts as funding becomes available</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.667</td>
<td>2.667</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX F

LHMC MEETING AGENDAS, MINUTES AND ATTENDANCE SHEETS
Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting

Meeting Number 1
June 15, 2010
9:30 am

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Overview of the Hazard Mitigation Planning Process
   a. Purpose and benefits of Hazard Mitigation Plans
   b. Scope of work to be completed

3. Identify/Update Past and Potential Hazards
   a. Identify past hazard events in Londonderry
   b. Map past hazard events and other areas of concern

4. Identify/Update Critical Facilities
   a. Definition of Critical Facilities, Areas at Risk, Commercial Economic Impact Areas and Hazardous Waste Sites
   b. Review Critical Facilities in current plan and identify those that are not listed or those that have changed

5. Review Repetitive Loss Properties
   a. Review Flood Hazard Areas for any change in the past 5 years
   b. Review Repetitive Loss Properties by type and estimate numbers located in identified flood hazard areas.

6. Agree on Next Committee Meeting Date, Time, Location

7. Questions?

8. Adjournment
Minutes of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting held on
June 15, 2010 in the Londonderry Town Offices,
268 B Mammoth Rd, Londonderry, New Hampshire

MEMBERS PRESENT
Tim Jones - Lieutenant, Londonderry Police
Sharon Carson - Public Member
John R. Trottier - Asst. Director of Public Works and Engineering
John R. Gilcreast - ABI
Tim Thompson, AICP- Town Planner, Community Development Dept.
Jodie Levandowski - Intern
Richard G. Canuel - Senior Building Inspector
Jillian Harris - Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission

OVERVIEW OF THE HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING PROCESS
Ms. Harris reviewed the purpose and benefits of the Hazard Mitigation Planning Process and updates with the committee. Ms. Harris also reviewed the scope of work to be completed, which would include 5 meetings with the committee to update the plan. These meetings will be held on a monthly basis with the goal of sending the finalized plan to FEMA for conditional approval by October.

IDENTIFY/UPDATE PAST AND POTENTIAL HAZARDS
Ms. Harris provided the committee with the past and potential hazards maps and the committee updated them by identifying hazard areas from the past 5 years and areas that might be new potential hazards in the future.

IDENTIFY/UPDATE CRITICAL FACILITIES
Ms. Harris provided the committee with the critical facilities map and the committee updated it by identifying any changes that have taken place since the previous plan.

REVIEW REPETITIVE LOSS PROPERTIES
Ms. Harris reviewed the new requirement for the addition of repetitive loss (RL) properties to be addressed in the plan. Londonderry has 5 total repetitive loss properties. The areas in which these properties are located will be designated on the map with a buffer of approximately ½ mile so as not to show their exact address.
NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The committee will meet next on July 20, 2010 at 9:30 am in the Londonderry Town Offices.

Meeting adjourned.
Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire

Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting #1

June 15, 2010
9:30 AM

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

ATTENDANCE SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position Title/Department Affiliation</th>
<th>E-mail &amp; Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tim Jones</td>
<td>Lieutenant, Londonderry Police</td>
<td>432-1118 <a href="mailto:jones@londonderry.nh.org">jones@londonderry.nh.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Carson</td>
<td>Public Member, Recreation &amp; Parks</td>
<td>434-2487 <a href="mailto:shawn.carson@state.nh.us">shawn.carson@state.nh.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John M. Truitman</td>
<td>Public Works &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>432-1100 x-146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John R. Glenn</td>
<td>ABE</td>
<td>432-1100 x-115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Thompson, AICP</td>
<td>Town Planner, Comm. Dev. Dept</td>
<td>432-1100 x-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodie Levandowski</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td>632-312-1785 <a href="mailto:jtlevandowski@gmail.com">jtlevandowski@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard G. Connell</td>
<td>Senior Building Inspector</td>
<td>432-1100x107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting

Meeting Number 2
July 20, 2010
9:30 am

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Approve the Minutes of June 15, 2010 meeting

3. Review Past and Potential Hazards Draft
   a. Insert any missing information
   b. Questions, comments, suggested revisions

4. Review Goals and Objectives
   a. Revise Goals and Objectives as needed for plan update

5. Mitigation Strategy
   a. Review Existing Mitigation Strategies, Matrix and summary
   b. Review Newly Identified Mitigation Strategies

6. Questions?

7. Set next meeting date

8. Adjournment
Minutes of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting held on
July 20, 2010 in the Londonderry Town Offices,
268 B Mammoth Rd, Londonderry, New Hampshire

MEMBERS PRESENT
Sharon Carson - Public Member
John R. Trottier - Asst. Director of Public Works and Engineering
John R. Gilcreast - ABI
Tim Thompson, AICP - Town Planner, Community Development Dept.
Jodie Levandowski - Intern
Richard G. Gannel - Senior Building Inspector
Kevin MacCaffrie - Fire Chief
James Roger - Captain, Londonderry Fire Department
Jillian Harris - Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission

MINUTES
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee (LHMC) approved the minutes of the June 15, 2010 LHMC meeting.

REVIEW GOALS AND OBJECTIVES
Ms. Harris reviewed the Goals and Objectives with the LHMC. The goals were adopted from the 1999 State of NH Hazard Mitigation Plan, which has since been updated in 2007. The Committee approved updating the goals and objectives to be consistent with the updated goals and objectives from the 2007 State of NH Hazard Mitigation Plan.

REVIEW PAST AND POTENTIAL HAZARDS DRAFT
The LHMC reviewed the Section II - Past and Potential Hazards draft which was sent via email after the last meeting. Ms. Harris reviewed the updates made to the draft which were highlighted in red. Most updates were bringing data and statistics through the present time period.

In Section C, Fires, Ms. Harris pointed out that the 2006 data was not available on page 10. Mr. Thompson will check on the data for the next meeting.
REVIEW MITIGATION STRATEGY

The LHMC reviewed Section V – Newly Identified Mitigation Strategies and Critical Evaluation. Ms. Harris will incorporate all of the updates, additions and revisions discussed at the meeting into the draft plan update and send it to the Committee to review via email before the next meeting in August.

NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The committee will meet next on August 17, 2010 at 9:30 am in the Londonderry Town Offices.

Meeting adjourned.
# Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire

## Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting #2

### July 20, 2010
**9:30 AM**

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

## ATTENDANCE SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position Title/ Department Affiliation</th>
<th>E-mail &amp; Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John R. Trotman</td>
<td>Assistant Director of Public Safety</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jtrotman@londonderry.nh.org">jtrotman@londonderry.nh.org</a> 432-3100 x146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John R. Glencross</td>
<td>Assistant State Rep</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jglencross@londonderry.nh.org">jglencross@londonderry.nh.org</a> 432-3100 x125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodie Levandowski</td>
<td>Intern</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jillevandowski@gmail.com">jillevandowski@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Carson</td>
<td>State Senator Public</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sharon.carson@leg.state.nh.us">sharon.carson@leg.state.nh.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Thompson</td>
<td>Town Planner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ttthompson@londonderry.nh.org">ttthompson@londonderry.nh.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Roger</td>
<td>LFD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jroger@londonderry.nh.org">jroger@londonderry.nh.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Canuel</td>
<td>Senior Building Inspector</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rncanuel@londonderry.nh.org">rncanuel@londonderry.nh.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Maccarini</td>
<td>LFD/EMS</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kmaccarini@londonderry.nh.org">kmaccarini@londonderry.nh.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting

Meeting Number 3
August 17, 2010
9:30 am

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Approve the Minutes of the July 20, 2010 meeting

3. Review of plan updates from Meeting 2:
   a. Section II : Hazard Identification
   b. Section III: Vulnerability Assessment
   c. Section IV: Existing Mitigation Strategies
   d. Section V: Newly Identified Mitigation Strategies (2005 updates moved to Appendices)

4. Identify Gaps in Hazard Mitigation (New Mitigation Strategies) and Set Objectives for Future Mitigation Efforts
   - What hazards is Londonderry particularly vulnerable to that could use additional mitigation efforts?
   - Were there events in the past 5 years that uncovered new or different hazards that need to be mitigated and planned for?
   - Brainstorming Alternatives - Brainstorm any new mitigation strategies that can be added

5. NFIP
   a. Identify, analyze and prioritize actions related to continued compliance with NFIP

6. Questions?

7. Schedule meeting #4
Minutes of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting held on
August 17, 2010 in the Londonderry Town Offices,
268 B Mammoth Rd, Londonderry, New Hampshire

MEMBERS PRESENT
Sharon Carson  -  Public Member
Tim Jones  -  Lieutenant, LPD
Tim Thompson, AICP-  Town Planner, Community Development Dept.
Jodie Levandowski  -  Intern
Jillian Harris  -  Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission

MINUTES
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee (LHMC) approved the minutes of the July 20, 2010 LHMC meeting.

REVIEW OF PLAN UPDATES FROM MEETING 2

The LHMC reviewed the following sections of the draft plan and made updates as necessary

a. Section II : Hazard Identification
b. Section III: Vulnerability Assessment
c. Section IV: Existing Mitigation Strategies
d. Section V: Newly Identified Mitigation Strategies (2005 updates moved to Appendices)

IDENTIFY GAPS IN HAZARD MITIGATION (NEW MITIGATION STRATEGIES) AND SET OBJECTIVES FOR FUTURE MITIGATION EFFORTS

The LHMC brainstormed new mitigation strategies to add to the plan update and set objectives for future mitigation efforts. The following strategies will be added to the plan:

- Local Sheltering Plan (work with the Red Cross on shelter policies)
- Develop aquifer and groundwater protection strategies by updating the Water Resource and Management Protection Plan
- Work with the Army Corps of Engineers on study of Brookview Drive area and recommendations for mitigating flood losses and damages
• Pursue grants to purchase the repetitive loss properties on Brookview Drive
• Continue to increase public outreach during disasters and emergencies by promoting the newly implemented nixle system, as well as developing other strategies of communication to the public during disasters and emergencies

Fire and DPW will be contacted for input on this list as well in between meetings.

**NFIP**
The discussion on NFIP will be added to the next agenda.

**NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING**

The committee will meet next on September 21, 2010 at 9:30 am in the Londonderry Town Offices.

Meeting adjourned.
# Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire

## Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting #3

**August 17, 2010**
**9:30 AM**

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

## ATTENDANCE SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position Title/ Department Affiliation</th>
<th>E-mail &amp; Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tim Jones</td>
<td>LT LPD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tim.jones@londonderry.nh.org">tim.jones@londonderry.nh.org</a> 432-1118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodie Levandowski</td>
<td>INTERN</td>
<td><a href="mailto:J.Levandowski@gmail.com">J.Levandowski@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon M. Carson</td>
<td>Public Member</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sharon.carson@leg.nh.state.us">sharon.carson@leg.nh.state.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Thompson</td>
<td>Town Planner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tim.thompson@londonderry.nh.org">tim.thompson@londonderry.nh.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting

Meeting Number 4
September 21, 2010
9:30 am

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Approve the Minutes of the August 17, 2010 meeting

3. Review STAPLEE results for updated mitigation strategies

4. Cost of Implementation Estimates

5. NFIP

6. Review and update Section VII: Adoption, Evaluation and Monitoring section

7. Review Appendices and Maps

8. Questions?

9. Schedule meeting #5 for final review

10. Adjournment
Minutes of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting held on
September 21, 2010 in the Londonderry Town Offices,
268 B Mammoth Rd, Londonderry, New Hampshire

MEMBERS PRESENT
Sharon Carson - Public Member
Tim Thompson, AICP- Town Planner, Community Development Dept.
John Trottier - Asst. Director of Public Works and Engineering
Jillian Harris - Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission
Mary Brundage - Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission

MINUTES
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee (LHMC) approved the minutes of the August 17, 2010 LHMC meeting.

REVIEW OF STAPLEE RESULTS
The LHMC reviewed the results of the STAPLEE for the updated mitigation strategies discussed at the last meeting. Ms. Harris asked if there were any changes and if they agreed with the prioritization. It was noted that some of the items from the last plan were completed, indicating progress is being made. The LHMC made suggestions where items could be merged into one strategy. Ms. Harris will make these updates accordingly.

COST OF IMPLEMENTATION ESTIMATES
After reviewing the STAPLEE results, Ms. Harris asked the committee to come up with an estimated cost for each strategy and also who (responsibility/lead), when (date to be completed), and how (money) each strategy would be implemented.

NFIP
Ms. Harris reviewed the NFIP compliance worksheet. The committee indicated items that the town was doing for continued compliance with NFIP.

REVIEW AND UPDATE SECTION VII: ADOPTION, EVALUATION AND MONITORING SECTION
The LHMC reviewed Section VII of the draft plan. A couple minor updates were indicated including adding the town website for posting public meetings and posting the draft plan electronically on the website. Also, the Planning and Economic Development director was changed to Community Development Director and both the Community Development director and Emergency Director will conduct updates to the plan.
REVIEW APPENDICES AND MAPS
Ms. Harris brought maps for review by the LHMC. She asked for any changes or updates to be brought to her by the next meeting.

NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The committee will meet next on October 19, 2010 at 9:30 am in the Londonderry Town Offices.

Meeting adjourned.
## Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire

### Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting #4

#### September 21, 2010
9:30 AM

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

### ATTENDANCE SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position Title/Department Affiliation</th>
<th>E-mail &amp; Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIM THOMPSON</td>
<td>TOWN PLANNER/COMMUNITY DEV'T</td>
<td><a href="mailto:THOMPSON@LONDONDERRY.NH.Org">THOMPSON@LONDONDERRY.NH.Org</a> 432-1100, x103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shawn Green</td>
<td>Public Member</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bladensfield@hotmail.com">bladensfield@hotmail.com</a> 434-2489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN R. TUSTICE</td>
<td>ASSO. DIRECTOR OF PW &amp; COMS.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:TUSTICE@LONDONDERRY.NH.ORG">TUSTICE@LONDONDERRY.NH.ORG</a> 432-1100 X146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting

Meeting Number 4
October 19, 2010
9:30 am

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Approve the Minutes of the September 21, 2010 meeting

3. Review entire document for changes/additions/deletions
   a. Comments from the Committee
   b. Any additional items?

4. Next Actions
   a. Submission to NH HSEM and FEMA
   b. Following conditional approval from FEMA- public hearing and Town Council adoption

5. Questions?

6. Adjournment
Minutes of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting held on
October 19, 2010 in the Londonderry Town Offices,
268 B Mammoth Rd, Londonderry, New Hampshire

MEMBERS PRESENT
Tim Thompson, AICP- Town Planner, Community Development Dept.
John Trottier - Asst. Director of Public Works and Engineering
Jillian Harris - Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission

MINUTES
The Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Committee (LHMC) approved the minutes of the September 21, 2010 LHMC meeting.

REVIEW HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN FIRST DRAFT
The LHMC reviewed the first draft of the 2010 Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan and made comments and suggestions for revisions to Ms. Harris. Revisions were minor and included changing the Water Resources Plan strategy to other in the Preliminary Prioritization on page 68 as well as changing the public notices wording on page 6 to be the town’s website and the town hall.

NEXT ACTIONS
Ms. Harris went over the next actions for the plan, which include submission to the state/FEMA and then pending conditional approval, adoption of the plan by the town. After adoption Ms. Harris will do a final submission to FEMA for final approval. Ms. Harris will be in touch with the committee to coordinate adoption and then final submission to FEMA after adoption.

Meeting adjourned.
Town of Londonderry, New Hampshire

Hazard Mitigation Committee Meeting #5

October 19, 2010
9:30 AM

Londonderry Town Offices
268 B Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

ATTENDANCE SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position Title/Department Affiliation</th>
<th>E-mail &amp; Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOHN R. TRATTLE</td>
<td>ASST. DIR. OF PW &amp; GRG.</td>
<td><a href="mailto:admin@londonderry-nh.org">admin@londonderry-nh.org</a>&lt;br&gt;603-432-1100 x146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Thompson</td>
<td>TOWN PLANNER/CD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tthompson@londonderry-nh.org">tthompson@londonderry-nh.org</a>&lt;br&gt;432-1100, x103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX G

PUBLIC AND OTHER AGENCY PARTICIPATION
To: Manchester Planning and Community Development Department
Auburn Planning and Zoning Department
Derry Community Development Department
Windham Planning and Development Department
Hudson Community Development Department
Town of Litchfield Planning Board

From: Timothy J. Thompson, AICP, Town Planner

Date: November 4, 2010

Subject: Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan for Your Review

Enclosed please find one copy of the draft Hazard Mitigation Plan for the Town of Londonderry. This plan is being sent to all abutting municipalities. The Federal Emergency Management Agency requires that abutting communities be included in the planning process or given the opportunity to comment on hazard mitigation plans.

At this time we are asking for your input on the Plan. Please send any comments to the Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission, attn: Jillian Harris, Planner, and Mary Brundage, Associate Planner, 438 Dubuque Street, Manchester, NH 03102, fax 669-4350, email jharris@snhpc.org and mbrundage@snhpc.org.

Please submit you comments by the close of business on Friday December 17, 2010.

If there are any other questions or concerns, please call the Town of Londonderry Planning and Economic Development Department at 432-1100 x101 or Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission at 669-4664 x305.

cc: David Preece, Executive Director, Southern NH Planning Commission
Jillian Harris

From: Tim Thompson [tthompson@londonderrynh.org]
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2011 3:46 PM
To: Jillian Harris
Subject: FW: Londonderry Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan

Did find one e-mail on the haz mit plan public comment...see below

--
Timothy J. Thompson, AICP
Town Planner
Town of Londonderry, NH
268 B Mammoth Road
Londonderry, NH 03053
--
(V) 603.432.1100, Ext. 103
(F) 603.432.1128
(E) tthompson@londonderrynh.org
(W) http://www.londonderrynh.org
(W) http://www.thriveinlondonderry.com
--
"Growth is inevitable and desirable, but destruction of community character is not. The question is not whether your part of the world is going to change. The question is how." -- Edward T. McMahon, The Conservation Fund
--

From: Mike Speltz [mailto:mspeltz@forestsociety.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 5:41 PM
To: Tim Thompson
Cc: Deborah W Lievens
Subject: FW: Londonderry Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan

Tim, I am woefully late in commenting. In the event you are not yet in final form: it would be good to expand our town site/subdivision regs to address the pre- and post development volume as well as the rate of water release off developed land, as a way of mitigating flooding.

A larger issue is whether the plan should address adaptation and mitigation for climate change. Perhaps a modest goal would be to review the plan under alternative assumptions about the 100-year flood, without committing to anything other than a paper drill at this point.

Sorry to be late,

Mike

Mike Speltz
Land Protection Specialist
Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests
54 Portsmouth Street, Concord NH 03301
Phone: 603-224-9945 x364
Fax: 603-228-0423
Email: mspeltz@forestsociety.org

"You got to have a dream."
If you don't have a dream,
How you gonna make your dream come true?"
---- Bloody Mary, from Rogers & Hammerstein's South Pacific

From: Tim Thompson [mailto:tthompson@londonderrynh.org]
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2010 1:36 PM
To: TownCouncil; Deborah W Lievens; Mike Speltz; Nathan Greenberg; sara Landry; slthrall@comcast.net; Rich Fixler; senior-management@pennichuck.com
Cc: David Preece; David Caron
Subject: Londonderry Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan

Please find attached a memo, and the draft Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan for your review and comment, as required by FEMA.

If you have any problems with the attachments, the draft plan will be on the Town’s website in the coming days at www.londonderrynh.org/planning/planning_005.htm

Thanks!

--
Timothy J. Thompson, AICP
Town Planner
Town of Londonderry, NH
268 B Mammoth Road
Londonderry, NH 03053
--
(V) 603.432.1100, Ext. 103
(F) 603.432.1128
(E) tthompson@londonderrynh.org
(W) http://www.londonderrynh.org
(W) http://www.thriveinlondonderry.com
--
"Growth is inevitable and desirable, but destruction of community character is not. The question is not whether your part of the world is going to change. The question is how." -- Edward T. McMahon, The Conservation Fund
--
From: Mary Brundage
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 10:57 AM
To: Jillian Harris
Subject: FW: Londonderry Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan

FYI

Mary A. Brundage - Associate Planner
Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission
438 Dubuque Street
Manchester, NH 03102
www.snhpc.org
mbrundage@snhpc.org
(603)669-4664
Fax: (603)669-4350

From: Rich Fixler [mailto:RFIXLER@flymanchester.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2010 1:02 PM
To: Tim Thompson; TownCouncil; Deborah W Lievens; Mike Speltz; Nathan Greenberg; sara Landry; slthrall@comcast.net; senior-management@pennichuck.com
Cc: David Preece; David Caron; Jillian Harris; Mary Brundage; John Hagopian
Subject: RE: Londonderry Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan

Tim,

The Airport has reviewed your Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan and has no comments.

Richard

Richard S. Fixler, PE
Assistant Airport Director
Engineering & Planning

Phone: 603-628-6211 ext. 519
Fax: 603-628-6213
Email: rfixler@flymanchester.com

From: Tim Thompson [mailto:tthompson@londonderrynh.org]
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2010 1:36 PM
To: TownCouncil; Deborah W Lievens; Mike Speltz; Nathan Greenberg; sara Landry; slthrall@comcast.net; Rich Fixler; senior-management@pennichuck.com
Cc: David Preece; David Caron
Subject: Londonderry Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan

Please find attached a memo, and the draft Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan for your
review and comment, as required by FEMA.

If you have any problems with the attachments, the draft plan will be on the Town’s website in the coming days at www.londonderrynh.org/planning/planning_005.htm

Thanks!

--
Timothy J. Thompson, AICP
Town Planner
Town of Londonderry, NH
268 B Mammoth Road
Londonderry, NH 03053
--
(V) 603.432.1100, Ext. 103
(F) 603.432.1128
(E) tthompson@londonderrynh.org
(W) http://www.londonderrynh.org
(W) http://www.thriveinlondonderry.com
--
"Growth is inevitable and desirable, but destruction of community character is not. The question is not whether your part of the world is going to change. The question is how." -- Edward T. McMahon, The Conservation Fund
--
APPENDIX H

DOCUMENTATION OF PLAN ADOPTION
The Town Council meeting was held in the Moose Hill Council Chambers, Town Hall, 268B Mammoth Road, Londonderry.

PRESENT: Town Council: Chairman Paul DiMarco; Vice Chairperson, Sean O’Keefe; Councilors: Mike Brown; John Farrell; Town Manager Dave Caron; Assistant Town Manager, Finance Director, Sue Hickey; Executive Assistant, Margo Lapietro.  Absent: Tom Dolan

Budget Committee Members: Chairman Don Jorgensen; Vice Chair Todd Joncas; Secretary Richard Dillon; John Curran; Dan Lekas; Mark Oswald; and Lisa Whittemore.

CALL TO ORDER – PUBLIC SESSION

Chairman DiMarco opened the meeting at 7:00PM with the Pledge of Allegiance. This was followed by a moment of silence for the men and women fighting for our country here and abroad.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

NEW BUSINESS

Approval for a Hazardous Waste Plan - Town Manager Caron explained the hazardous mitigation plan and stated that we usually would have a public meeting before the plan is adopted. The public hearing is scheduled for 12/6/10. The state notified us that a public hearing was not necessary and they would like to have the plan reviewed and adopted by the Council prior to the state submitting a Hazardous Mitigation Grant to address three of the four structures at the end of Brookview Drive. That application will be submitted on 12/4/10. Tonight we are looking for approval of the plan; since the public hearing has been noticed, the Council can take additional input on the 6th and can vote to amend the plan if necessary. The state was strong in their preference to have the plan submitted with the application by this Friday. Londonderry Fire Department (LFD) Chief Kevin MacCaffrie explained the Hazardous Mitigation Plan was adopted in 2005, over the past 4-5 months they have reviewed the plan, added the amendments and updated the plan. All the department heads and a citizen-at-large updated the plan. The plan was amended and the work was completed over the last 5 yrs. They have had approvals from the state and FEMA. Councilor O’Keefe said the residences at Brookview had 4 homes impacted by flooding. Chief MacCaffrie stated that all of Brookview is impacted but the 4 homes at the end were the worst. Councilor O’Keefe said out of the 4 homes, 3 homeowners were OK with the plan what about the 4th? Chief MacCaffrie said despite repeated inquiries that one homeowner has not responded. Chief MacCaffrie stated that will not impede putting the plan into place. Councilor O’Keefe asked if the one resident decides they want in the future to be part of this plan can they get relief. Town Manager explained that one homeowner has received a grant the latest application is for the next 2 homes. If in the future the non-respondent homeowner becomes interested it depends upon if the criteria have changed and if the funds are available. Currently
the funds are available which is why we want to move forward on it. Chairman DiMarco said he read the plans and they were well done. Hearing no input from the public, Councilor O’Keefe made a motion to adopt the plan as read, second Councilor Farrell. Council’s vote was 4-0-0.

BUDGET WORKSHOP

Town Manager Caron said the only piece of information requested from the prior workshop was from the Budget Committee regarding a comparison of the Town’s tax rate compared to other communities. That analysis was passed out to the Councilors tonight (attached)

Councilor Farrell presented the following items for discussion. He said he preferred more of a variable deduction rather than a 5% all across the board. Some departments can give more, some less. The SRO to LHS and the crossing guards should be returned to the police budget. He sees that as a reduction with the schools and there is no reduction there. He would like to see the combination of the communications departments and would like to see what that means when the report is submitted from LPD. He would like to look at the cause & effect of not having the Call Firefighters. He wants to look at calling people in for overtime when we have $20K in the budget. Take a look at the consolidation on one department head under Life and Public Safety. Look at the opportunities of having all facilities of the town under one area. The school has reported that there are going to be 83 full and part-time positions which equates to 56 full-time positions being eliminated at the schools. The time might be better to have conversations between the town and the school again due to economic times. He would like to ask the Finance Manager to further reduce the charitable contributions. In the support services in Admin. Support he said he would like to see more cross training between admin and support services. He suggested the Assessing Dept. covering for the Planning Department; maybe the Clerks can cover other things. Perhaps there are more efficiencies as we go into the digital age for more cross training between the Admin and Support Services. That is something we should become more aware of as we go forward. Look at additional reductions on the library services side and take advantage of the digital age as well. With medical benefits he questioned if there is an opportunity for our HR Dept. to work with the school’s HR Dept.; are there gains with combining with the school to get better rates with the Local Government Center (LGC).

Councilor O’Keefe asked the Town Manager where are we exactly right now as far as the goal to hold to a flat level budget. Town Manager Caron replied with the presented budget we met that goal, the Council is going through the process of determining whether the priorities in the presented budget align with the Council’s priorities. You have to decide whether you want to see funds reallocated for a specific purpose or some services added and others reduced. Councilor O’Keefe said both the SRO and crossing guards should be looked at to be put back in; they are too important to let go. He said that what Councilor Farrell mentioned is where he was headed; he suggested looking at other opportunities within the budget like cutting charitable donations.

Chairman DiMarco said the feedback he has received from the community is about the SRO in the LHS. That was the top of his list, he would like to see it restored. He would like to keep the tax rate flat at $4.74. That $100K to restore an SRO would have to come some place else in the budget. He said to leave it up to the Town Manager to see where it can come from. Councilor O’Keefe said the budget goal is coming from Council, the goal is the goal. It is up to the Town Manager to make it happen; other services can be looked at.
Councilor Brown asked the Town Manager if the FY10 year end results in revenues were correct with the General Fund deficit of $251K. Town Manager Caron responded that was correct. Councilor Brown questioned if the FY12 that shows less money is what is being forecast for MV Permit Fees, Bldg. Permit Fees and Interest on Deposits. Town Manager Caron said it represents a reduced estimated income from the FY11 budget, we are forecasting receiving less revenues. Councilor Brown said there are only 3 areas we can achieve the goal of a tax rate of $4.74. They are in the Overlay/Veterans, which is 19 cents; the Capital Reserve/ Maintenance of 9 cents. Town Manager Caron said their initial goal was to return to historical norms in FY12 but that has been extended to FY15. Councilor Brown said that is another area where the tax rate is lower than normal. That leaves the majority of what we are talking which is the General Fund. He said he wants to stay with delivering the flat tax rate for FY12. He said he is pleased with what the Town Manager gave us to reach this goal. He said he would like to have the Town Manager reconsider one SRO at the LHS which was the consensus of the Council. The crossing guards cost $37,695.00 and he would like to find a way to fund it and still meet our goals. He said he wants to see those two changes and find the $137K someplace else. He said he prefers the Town Manager find the money and come back with his suggestion on where he will find the money. Councilor Brown said he thinks it would be OK to delay the $50K for the Master Plan for 1 year. He also suggested not paying the annual dues for SNHP which is $15,500. Councilor Farrell said he talked to Chairman of the Planning Board Art Rugg, and he said according to statute we have to be a member of a group, in his opinion we don’t necessarily have to pay to be a member. Town Manager Caron said he will follow-up on it. Councilor Brown said he has heard from people that want government to focus on more essential needs and not spend taxpayer dollars on programs that go above and beyond that. The social services budget has $67K left in it he suggested taking some monies from that to use for the deficit. He said he is interested in having the Town Manager come back and give his view on how to achieve that.

Chairman DiMarco questioned the tax rate on Capital Reserve/Maintenance and Overlay/Veterans. Town Manager Caron explained the budget is $525K for Capital Reserve; that is not totally funded through the tax rate a lot is through surplus funds. The Overlay/Veterans is a net amount because of budgeting purposes with the DRA. The veterans exemptions are $488K, we propose to raise the balance of a net $125K for Overlay. We are recommending that we raise about $245K in Overlay which is a very small fraction of our overall tax commitment and that number is lower than prior years. He explained that we need to budget some funds in there because if you don’t it will be shown as a deficit in the revenue side. Chairman DiMarco said re-instating the crossing guards are also high on his list. Councilor Brown asked the Town Manager if the CART which is budgeted at $26K is that money committed to on a long term basis. Could we reduce it if we wanted to? Town Manager Caron said to his recollection the Town Council committed the community to a 3 year agreement; he said he believes we are in our 4th year. He will get more information about usage and ridership. He said he does not think that the Town has a legal commitment to fund that but he will look into our agreement. Don Jorgenson said the combination of the communications centers would have savings. He said he would like to have more insight into how the savings could be assured before determining it is a viable approach and he would like to know how realistic those numbers are that are being projected for the first year and also what risks would be involved. Insurance is high; any alternatives to find relief with other independent groups that the employees could be associated with should be encouraged. He said he wants more information on the HR position, he said the salary seems higher than a similar position held on the school side. He also said he would like to review the SRO position. Town Manager Caron said the original budget
anticipates staffing two dispatch centers they have a staff of 11. That includes 7 in the LPD and 4 in the LFD with additional funds for overtime and part-time on the fire side. Staffing levels of similar size communities found that 9 seem to be the norm. In the preliminary budget we have funding for 2 positions to be transferred over. We have additional funds for overtime and part-time to be transferred over. We have an additional $50K for soft costs for the merge. The Chief is assembling a taskforce to see if we’ve identified the right number; and should have the results by the end of January. He said he has contacted the Collective Bargaining Units and they all agreed to look at alternate insurance carriers with similar coverage. He said they are completing a RFP with all the unions, he has not heard from the Library. Regarding the HR position, he said they had a re-organization in 04; they recognized our liabilities with almost 200 employees of not having a human resource function in the facility. We established the singular position within the Finance and Administration department and reports to S. Hickey. The HR Manager is responsible for all benefit management, employee counseling, and is admin. support for collective bargaining issues for 6 collective bargaining units and our 200 employees. All our salary levels were originally established based on market studies. This is one of the 6 non-represented positions in the organization so salary and compensation is directly attributable to comparable studies in other communities. S. Hickey said she can have vital information what a typical day is like for the next meeting. The school position salary is higher than the current HR Manager’s per information received recently from Steve Young. D. Jorgenson said he would also like a list of what the knowledge base requirements are. He stated that he may have been looking at the figures for last year’s school budget in regard to the salary for the HR Manager. Councilor Farrell said he appreciated the Town Manager going to the unions to discuss looking for lower medical changes. Todd Joncas said it was his understanding the 2 SRO’s were being used to fill the two open patrol positions. Capt. Bill Hart said his primary obligation is to protect the Town. The way to do it is to fund one open position. T. Joncas clarified to back-fill one position and leave 1 SRO in the school would work. Chief Hart said we need to have the patrol officers. R. Dillon asked if he can back-fill patrol officers with part-time officers. Chief Hart said the last one they had was 25 years ago, he said he thinks it is a bad idea for community; they cannot be trained adequately to assure they are safe and the community is safe. He said Merrimack has had a part-time program; we are not equipped to that at this time. Mark Oswald commended the Town Manager for approaching the unions about medical coverage. He said he supports an SRO at LHS. He said he would like to have a state representative come in and address what our local delegation is doing about restoring state funding to Londonderry. He said that traffic violations in NH have about 90% going to the state and county the town gets very little. He said he would encourage our state delegation to introduce legislation to modify that, it is a revenue source in a lot of states. He suggested evaluating the CART program on a per capita rate; evaluate it on a per-head basis. He asked the LFD to follow-up on the number of calls to MHT and how many go to the Elliott facility in Londonderry. He agreed that we should hold the line with social services because those people are in the most need right now. Todd Joncas asked how is the equalization ratio determined. Town Manager Caron replied we are required to report all sales to the state on an annual basis. The state looks at that information to determine what our equalization ratio is. They essentially look at what the relationship is between our assessments and the full market value. They will compare it between other communities. T. Joncas wanted the mathematical formula, Town Manager Caron said he would get back to him. Chairman DiMarco asked if we can request one of our state reps to come to a budget workshop to see what their goals are, Town Manager Caron responded he will. Open for discussion. Reed Page Clark, Stonehenge Rd said the response time of LPD & LFD is important.
Chairman DiMarco said we have given direction to the Town Manager to restore one SRO to LHS and re-instate crossing guards and keep the tax rate level funded. Councilor Farrell asked how does the Council feel about telling the Town Manager that he generally does not have to get 5% from each department to get to the goal. Chairman DiMarco and Councilor O’Keefe said they supported that. Councilor Brown re-affirmed in order to meet this goal you needed to find $1.3M and we are being presented a budget that is $315,368 below last year’s budget. Town Manager Caron said the only non-personnel related cost that was increased in the FY12 default budget was gasoline and solid waste for our contractual obligations. In order to reach that number his initial direction to department heads was 5%. Our contractual costs became a more clear as well as our revenues in FY12 which increased the gap even more. The 5% became a baseline. Today the 5% was a conceptual target it did not give him a good picture on what needed to be done; we are way beyond 5% right now. He has requested more from other departments and less from others. Councilor Farrell said what we have heard tonight is that the dispatch center might not happen this fiscal year and to replace $137K which means we have to find $300K. Councilor Brown said we are also telling the Town Manager we want the goal. Councilor O’Keefe asked the Town Manager how much clearer the numbers are. Town Manager Caron said after the initial directive we now know where the health insurance costs will be; the retirement system is clearer; we have a better idea of what the revenue is going to look like. The only unclear item right now is the savings associated with dispatch. Chief Hart is working on that and we will have that around the first of January. Reed Clark said they are using safety versus money if you mix those two up you are wrong. Councilor O’Keefe said we are not addressing that and we are not jeopardizing safety.

Chairman DiMarco said the next regularly scheduled Town Council meeting is scheduled for Monday, 12/6/10. He said that meeting is fairly light and asked the Council if they and the Budget members want to consolidate the Council meeting with the Budget Workshop for that night; the consensus was that that would work. Councilor Brown reminded everyone that it is a workshop with public input allowed. The first public hearing will not be until 1/3/10.

Councilor Farrell made a motion to adjourn at 8:10PM, second, Councilor O’Keefe. Council’s vote was 4-0-0.

Notes and Tapes by: Margo Lapietro Date: 11/29/10
Minutes Typed by: Margo Lapietro Date: 1202/10
Approved; Town Council Date: 12/06/10
The Budget Committee requested a comparison of Londonderry’s tax rate to other New Hampshire communities. The ten communities listed in the survey are the towns and cities which the Town has consistently used for over a decade to review service levels, wages and benefits, and budgets. The equalization ratio is used to allow comparisons based upon assessments at 100% of market value:

### Comparison of Londonderry's total and municipal tax rates with other similar NH communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Total Tax Rate</th>
<th>Municipal</th>
<th>Equalization Ratio (2009)</th>
<th>Equalized Tax Rate</th>
<th>Equalized Municipal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>$19.62</td>
<td>$4.13</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>$19.62</td>
<td>$4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concord</td>
<td>$23.16</td>
<td>$8.19</td>
<td>101.4%</td>
<td>$23.48</td>
<td>$8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derry</td>
<td>$28.48</td>
<td>$9.41</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
<td>$8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover</td>
<td>$23.75</td>
<td>$8.93</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
<td>$22.49</td>
<td>$8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goffstown</td>
<td>$22.91</td>
<td>$8.95</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>$22.91</td>
<td>$8.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>$16.11</td>
<td>$5.19</td>
<td>111.3%</td>
<td>$17.93</td>
<td>$5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrimack</td>
<td>$19.53</td>
<td>$4.34</td>
<td>109.1%</td>
<td>$21.31</td>
<td>$4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>$17.41</td>
<td>$8.50</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>$16.17</td>
<td>$7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>$23.89</td>
<td>$7.74</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
<td>$22.53</td>
<td>$7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>$14.84</td>
<td>$5.15</td>
<td>120.3%</td>
<td>$17.85</td>
<td>$6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Londonderry</td>
<td>$20.33</td>
<td>$4.74</td>
<td>106.4%</td>
<td>$21.63</td>
<td>$5.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Average        | $20.91         | $6.84     | 102.3%                    | $21.18             | $6.88               |
| Median         | $20.33         | $7.74     | 100.0%                    | $21.63             | $7.30               |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Londonderry v. Avg.</th>
<th>-2.86%</th>
<th>-44.36%</th>
<th>2.11%</th>
<th>-36.47%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Londonderry v. Median</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-63.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-44.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Town Council meeting was held in the Moose Hill Council Chambers, Town Hall, 268B Mammoth Road, Londonderry, NH.

PRESENT: Town Council: Chairman Paul DiMarco: Vice Chairperson, Sean O’Keefe; Councilors: Mike Brown, John Farrell, Tom Dolan; Town Manager Dave Caron; Assistant Town Manager - Finance Director, Sue Hickey; Executive Assistant, Margo Lapietro.

Budget Committee Members: Chairman Don Jorgensen; Vice Chair Todd Joncas; Secretary Richard Dillon; John Curran; Dan Lekas; Mark Oswald; and Lisa Whittemore.

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman DiMarco opened the meeting at 7:00 PM with the Pledge of Allegiance. This was followed by a moment of silence for the men and women fighting for our country.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Interview of Martin Srugis for Heritage Commission – Martin Srugis, 17 Wimbledon Drive, is still curious about the Woodmont project and the character of the town. Councilor Farrell complimented him on his work at the LAFA fields and thanked him for volunteering. Councilor Brown asked how long has he been on the Heritage Commission, M. Srugis responded about a year. Councilor Brown asked him how talking to businesses and giving them guidance and feedback was working out. M. Surgis responded that Rte. 102 has a mixed bag of buildings because they predate the Commission. We have been reasonable and businesses have been working with them. Chairman Brown brought up the point that M. Srugis is also on the Solid Waste Advisory Committee in addition to LAFA. Chairman DiMarco asked him if anything has changed that would cause a conflict of interest, he responded no.

Interview of Jim Butler Candidate for Heritage Commission – Jim Butler of 57 Mammoth Rd, said his background in construction has spanned over 25 years, and he understands the building process. Councilor Dolan asked him if he has anything in his past or present that would render any conflicts of interest, he responded no. Councilor Brown asked him how familiar is he with the Heritage Commission. J. Butler responded unfortunately he does not go to many meetings but he does watch it on cable. This town is facing some challenges with Woodmont and Pettengill Road projects. He said he wants to keep a good balance in town.

Rep. Al Baldasaro, 41 Hall Rd. spoke about protecting historical homes in the town. He spoke about the Londonderry Times owning an old home in town and operating a business in the building. He explained that Town Staff had explained the process to him. He explained the Paul’s thought they have to come up with $60K but it is now $16K needed for an escrow account. A. Baldasaro said the Pauls are prepared to go forward, but the Town has to give them a stay from the court. He said we have an opportunity to keep a business in town. He is asking Council to give direction to the Town Counsel to keep the business in town. The Town Manager
explained that enforcement is in the Court’s hands. Councilor Farrell said that Town Planner, Tim Thompson shared information in the past week and his understanding is that the building inspector was going to look at the inside of the home because it has to get a fire annunciation system and needs to be ADA compliant. John Trottier was going to work with Chris Paul to understand exactly what the number was. Councilor Farrell said that Rep. Baldasaro was there and he could correct him if he got something wrong. It was his understanding what he communicated to them was in order to be able to come back in front of the Planning Board, they had to put the money in place and show all the work that was going to be done. They couldn’t move back into the building until everything is done and they get a Certificate of Occupancy (CO). Rep. Baldasaro said that was correct and that was negotiated with Chris Paul. They did come up with the $16K and $3K. A. Baldasaro said he asked the Fire Marshall and the Building Inspector to give him copies of the code so he can research the law on the state side. Chairman DiMarco said the codes are federal. A. Baldasaro said he is here on behalf of the small business owner and wants to protect the historic property. Councilor Brown said this is not the proper forum he asked the Chairman to direct this topic offline at this point. Chairman DiMarco said his understanding is that this matter is in the hands of the courts right now. Town Manager Caron responded if the Council wants him to talk to the town attorney he will do that but it is not proper at this point to talk about a resolution without consultation with the town attorney to the Town Council. Chairman DiMarco asked the Town Manager to follow-up with Town Attorney, Bart Mayer.

Tom Freda, 30 Buckingham Dr. talked about reducing contributions to various social organizations, and proposing a pay freeze with unions. He said the Conservation Commission has about $900K in their fund why not ask them to give some money back to the town instead of the cuts that are being proposed. He said taxpayers are funding a lot of conservation efforts by paying bonds with their tax dollars. He said the City of Concord talked about this last year. The Conservation Commission has received $16M in the past 10 years of taxpayers’ money. He passed out a newspaper article from the Concord Monitor about the City of Concord inquiring about Conservation Commission money. Councilor Farrell said it is an interesting point. Councilor Brown said the bonding was approved at Town Meeting and there is a vast difference between us and a city. Town Manager Caron said he would check with counsel on that particular issue. Councilor O’Keefe said he should also find out what the procedure is to go about it. Town Manager said the question to that is whether we need a legislative body action. If you need a legislative body action for budgets, under our Charter that is Town Meeting. If it is a governing body action that is the power of the Town Council. T. Freda said to make it clear that you are asking for a voluntary payment. Councilor Dolan said perhaps the Conservation Commission may not have the power to do that even if we ask them; because the fund is enacted by a legislative body it perhaps requires a legislative body to authorize that diversion of funds. Glen Douglas, 6 Overlook Ave said the Council voted to accept responsibility for the issue on Crowell’s Corner. The Planning Board said it wasn’t their problem and they get updates at every meeting on what is going on. He said the first court date that Crowell’s Corner missed it was communicated to him that they missed it because the court didn’t send out the paperwork and Crowell’s Corner didn’t know there was a court date. They appealed to the court and the town attorney filed an objection and had the appeal denied. Now they have gone to Superior Court and he is hearing that the town attorney is objecting to the stay. If there is nothing Council can do it certainly seems that the Town is going out of its way to stop whatever is going on. He said he does not know who is calling the shots; he asked one Councilor who said they had nothing to do with it. This business has been in town a long time, the town needs to do something and help
them out; there were mistakes on both sides. Superior Court says they can get a stay and the
Pauls can stay there and work it out. Councilor O’Keefe addressed the Town Manager and said
on the Council side we have been staying out of it. The Planning Board does get updates; who is
calling the shots when our lawyer goes to court. In any legal process there always could be a
negotiated settlement. He said he has no clue to what has happened other than what he has sat
through in the last year and a half. What he has seen is mistakes on the Town’s part and on
Crowell’s Corner part. We have had a majority of the Council accept blame for the mistakes that
were made. His point is if there is culpability on both sides why we can’t work this out between
the business and the Town. Town Manager Caron suggested the Council enter Non-Public
Session to discuss the legal matter; it is not proper to discuss a legal matter that is before the NH
Supreme Court in a public session. Councilor Farrell made a motion to go into a non-public
session for a discussion of legal matters, per RSA 91-A:3,II (e), second Councilor O’Keefe.
DiMarco. Council went into a Non-Public session at 7:30 PM.

Councilor Farrell made a motion to come out of non-public at 7:40PM, second Councilor
Michael Brown.

Pauline Caron, 369 Mammoth Rd said when all of the Pillsbury Realty property comes out of
current use the Conservation Commission will get a substantial amount of money in excess of
$700K or more.

PUBLIC HEARING

Councilor Farrell made a motion to enter into public hearing, second Councilor O’Keefe.
Council’s vote was 5-0-0.

Resolution #2010-24 – Relative to approving the Londonderry Hazardous Mitigation Plan
Councilor O’Keefe made a motion to adopt, second by Councilor Farrell. Fire Chief Kevin
MacCaffrie explained the plan has been reviewed and updated. Kyle Zavorotny, 16 Brookview
Dr thanked everyone for their help. He pointed out that on page 72 of the document it says
“pursue grants to purchase repetitive loss properties on this road.” He said that currently the
Town is in a grant process with FEMA to elevate the properties rather than purchase the
properties. The document refers to only purchasing the properties. Town Manager Caron said
that the motion should be amended to purchase and/or elevate. Jerry Gulezian, 14 Brookview
Dr. said after 34 years he could say “Thank You”. Al Baldasaro, 41 Hall Rd. questioned that the
purchase part costs the taxpayers and the elevation is FEMA at 100%. Town Manager Caron
responded no; there is a match under most programs, there is a federal and a non-federal match.
Non-federal match is not necessarily the Town’s responsibility. Councilor Farrell made a
motion to amend page 72 of the report to say “to purchase and/or elevate”, second
Councilor O’Keefe. Council’s vote to amend the Resolution was 5-0-0. Councilor Farrell
made a motion to accept the amended Resolution, second by Councilor O’Keefe. Council’s
vote was 5-0-0.

Councilor O’Keefe made a motion to close the public hearing, second by Councilor Farrell.
Council’s vote was 5-0-0.
OLD BUSINESS

Budget Workshop – Councilor Dolan stated at one previous meeting Council asked the Town Manager to understand how we compare with other communities and their municipal tax rate. Town Manager Caron explained that the Town has been comparing itself against ten (10) other communities for over a decade now. We have been very consistent with all of our comparison studies to keep a constant data set. Of those 10 comparable communities the average equalized tax rate is $21.18 which is about 2% lower than Londonderry; the median is Londonderry. The Municipal rate average is $6.88, the median rate is $7.30. Londonderry is on an equalized basis at $5.05, so Londonderry’s municipal portion of the tax rate is 36.47% below average and about 44.7% below the median.

Town Manager Caron said at the meeting held on 11/29/10, the council gave direction to restore funding for the SRO position at the LHS and restoring the crossing guards which resulted in adding $137,500 to the budget. The strategy to keep the bottom line budget because of these actions includes:

No cost of living adjustments (COLA) for non-represented employees which are six resulting in saving $17K off the budget.

Elimination of a clerk position in the Town Clerk/Tax Collector’s Office resulting in $40,525 which will be transferred to the Police Department.

Social Service agencies have a total reduction of 25% from their original requests resulting in a $13,475 reduction. The Council several years ago requested the Budget Committee take responsibility for re-allocating those dollars. He suggested that if they are different than what was originally recommended by the Budget Committee that that bottom line be reverted back to the Budget Committee for their input as to where those dollars will be allocated.

The Londonderry Police Department (LPD) has reduced their budget by $21,187 in the areas of maintenance & repairs, dues, overtime, management services and special investigations.

For the Recovery Plan he said they had hoped to return to normal levels in FY15, they are now looking beyond FY15, by using an additional $30K in Undesignated Fund Balance.

IT Dept. reduced by $7,500.

Library reduced by $7,803.

These adjustments equal $137,550 which continues to meet the Town Council’s directive to maintain a level tax rate of $4.74.

Other issues discussed at the last budget workshop include the Call Company; question of its discontinuance was sent back to the Chief, who suggested that the $20K appropriation is more
effectively used from overtime account, which would maintain day time staffing and capture more calls for service which are revenue producing.

Consolidation of public safety management was studied in 2003/04 when Chief Sypek retired. Success of that organizational structure is enhanced when there is a strong second tier of subordinates. The Deputy Fire Chief position was eliminated in 2004 therefore the Fire Department lacks that critical second tier. Therefore, he can not recommend this structure at this time. Councilor Farrell questioned the Call Firefighters answering 99 calls and how many hours that involved. The Town Manager responded with information that it involved about 128 hours of emergency responses. Councilor Farrell questioned in the collective bargaining agreement do we have a minimum number of overtime when we have to call somebody out. Chief MacCaffrie responded it is 1 hour in daytime hours and 2 hours at night.

Facility Management is managed through the Town’s Administrative Services Coordinator which is a part-time position in the Town Manager’s Office. In 2004 it was a full-time director level position, it was reclassified to mid-level in 04 and then to a part-time in 09. Those facilities responsibilities consume about 1/3 of the positions time which are expected to increase once the coordinator is acquired to manage systems in the police department. A number of minor repairs are completed in house due to the skills of the Admin. Services Coordinator, resulting in a cost savings by not hiring outside contractors. The School District said they are not in a position at this point to assume responsibility for the Town’s 8 public facilities.

Cross training has been looked at for a number of years, and those efforts will continue. He and S. Hickey will be conducting a review to establish a central receptionist role which is not a new position, but will look to address the more repetitive citizens requests from a centralized location. Hopefully they will be able to re-direct a lot of the non Tax Clerk/Tax Collector traffic to one location.

The prospect of joining the School District for health coverage is being looked at. All the unions have agreed to look at alternate carriers offering similar coverage. Once that process is completed if the Town has the same carrier as the school they will ask the carrier about any additional savings by joining with the school. It is not necessarily the size of the organization which produces cost savings but more a function of the amount of claims that are driving health care costs.

Delaying the Master Plan fund is not a critical issue; however, the method of funding is through the Undesignated Fund Balance which is not used to fund operating costs.

Southern NH Planning Commission has had a unified role with DOT in developing the Ten Year Plan, which for Londonderry means critical input into projects on I-93, improvements in the Rt. 28 corridor; Airport Access Rd and Pettengill Rd. It makes sense to continue our relationship at this point so the Town can capitalize on both the state and federal priority list to improve major roads in Town.

Call demands to Elliot in Londonderry and to the Manchester-Boston Regional Airport (MHT) analysis was provided by LFD. Chief MacCaffrie reported back that in calendar year 2009 there were 12% calls to the Elliott and 10% were for MHT. In 2010 to date there were 14.2% calls
made to the Elliot and 8.2% were made to MHT. There were some other follow-up questions regarding the impact on responding to those calls and any requirements to use mutual aid.

The Human Resource position was created under the reorganization approved by Council in 2004. We identified the need to insure that all laws, regulations, processes and procedures were in compliance with federal, state and local laws as well as collective bargaining procedures. He said the Council concurred that it would be good business practices to have an HR position. The HR position does not lend itself to outsourcing due to the sheer volume of that position. The Town Manager provided Council with back-up of all the responsibilities of the HR Manager and pointed out that she deals with 6 collective bargaining agreements in addition to numerous employees coming into her office.

One of the goals of this meeting was to arrive at a preliminary budget for our public meeting on 1/3/11. Between now and January 3 there will be more information coming regarding an alternate method to provide Fire Inspector and Marshall services. The question regarding CART will follow. He said he has left a message to have the Executive Director make a presentation at the Council’s 12/20/10 meeting. The Town does not have any legal obligation to fund them in FY12. Councilor Brown confirmed the contribution was $27K, Town Manager Caron said that was correct.

The Communications Combination Report should be in Council’s hands by 1/3/11.

Councilor Farrell confirmed that the school facilities manager said no to combining the facilities maintenance work. Town Manager Caron responded in the affirmative, and further stated that our labor load towards managing our buildings is about $16K a year or about 1/3 of our Admin Services Coordinator’s time. Councilor Brown verified that it is $137K to reinstate the SRO and crossing guards. This recent memo from the Town Manager was his response to the cuts. Councilor Brown said the Council did receive an e-mail about reducing hours at Town Hall by closing early in the form of a half day or a full day. He said he would like a response to that. Town Manager Caron responded that our Town Hall staffing levels are based upon a review of citizen demands over the years, and the number of staff required to meet that demand. If the hours are reduced you still have to allow for time to complete processes that are required by state, local and federal regulations. Additionally, the hours our employees work are set by contracts with the unions. Councilor Brown clarified that we can’t reduce hours unless the unions agree. Councilor Brown said the recommendation is to move forward with the Master Plan with the $50K to come out of the undesignated fund balance, then take an additional $30K out of that same fund to be part of the $137K. Town Manager Caron said that is correct. Councilor Brown asked why it would have made more sense to delay the Master Plan for a year and use the $30K for the recovery plan and to make up the $137K. Town Manager Caron responded the Town maintains a certain amount of fund balance for cash flow or emergencies as recommended by the Department of Revenue Administration. Whatever undesignated fund balance we have beyond that amount is available for projects. Based upon this budget, the Town will have about $55K left in the fund. The amount of available funds is getting smaller and smaller due to the economy and as the budgets are tightened every year. It allows us to fund the Master Plan at $50K and use another $30K for capital reserve trust fund to extend the recovery plan out to FY15. Councilor Dolan said he is satisfied that we are there with the budget. He wants to hear more from the Budget Committee and the public at the next meeting to provide
some input about the affected services and departments. He wants to hear from the public who and what is impacted. Councilor Farrell asked if the current budget still has the savings of $137K to include the dispatch center that we have not seen the report on yet, Town Manager Caron responded that is correct. Councilor O’Keefe asked with the addition of the SRO and the crossing guards we are at level funding the budget with everything we have in front of us now. Town Manager Caron said the Fire Chief wants to look at different methods of inspections services, which may not impact the budget significantly. The significant question remaining is if the Town will realize those anticipated savings from dispatch in FY12. Presuming that we are, then the budget plan before you is for $4.74 which is the same as FY11. Chairman DiMarco said the next step would be a motion for a public hearing to present the budget to the public. Town Manager Caron said the next public hearing is on 1/3/11 and then 1/17 is a regular Council meeting. Also on 1/3/11 the Council needs to make a decision for a bond hearing for the $1M bond, which would require a public hearing on 1/17/11; 2/1/11 is the deadline for the Citizen’s petition, warrant articles. The final public hearing is 2/3/11 and the warrant will be signed on 2/7/11. There are at least three more opportunities to discuss the budget.

Don Jorgenson on behalf of the Budget Committee made a motion to accept the budget as proposed with some caveats. One is the inclusion of the adjustments made by the Town Manager in his memo dated 12/6/10 and the reinstatement of $13,475 taken from social services agency. They feel that they have the greatest need. They suggest that the LPD, LFD and library assume the $13,475 reduction by finding it somewhere in their budgets but don’t take it from the people who desperately need it. That was the general consensus of the group. Chairman DiMarco clarified that the Budget Committee endorses the budget as presented except for $13,475 with the adjustments made today. Lisa Whittemore said we also understand that there is further information regarding the Call Center from LPD Chief Hart’s report. Todd Joncas clarified that they need a better description on how that is going to work. They discussed making sure that at least 43 regular patrol officers and 1 SRO officer are in the budget. John Curran commended the Town Manager on the work he did on the recent memo. Councilor Farrell clarified that we are still talking about only 9 firefighters on a shift. Town Manager Caron responded that was not correct, this budget does not include any reductions in staffing on full-time positions. His budget recommended a reduction in admin. overtime this was reduced. The same number of responders which is 40 will be on staff. Overtime was increased for the firefighter budget. LFD Chief MacCaffrie said they still try to maintain 10 on call at all times they only drop to 9 when the overtime account dwindles. Councilor Farrell said he is doing some math with the information on the HR Manager position provided tonight and based on that information she is seeing two dozen employees a week that is 1,248 meetings. The police have 90 people, the library has 20 so there are 110 people she does not interact with. That equates to meeting with each employee 14 times a year. That seems to be a big number. Town Manager Caron said that the HR Manager meets with vendors and other people she has to interact with. Lisa Whittemore asked didn’t we lose personnel in LFD and asked about the use of the second ambulance. Town Manager Caron responded we have maintained the same number of emergency response personnel as we currently have. The budget is down $15K in admin overtime from the current fiscal year. The firefighter overtime is up over $13 - $14K from last year. We have not reduced first responders. She asked for clarification of maintenance issues at the library. Town Manager Caron responded there are two levels of “maintenance”. Custodial involves cleaning the building and currently that is outsourced both in Town Hall and at the library. Currently LPD has an employee which is part of the budget reductions and would go to an outside service. The second level is managing all the systems which our Admin. Coordinator
manages, he will have to assume the additional responsibilities at LPD as of July 1 and also assists with the repairs at the Library. She asked if any of the grants applied for Pettengill Rd. are coming through. Town Manager Caron responded the Town currently has one grant pending for the sewer extension at Pettengill Road. Staff is actively seeking financial partners for the project, which is the reason that presenting a Pettengill Rd. bond to the voters at this point is not recommended.

Town Manager Caron said $25,697,875 is the proposed operating budget for FY12.

**Councilor Brown made a motion for a public hearing for a preliminary operating budget for FY12 of $25,697,875 to be held on 1/3/11, second Councilor O’Keefe.** Councilor Dolan asked how we will advertise for this meeting. Town Manager Caron responded we are required to post a legal notice it will be in the Londonderry Times and on the Town website. Councilor O’Keefe asked if it is possible to ask Continental Paving to borrow their digital sign again and put it up where we normally do informing the public about the first public meeting for the budget. Janusz Czyzowski said he will ask them. **Council’s vote was 5-0-0.**

**NEW BUSINESS**
None

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

*Minutes of Councils’ Budget Meeting of 11/2/-10, 11/22/10, 11/29/10 and Public Meeting of 11/15/10.* Councilor O’Keefe had a correction to the meeting held on 11/29/10, line 95 on page 2. It read “Councilor O’Keefe said the budget goal is coming from him, the goal is the goal.” That should be corrected to read “….is coming from the Council ….”. **Councilor Farrell made a motion to approve all the meeting minutes including the amended version for 11/2/10, second by Councilor O’Keefe. Council’s vote was 5-0-0.**

**OTHER BUSIENSS**

**Liaison Reports** – Councilor Farrell attended a Planning Board meeting, they had a conceptual plan discussion with Pillsbury/Woodmont Orchards. It will continue this Wednesday.

Chairman DiMarco went to the Library Trustees meeting last Wednesday and they had a discussion about the upcoming budget. Chairman Matckie brought up the fact that the Council discussed on 11/30/09 the question on snow removal and that at that time the Town Manager said he would look into it. Town Manager Caron said he will follow up with it.

Councilor O’Keefe said a few people took offense with the fact that he said the library was a non-essential service. That was completely taken out of context. A non-essential service meaning that it is not a public safety item. The library does supply a lot of value.

Councilor Dolan attended the Merrimack Water District meeting. Pennichuck has been purchased in its entirety by the City of Nashua. The district was meeting with the Mayor of Nashua tonight to go over the next steps. He will get information and will report back.
member will come here in the near future for a briefing if there are any impacts on us. Chairman DiMarco asked if they need more approvals, Councilor Dolan responded yes, they have to go through the revenue bonding process. Chairman DiMarco asked if people who currently have Pennichuck pay their water bill to the City of Nashua. Councilor Dolan said he believes the corporation will be kept intact so they will make their payments to the corporation who will have one shareholder, the City of Nashua.

Councilor Brown said he will be attending the Solid Waste meeting this Thursday and they will be talking about a formation of a sub-committee on litter.

Town Manager Report – None

Board/Committee Appointments/Reappointments

A. Appoint Alternate Member Martin Srugis to a Full Member on the Heritage Commission, term to expire 12/31/13.
B. Appoint Jim Butler to an Alternate Position on the Heritage Commission, term to expire 12/31/13.

Councilor Dolan made a motion on the above, second by Councilor O’Keefe. Council’s vote was 5-0-0.

Councilor Farrell made a motion to adjourn at 8:45PM second by Councilor O’Keefe. Council’s vote was 5-0-0.

Notes and Tapes by: Margo Lapietro Date: 12/0610
Minutes Typed by: Margo Lapietro Date: 12/08/10
Approved; Town Council Date: 12/21/10
Date: November 30, 2010

To: Lance D. Harbour - Hazard Mitigation Planner  
    NH Homeland Security and Emergency Management  
    33 Hazen Drive  
    Concord, NH 03305  
    Office: (603) 223-3633 / E-mail: Lance.Harbour@hsem.nh.gov

From: David Caron - Londonderry Town Manager  
      268B Mammoth Road  
      Londonderry, NH 03053

Re: Town of Londonderry - Hazard Mitigation Plan Updated & Adopted – 11/29/2010

Lance:

On November 29, 2010, @19:00, the proposed modifications to the existing/approved Londonderry Hazardous Mitigation Plan were presented by Chief Kevin MacCaffrie to the Londonderry Council Member and Town Manager. At the conclusion of the presentation, question and answer session the Londonderry Council voted in affirmative to adopt the proposed modifications to our Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Certification of Adoption

Whereas, a duly noticed meeting was held by the Londonderry Town Council on November 29, 2010 to formally approve and adopt the modification to the existing Londonderry Mitigation Plan.

Now, therefore be it resolved that the Londonderry Town Council adopts the modifications to the Londonderry Mitigation Plan.

Adopted and signed this 30th day of November 2010.

If you have need for further information please feel free to give me a call, 1-603-432-1100 x120.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dave Caron – Londonderry Town Manager
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RESOLUTION 2010-24

A Resolution Relative to

Approving the Londonderry Hazardous Mitigation Plan

First Reading/Hearing: 12/06/10
Adopted: 12/06/10

WHEREAS the Town of Londonderry received funding from the New Hampshire Department of Safety – Bureau of Emergency Management under a Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant to assist the Town of Londonderry in the preparation of the Londonderry Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS several planned public planning meeting/hearings were held between June, 2010 to October, 2010 regarding the development and review of the Londonderry Hazardous Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS the Londonderry Hazardous Mitigation Plan contains several potential future projects to mitigate hazard damage in the Town of Londonderry; and

WHEREAS a public hearing was held by the Londonderry Town Council on December 6, 2010 to formally approve and adopt the Londonderry Hazardous Mitigation Plan,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Londonderry Town Council approves the Londonderry Hazardous Mitigation Plan.

Paul DiMarco, Chairman
Town Council

Marguerite A. Seymour
Town Clerk/Tax Collector
12/06/10

(TOWN SEAL)
APPENDIX I

2005 NEWLY IDENTIFIED MITIGATION STRATEGIES UPDATE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation Action</th>
<th>Who (Leadership)</th>
<th>When (Deadline)</th>
<th>How (Funding Source)</th>
<th>2010 Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Update the schools' emergency plan, created through Comprehensive Emergency Management Planning for Schools (CEMPS), in cooperation with State and Federal agencies and add new provisions for emergency prevention. The plan also serves to identify weaknesses and security vulnerabilities and to develop appropriate responses.</td>
<td>Londonderry School District, NHBEM, Fire Department, Police Department</td>
<td>Ongoing through 2006</td>
<td>NHBEM, SER&amp;CMP, Town Operating Budget</td>
<td>Updated Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update the Police Department's operating policies.</td>
<td>Londonderry Police Department</td>
<td>Ongoing through 2006</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget</td>
<td>All operating policies up to date at this time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and update the Emergency Management Plan.</td>
<td>Emergency Management Director, Fire Department, Police Department</td>
<td>Ongoing through 2006</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, EMPG</td>
<td>Completed in 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt new Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps provided by FEMA for Rockingham County.</td>
<td>Planning Department</td>
<td>Ongoing through mid 2005</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, MM</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidate the Excavation Regulations with excavation provisions in the Zoning Ordinance.</td>
<td>Planning Department, Building Department</td>
<td>Up to 5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop and distribute materials for a hazard mitigation and disaster preparedness educational campaign including: develop a newspaper cutout ad and an educational pamphlet; run PowerPoint presentations about disaster preparedness and response on CTV 20; and conduct disaster preparedness and response presentations at schools, senior centers, and the Town meeting.</td>
<td>Town-wide effort including: Fire Dept, Police Dept, Planning Dept, Building Dept</td>
<td>Up to 5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, other grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Establish a page on the Town’s website for disaster preparedness and response, hazard mitigation, and the National Flood Insurance Program.</td>
<td>Fire Department, Planning Department, Building Department, IT Department, Police Department</td>
<td>Up to 5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Adopt new FAA/Airport Authority noise overlay zoning codes.</td>
<td>Planning Department</td>
<td>Up to 5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, FAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Implement the reverse 911 system.</td>
<td>Fire Department, Police Department</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, EMPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate 36-inch corrugated metal pipe culvert at Parmenter Road near the intersection of Route 102.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, PDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Replace the inadequate 36-inch corrugated metal pipe culvert at South Road near Garabedian gravel pit with two 48-inch reinforced concrete pipes.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, PDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of isolated areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and limited access to homes by emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>Fire Department, Police Department</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Implementing Agencies</td>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>Funding Sources</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish and distribute educational materials for residents of flood prone areas outlining disaster preparedness, response, and supply flood proofing and mitigation information to protect their property from flood damages.</td>
<td>Building Department, Planning Department, Fire Department</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget</td>
<td>Complete and ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace the inadequate culvert at Auburn Road near Whispering Pines.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, PDM</td>
<td>Design underway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve maintenance of the dam at Kendall Pond near South Road to minimize future debris obstruction</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget</td>
<td>Overflow management is working at this time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide educational information to residents along Brookview Drive about flood proofing and ways to minimize potential losses.</td>
<td>Building Department, Planning Department</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget</td>
<td>Complete and ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish mobile truck safety inspections near highway exits to stop vehicles intentionally circumventing known fixed safety inspection sites to avoid being cited for violations.</td>
<td>Police Department, Fire Department, NH DOT, State Police</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Operating Budget, NHDOT, HMAP/CERCLA</td>
<td>State controlled, Town encouraged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish remote broadcasting locations at the high school and central fire station with the capacity to broadcast to CTV 20.</td>
<td>Local Access Television, Fire Department</td>
<td>5-10 years</td>
<td>Operating Budget, other grants</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the frequency of truck safety inspections on I-93 and strengthen regulation enforcement.</td>
<td>Police Department, Fire Department, NH DOT, State Police</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Operating Budget, NHDOT, HMAP/CERCLA</td>
<td>State controlled, Town encouraged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace the inadequate culvert on High Range Road at the intersection of Route 102.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, PDM</td>
<td>Not yet completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post warnings along Kendall Pond alerting visitors of the dangers associated with high water levels</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Operating Budget</td>
<td>Complete and ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Funding Sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Improve Spring Road, the connection between Trolley Car Lane and Hovey Road, creating an adequate second access point to Trolley Car Lane.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, other grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Upgrade Brewster Road from Class VI to service the existing population and create a turnaround at the end for emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, other grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Upgrade Watts Road from Class VI to service the existing population and create a turnaround at the end for emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, other grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Upgrade Jerry Lane from Class VI to service the existing population and create a turnaround at the end for emergency vehicles.</td>
<td>Department of Public Works</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Town Operating Budget, other grants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>